REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
Oppenheimer Upper Equestrian Pavilion
RFP MAJ 16-MJ0067

Question and Responses #1

OWNER:
Trustees of the California State University
California Polytechnic State University
Strategic Business Services
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407

REPRESENTATIVE
Cody VanDorn
Executive Director
Strategic Business Services
cvandorn@calpoly.edu

DATE: November 8, 2016

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: November 15, 2016 before 3:00 pm

The following information is provided for the convenience of the proposers, and is not a part of the Addenda.

1-1 Question:
During job walk it was discussed the Agriculture Operations Facilities will be perform reclamation of items prior to state of construction. Please confirm this activity duration will occur during pre-construction and Contractor does not need to allocate time for this activity during the 217 CD construction duration.

Response: The University will work with the Construction Manager at Risk to ensure that they are not delayed should the University wish to salvage items using Agricultural Operations personnel.

1-2 Question:
Please confirm cost of video survey is a direct cost of work item and not to be included in the contractor’s pre-construction service, site management fee, or CM’s overhead and profit.

Response: The video as required in Specification Section 01 57 00 would be considered a construction phase service.

Ref. Section 01 57 00 Temporary Controls Part 1.03 A

1-3 Question:
During job walk it was discussed that there is no requirement for trustee field office we assume also the IOR will be located at the trustee office.

Response: Correct, the CM at Risk is not required to provide an office for the Inspector of Record.
1-4 **Question:**
During the job walk it was discussed that parking within the construction site will be at no cost. We assume parking to attend regular progress meetings at trustee facility office is acceptable. Please confirm all parking & lay down storage within the construction site and contractor parking for meeting attendance will not be additional cost to the contractor. If Contractor is to include parking fees please confirm how costs are to be allocated to the project. Direct Cost or Construction Phase Service.

*Response: Per specification section 01 00 50 1.07 E parking permits are required for parking within the construction site and would be considered a construction phase service.*

*Ref. Section 01 00 50 Temporary Facilities and Controls Part 1.07 E*

1-5 **Question:**
Due to remote location of site and secured entry gate, we assume security guard or night watchman service is not required. Please confirm.

*Response: Per specification section 01 35 53 1.02 the contractor has sole responsibility for job site security. It is left up to the contractor as to how that is accomplished.*

*Ref. Section 01 35 53 Security*

1-6 **Question:**
Section 8.04 of the RFP states six (4) complete set of the Technical Proposal. Please confirm the quantity.

*Response: Proposers will be required to provide four (4) copies of their proposal.*

*Ref. See Addendum #2.*

1-7 **Question:**
Tab 1 relates specifically to proposal interview described in RFP 8.13. It is not clear if we are to include content is our RFP for TAB 1 or if these point are to be earned solely through the interview process.

*Response: The 60 points for Tab – 1 Proposal Interview will be solely earned through during the in person interview process.*

1-8 **Question:**
During the pre-job conference it was discussed that project Liquidated Damages were set at $1,000 /Day: however, the sample contract issues in the RFP states $5,000/day. Please confirm $1,000 /Day is correct.

*Response: Liquidated damages will be $1,000 for each calendar day construction completion is late.*

*Ref. RFP Section 3.02, Item 3*
1-9 Question: Can you please provide a copy of the actual A&E applicable contract Exhibits that define the Architects sub consultant responsibility and project pre-construction delivery milestones and meeting requirements for this specific project?

Response: The Architect’s scope of work has been provided at: https://afd.calpoly.edu/cprm/vendor_info/bids_in_process. Additional information can also be found in the CSU Procedure Manual for Capital Projects located at: https://afd.calpoly.edu/cprm/vendor_info/bids_in_process.

Ref. See Addendum #2.

1-10 Question: Will there be any 3rd party reviewers for submittals or design packages. If so, which packages?

Response: Any deferred submittals, as coordinated during the preconstruction phase, may be subject to 3rd party review. Including but not limited to Metal Building Shop Drawings will be subject to Seismic Peer Review, Fire Sprinkler Shop Drawings will be subject to FPE review.

1-11 Question: What is the current state of design that bidder should assume for the develop of the CPM schedule (i.e. schematic 50% schematic or 75%...etc.)

Response: We are beginning schematic design.

1-12 Question: The proposed start date listed in the schedule (section 4.10) as 05/19/2017. Please confirm this is actually June.

Response: The start date of 5/19/2017 was to allow for submittals and site mobilization we anticipate construction starting June 19, 2017