Appendix A

Applicable Mitigation Measures

APPLICABLE MASTER PLAN EIR MITIGATION MEASURES

The mitigation measures identified in the Master Plan EIR which are applicable to and incorporated into the project are listed in Table A-1 below for reference.

Table A-1 Mitigation Measures Identified in the Master Plan EIR that are Applicable to the Project

Mitigation Measures

Aesthetics

3.1-1: Prepare and Implement Landscaping Plans for Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community, and Slack and Grand Projects

Prior to implementation of the Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community Project, and Slack and Grand project, Cal Poly shall prepare site-specific landscaping plans for review and approval by the CSU. The plans shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect and shall include specifications for plant and tree species, sizes, densities and planting locations that shall be implemented during construction of each project. The objective of the landscaping plans shall be to provide visual screening of the projects from sensitive viewing locations and to reduce the impression of visual mass and structure.

3.1-3a: Use Nonreflective Materials on Building Surfaces

Cal Poly shall require the use of nonreflective exterior surfaces and nonreflective (mirrored) glass for all new or redeveloped structures.

3.1-3b: Prepare and Implement Lighting Plans for Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community, and Slack and Grand Projects

Prior to approval of development plans for the Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community Project, or Slack and Grand project, Cal Poly shall prepare comprehensive, and site-specific lighting plans for review and approval by the Division of the State Architect that shall be implemented as part of project construction/implementation. The lighting plans shall be prepared by a qualified engineer who is an active member of the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) using guidance and best practices endorsed by the International Dark Sky Association. The lighting plans shall address all aspects of the lighting, including but not limited to all buildings, infrastructure, parking lots, driveways, safety, and signage. The lighting plans shall include the following, as feasible, in conjunction with other measures determined feasible by the illumination engineer:

- the point source of exterior lighting shall be shielded from off-site viewing locations;
- light trespass from exterior lights shall be minimized by directing light downward and using cutoff fixtures or shields;
- ▶ illumination from exterior lights shall be the lowest level necessary to provide adequate public safety;
- exterior lighting shall be designed to minimize illumination onto exterior walls; and
- any signage visible from off-site shall not be internally illuminated.

3.1-3c: Use Directional Lighting for Campus Development

Cal Poly shall require all new, permanent outdoor lighting fixtures to utilize directional lighting methods (e.g., shielding and/or cutoff-type light fixtures) to minimize glare and light spillover onto adjacent structures. In addition, light placement and orientation shall also be considered such that light spillover is reduced at nearby land uses, to the extent feasible. Verification of inclusion in project design shall be provided at the time of design review

Air Quality

3.3-2: Implement Dust and Exhaust Emissions Reduction Measures

Based on the APCD CEQA Handbook, Cal Poly shall ensure that construction contractors implement the following measures for all 2035 Master Plan development:

Standard Construction Emission Reduction Measures for All Projects

- Staging and queuing areas or diesel idling associated with equipment used during construction of new/renovated buildings on campus shall not be located within 1,000 feet of sensitive receptors. This distance can be adjusted if it can be demonstrated to Cal Poly by the construction contractor, with substantial evidence, that risk levels at nearby receptors would not exceed an estimated risk of 10 chances in a million.
- Off-road diesel equipment shall comply with the 5-minute idling restriction identified in Section 2449(d)(3) of CARB's In-Use Off-Road Diesel regulation.
- Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and job sites to remind offroad equipment operators of the 5-minute idling limit.
- Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible.

- Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site and from exceeding the APCD's limit of 20 percent opacity for greater than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period. Increasing watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible. Please note that during drought conditions, water use may be a concern and the contractor or building shall consider the use of an APCD-approved dust suppressant where feasible to reduce the amount of water used for dust control.
- All dirt stockpile areas shall be sprayed daily as needed.
- Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans shall be implemented as soon as possible following the completion of any soil disturbing activities.
- Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than one month after initial grading will be sown with fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established.
- All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation shall be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by APCD.
- All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
- > Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at the construction site.
- All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials shall be covered or should maintain at least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114.
- Install wheel washers where vehicles enter and exit unpaved roads onto streets or wash off trucks and equipment leaving the site. "Track-Out" is defined as sand or soil that adheres to and/or agglomerates on the exterior surfaces of motor vehicles and/or equipment (including tires) that may then fall onto any highway or street as described in California Vehicle Code Section 23113 and California Water Code 13304. To prevent Track Out, designate access points and require all employees, subcontractors, and others to use them. Install and operate a "trackout prevention device" where vehicles enter and exit unpaved roads onto paved streets. The track-out prevention device can be any device or combination of devices that are effective at preventing track out, located at the point of intersection of an unpaved area and a paved road. Rumble strips or steel plate devices require periodic cleaning to be effective. If paved roadways accumulate tracked out soils, the track-out prevention device may need to be modified.
- Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible.
- ▶ All of these fugitive dust mitigation measures shall be included on grading and building plans.
- ▶ Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications.
- Fuel all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment with CARB-certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road).
- Electrify equipment when feasible.
- ▶ Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, where feasible.
- All architectural coatings (e.g., paint) used in project buildings and parking areas will not exceed a volatile organic compound content of 50 grams per liter.
- ► Use diesel construction equipment meeting CARB's Tier 2 certified engines or cleaner off-road heavy-duty diesel engines and comply with the State Off-Road Regulation.
- Use on-road heavy-duty trucks that meet the CARB's 2007 or cleaner certification standard for on-road heavy-duty diesel engines and comply with the State OnRoad Regulation.
- Construction or trucking companies with fleets that that do not have engines in their fleet that meet the engine standards identified in the above two measures (e.g. captive or NOx exempt area fleets) may be eligible by proving alternative compliance.
- Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site where feasible, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.

For individual projects proposed under the 2035 Master Plan, APCD screening criteria (rather than emissions modeling) shall be applied to determine if emissions from the project would be below the adopted numeric thresholds. If an individual project would exceed the screening criteria, project-specific emissions modeling shall be conducted to determine if APCD's adopted numeric project-level thresholds would be exceeded. If emissions modeling demonstrates that the individual project's operational emissions would exceed the APCD thresholds, the following mitigation measures would apply in addition to the Standard Construction Emission Reduction Measures described above.

Enhanced Construction Emission Reduction Measures for Individual Projects that Exceed APCD Thresholds

- Implement Best Available Control Technologies (BACT) and a Dust Control Management Plan that encompasses all, but is not limited to, dust control measures that were listed above in the "Standard" measures section;
- ▶ further reducing emissions by expanding use of Tier 3 and Tier 4 off-road and 2010 on-road compliant engines;
- repowering equipment with the cleanest engines available;
- ▶ installing California Verified Diesel Emission Control Strategies, listed at arb.ca.gov/diesel/verdev/vt/cvt.htm; □ tabulation of on- and offroad construction equipment (age, horsepower, miles, and/or hours of operation);
- schedule of construction truck trips during non-peak hours to reduce peak hour emissions;
- limit the length of the construction work day period, if necessary; and
- ▶ phase construction activities, if appropriate.

3.3-3a: Implement Mitigation Measure 3.8-1

Cal Poly will incorporate the mitigation listed under Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 of Section 3.8, "Greenhouse Gas Emissions," to reduce operational emissions of criteria air pollutants and ozone precursors to the extent feasible.

3.3-3b: Reduce Operational Emissions

The following measures shall be implemented, where appropriate, to reduce operational emissions of ozone precursors to levels below the APCD-adopted thresholds. This list is not exhaustive and other or alternative emission reduction measures shall be considered and implemented based on new technologies and as APCD operational air quality mitigation measures are further developed over the life of the Master Plan. The following APCD-recommended measures would apply to new land use development within the 2035 Master Plan area:

- All existing landscaping equipment (e.g., lawnmowers, leaf blowers, chainsaws), upon time of replacement, will be replaced with electric ones. All new landscaping equipment purchased will be electric.
- All architectural coatings (e.g., paint) used in project buildings and parking areas will not exceed a volatile organic compound content of 50 grams per liter.
- Exceed CALGreen standards by 25 percent for providing on-site bicycle parking; both short-term racks and long-term lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclist only.
- ▶ Implement a "No Idling" vehicle program which includes signage, enforcement, etc.
- > Provide shade over 50 percent of parking spaces to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles.

For individual projects that are determined to exceed applicable APCD thresholds, after incorporation of all available/applicable onsite measures, the following may be considered:

- ▶ Incorporate additional off-site mitigation (e.g., emissions offsets pursuant to APCD rules and regulations).
- Prepare an operational activity management plan that demonstrates how individual project impacts would be reduced to a level of insignificance. Specific measures may include onsite and offsite mitigation strategies, including the scheduling of activities during off-peak hours and the purchase of mitigation offsets.

Archaeological, Historical and Tribal Cultural Resources

3.4-2a: Identify and Protect Unknown Archaeological Resources

During project-specific environmental review of development under the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly shall define each project's area of effect for archaeological resources in consultation with a qualified archaeologist, as defined by the Secretary of Interior. The University shall determine the potential for the project to result in cultural resource impacts, based on the extent of ground disturbance and site modification anticipated for the project. Cal Poly shall determine the level of archaeological investigation that is appropriate for the project site and activity, as follows:

- Minimum: excavation less than 18 inches deep and less than 5,000 square feet of disturbance (e.g., a trench for lawn irrigation, tree planting). Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a(1).
- Moderate: excavation below 18 inches deep and/or over a large area on any site that has not been characterized as sensitive and is not suspected to be a likely location for archaeological resources. Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a(1) and (2).
- ► Intensive: excavation below 18 inches and/or over a large area on any site that is within the zone of archaeological sensitivity, i.e., within 750 feet, along Brizzolara Creek or Stenner/Old Garden Creek (as shown in Figure 3.4-1) or that is adjacent to a recorded archaeological site. Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a(1), (2), and (3).

Cal Poly shall implement the following steps to identify and protect archaeological resources that may be present in the project's area of effects:

- 1. For project sites at all levels of investigation, contractor crews shall be required to attend a training session before the start of earth moving, regarding how to recognize archaeological sites and artifacts and what steps shall be taken to avoid impacts to those sites and artifacts. In addition, campus employees whose work routinely involves disturbing the soil shall be informed how to recognize evidence of potential archaeological sites and artifacts. Before disturbing the soil, contractors shall be notified that they are required to watch for potential archaeological sites and artifacts and to notify Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development if any are found. A qualified archeologist would be present onsite during earth-moving activities to provide oversight to contractor crew and campus employees. In the event of a find, Cal Poly shall implement item (5), below.
- 2. For project sites requiring a moderate or intensive level of investigation, a surface survey shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist once the area of ground disturbance has been identified and before soil disturbing activities. For sites requiring moderate investigation, in the event of a surface find, intensive investigation shall be implemented, as per item (3), below. Irrespective of findings, the qualified archaeologist shall, in consultation with Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development, develop an archaeological monitoring plan to be implemented during the construction phase of the project. If the project site is located within a zone of archaeologistal sensitivity (i.e., within 750 feet of Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, or Old Garden Creek) or it is recommended by the archaeologists, Cal Poly shall notify the appropriate Native American tribe and extend an invitation for monitoring. The frequency and duration of monitoring shall be adjusted in accordance with survey results, the nature of construction activities, and results during the monitoring period. A written report of the results of the monitoring shall be prepared and filed with the appropriate Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System. In the event of a discovery, Cal Poly shall implement item (5), below.
- 3. For project sites requiring intensive investigation, irrespective of subsurface finds, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified archaeologist to conduct a subsurface investigation of the project site, to ascertain whether buried archaeological materials are present and, if so, the extent of the deposit relative to the project's area of effects. If an archaeological deposit is discovered, the archaeologist shall prepare a site record and a written report of the results of investigations and filed with the appropriate Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System.
- 4. If it is determined that the resource extends into the project's area of effects, the resource shall be evaluated by a qualified archaeologist, who shall determine whether it qualifies as a historical resource or a unique archaeological resource under the criteria of State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. If the resource does not qualify, or if no resource is present within the project's area of effects, this shall be noted in the environmental document and no further mitigation is required unless there is a discovery during construction. In the event of a discovery item (5), below shall be implemented.
- 5. If archaeological material within the project's area of effects is determined to qualify as an historical resource or a unique archaeological resource (as defined by CEQA), Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development shall consult with the qualified archaeologist to consider means of avoiding or reducing ground disturbance within the site boundaries, including minor modifications of building footprint, landscape modification, the placement of protective fill, the establishment of a preservation easement, or other means that shall permit avoidance or substantial preservation in place of the resource. If avoidance or substantial preservation in place is not possible, Cal Poly shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2b. 6) If archaeological material is discovered during construction (whether or not an archaeologist is present), all soil disturbing work within 100 feet of the find shall cease. Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development shall contact a qualified archaeologist to provide and implement a plan for survey, subsurface investigation as needed to define the deposit, and assessment of the remainder of the site within the project area to determine whether the resource is significant and would be affected by the project. Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a (3) and (4) shall be implemented.

3.4-2b: Protect Known Unique Archaeological Resources

For an archaeological site that has been determined by a qualified archaeologist to qualify as a unique archaeological resource through the process set forth under Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a, and where it has been determined under Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a that avoidance or preservation in place is not feasible, a qualified archaeologist, in consultation with Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development, and Native American tribes as applicable, shall:

- 1. Prepare a research design and archaeological data recovery plan for the recovery that shall capture those categories of data for which the site is significant and implement the data recovery plan before or during development of the site.
- 2. Perform appropriate technical analyses, prepare a full written report and file it with the appropriate information center, and provide for the permanent curation of recovered materials.
- 3. If, in the opinion of the qualified archaeologist and in light of the data available, the significance of the site is such that data recovery cannot capture the values that qualify the site for inclusion on the CRHR, Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development shall reconsider project plans in light of the high value of the resource, and implement more substantial modifications to the project that would allow the site to be preserved intact, such as project redesign, placement of fill, or project relocation or abandonment. If no such measures are feasible, Cal Poly shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2c.

Biological Resources

3.5-2c: Prepare Project-Specific California Red-Legged Frog Habitat Assessments

Future development that would directly affect reservoirs, ponds, or drainages or that would result in land disturbance within 1.6 kilometers of these features shall be subject to project-specific California Red-legged Frog Habitat Assessments. The assessments shall be prepared in coordination with, and submitted for review by, USFWS. The California red-legged frog habitat assessments shall be prepared and processed in accordance with the USFWS Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field Surveys for the California Red-Legged Frog (USFWS 2005), or the most recent applicable guidance. The assessments shall specifically evaluate the reservoirs, ponds, and drainages and their upland areas that may be disturbed by Master Plan Area projects and be submitted to USFWS for review/approval. Alternatively, Cal Poly can conduct a campus-wide habitat assessment to identify California red-legged frog aquatic and upland habitat. If prepared, the campus-wide assessment shall also be submitted to USFWS for review/approval and can be used to screen out projects that do not require consultation within the Master Plan Area.

3.5-2d: Conduct California Red-Legged Frog Consultation

For 2035 Master Plan projects that would affect jurisdictional water features and would also affect California red-legged frog and/or California red-legged frog Critical Habitat as determined from Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, Cal Poly shall coordinate with USACE during the CWA Section 404 permitting process to consult with USFWS regarding the potential for these activities to result in take of California red-legged frog and/or California red-legged frog critical habitat. If USACE in consultation with USFWS determines that the proposed projects may affect or result in take of California red-legged frog, USFWS may issue a Biological Opinion with an Incidental Take Statement for the project. Cal Poly shall comply with all measures included in the Biological Opinion, which may include compensatory mitigation for permanent and/or temporary loss of habitat, construction monitoring, salvaging of California red-legged frog, and installation of exclusion fencing between the project site and adjacent habitats.

If USACE declines to take jurisdiction over the project, thus removing a federal nexus from the project, Cal Poly shall consult directly with the USFWS pursuant to Section 10 of the ESA. If USFWS determines that the project may affect or result in take of California red-legged frog or detrimental modification of critical habitat, it may ask Cal Poly to prepare an HCP and obtain an ITP. Cal Poly shall comply with all measures included in the ITP.

A permitting strategy (i.e., programmatic versus individual project consultations) shall be determined between Cal Poly and USFWS as Cal Poly commences implementation of the 2035 Master Plan.

3.5-2e: Avoid California Red-Legged Frog during the Wet Season

To avoid the potential for take of California red-legged frogs, unless otherwise authorized by the Biological Opinion and/or Incidental Take Permit per Mitigation Measure 3.5-2.d, the initial ground-disturbing activities associated with 2035 Master Plan projects that would affect California red-legged frog and/or California red legged frog Critical Habitat as determined from Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c shall be completed in the dry season (between June 1 and the first fall rains). Regardless of the seasonal rain patterns, no ground-disturbing activities may occur on these sites between first fall rains and May 31 of any year without prior authorization or concurrence from USFWS and CDFW.

3.5-2f: Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for California Red-Legged Frog

Prior to construction of future Master Plan development projects that would affect California red-legged frog and/or California red-legged frog Critical Habitat as determined from Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified biologist with demonstrated experience surveying for California red-legged frog. The biologist shall conduct preconstruction surveys for California red-legged frog. The survey(s) must be conducted within 48 hours before the site disturbance and encompass the entire project disturbance area and a 100-foot buffer of the disturbance area(s).

If California red-legged frog(s) are observed during the survey, the biologist shall immediately contact Cal Poly and inform them of the survey findings. Cal Poly shall delay the project activities that were planned to occur in the area until Cal Poly consults with USFWS and secures any necessary approvals, including a Biological Opinion or an Incidental Take Permit (if not already secured) as may be applicable, to move forward with the Master Plan project. In absence of USFWS approval, the surveying biologist shall not capture, handle, or otherwise harass California red-legged frog. Cal Poly and its contractors shall comply with all measures within any Biological Opinion or Incidental Take Permit.

3.5-2g: Implement Waterway Protection Measures

Prior to construction of future development that would directly affect reservoirs, ponds, or drainages or that would result in land disturbance within California red-legged frog habitat as defined by Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, implement Mitigation Measures 3.5-3a through 3.5-3d, described below.

3.5-2h: Conduct Environmental Monitoring

For projects and locations where mitigation measures are required to protect biological resources during construction activities, Cal Poly shall retain an environmental monitor to ensure compliance with the EIR mitigation measures. The monitor shall be responsible for: (1) ensuring that procedures for verifying compliance with environmental mitigations are implemented; (2) establishing lines of communication and reporting methods; (3) conducting compliance reporting; (4) conducting construction crew training regarding environmentally sensitive areas and/or special-status species; (5) maintaining authority to stop work; and (6) outlining actions to be taken in the event of non-compliance. Monitoring shall be conducted full time during the initial vegetation removal (clear/grub activities), then periodically throughout project construction, or at a frequency and duration as directed by the affected natural resource agencies (e.g., USACE, USFWS, CDFW, and RWQCB).

3.5-20: Conduct Ringtail Den(s) Surveys, and Avoidance

If vegetation removal or construction activities within riparian habitat occur outside of the breeding and pupping season for ringtail (February 1 through June 15), no mitigation is necessary. If the ringtail breeding season cannot be avoided, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct pre-construction surveys within 3 weeks prior to commencement of construction for potential natal or maternity den trees/rock crevices. If an active den is found, the qualified biologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the den until the young have left the den. At a minimum, the buffer shall be 500 feet unless a reduced buffer is warranted as determined by a qualified biologist in consultation with CDFW. Because ringtails are known to move their offspring between dens, the biologist may maintain the den under surveillance with a trail camera in a way that does not affect the use of the den. If the biologist determines that ringtails have vacated the den during the surveillance period, then construction may begin within 7 days following this observation, but the den must remain under surveillance in the event that the mother has moved the litter back to the den. If the den is within a tree hollow, and the tree needs to be removed, the hollow section of the tree must be salvaged and secured to a nearby unaffected tree in order to maintain the number of dens in the area.

3.5-2q: Conduct Monterey Dusky-Footed Woodrat Midden Surveys, Avoidance, or Relocation

Prior to implementation of 2035 Master Plan projects that require work in riparian corridors, California sagebrush scrub, coast live oak woodland, and non-native woodland habitat, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified biologist to survey for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat middens and assist in the removal/relocation of woodrat middens no more than 2 weeks prior to start of ground disturbance activities. The biologist shall document the results of the survey(s) in a letter report to Cal Poly and CDFW that includes a map of observed middens. If dusky-footed woodrat middens are found on a particular project site and are located outside of the permanent footprint of any proposed structure/site features and can be avoided, Cal Poly shall establish and maintain a 40-foot protective buffer, unless a reduced buffer is warranted as determined by a qualified biologist in consultation with CDFW, ensuring that the buffer does not isolate the midden from available habitat. If middens can be avoided no further mitigation is required.

If middens cannot be avoided, relocation shall be conducted in consultation with CDFW. Relocation of the middens shall occur after July 1 and before December 1 to avoid the maternity season. During implementation of site clearing activities and under supervision of the biologist, the equipment operators shall remove all vegetation and other potential woodrat shelter within the disturbance areas that surround the woodrat midden(s) to be removed. Upon completion of clearing the adjacent woodrat shelter, the operator shall gently nudge the intact woodrat midden with equipment or long handled tools. Due to the potential health hazards associated with removing woodrat middens, hand removal is not recommended. The operators shall place their equipment within the previously cleared area and not within the undisturbed woodrat shelter area. The objective is to alarm the woodrats so that they evacuate the midden and scatter away from the equipment and into the undisturbed vegetation. Once the woodrats have evacuated the midden(s), the operator shall gently pick up the midden structure and move it to the undisturbed adjacent vegetation. The objective of moving the structure is to provide the displaced woodrats with a stockpile of material to scavenge while they build a new midden; jeopardizing the integrity of the midden structure is not an adverse impact.

3.5-2r: Conduct Environmental Monitoring

During construction of future development that requires work in or around active Monterey dusky-footed woodrat middens, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-2h, described above.

3.5-2u: Conduct Special-Status Bird and Other Bird Nest Avoidance

For any project-specific construction activities under the 2035 Master Plan, the following measures shall be implemented to avoid or minimize loss of active special-status bird nests including tricolored blackbird, grasshopper sparrow, burrowing owl, western yellow-billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, least Bell's vireo, loggerhead shrike, and purple martin:

- a) To minimize the potential for loss of special-status or other bird nests, vegetation removal activities within potentially suitable nesting habitat shall commence during the nonbreeding season (September 16 January 31), where feasible.
- b) If project construction activities, including ground-disturbing activities, vegetation trimming, or tree removal are scheduled to occur between February 1 and September 15, the following measures shall be implemented:

- i. For project sites on or within 500 feet of agricultural land, pasture, non-native annual grassland, or riparian habitat as shown in Figure 3.5-1, "Land Cover," and ornamental/landscaping trees in developed habitat, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct habitat assessment surveys for tricolored blackbird, grasshopper sparrow, burrowing owl, western yellow-billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, least Bell's vireo, loggerhead shrike, and purple martin. If no suitable habitat is present within 500 feet of the project site, no further action is required.
- Where suitable habitat is present, surveys shall be conducted by biologists adhering to guidance offered in Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo Natural History Summary and Survey Methodology (Halterman et al. 2015); Least Bell's Vireo Survey Guidelines (USFWS 2001); CDFW Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFW 21012) and/or current industry standards. Cal Poly shall initiate consultation with USFWS and/or CDFW as required and shall mitigate for the loss of breeding and foraging habitat as determined by consultation.
- iii. Two weeks prior to construction, a pre-construction nesting bird survey shall be conducted within suitable habitat identified in Mitigation Measure 3.5-2u(b)(i). If nests of these species are detected, a qualified biologist shall establish no-disturbance buffers around nests. Buffers shall be of sufficient width that breeding is not likely to be disrupted or adversely affected by construction. Nodisturbance buffers around active nests shall be a minimum of 0.25 mile wide for white-tailed kite, 500 feet wide for other raptors, and 250 feet wide for other special-status birds, unless a qualified biologist determines based on site-specific conditions that a larger or smaller buffer would be sufficient to avoid impacts on nesting birds. Factors to be considered in determining buffer size shall include the presence of existing buffers provided by vegetation, topography, or existing buildings/structures; nest height; locations of foraging territory; and baseline levels of noise and human activity. Buffers shall be maintained until a qualified biologist has determined that young have fledged and are no longer reliant upon the nest or parental care for survival. Monitoring of the nest by a qualified biologist during and after construction activities shall be required if the activity has potential to adversely affect the nest.
- iv. For tricolored blackbird, the qualified biologist shall conduct preconstruction surveys within tules, cattails, Himalayan blackberry, and riparian scrub habitat areas. The surveys shall be conducted no more than 14 days before construction commences. If no active nests or tricolored blackbird colonies are found during focused surveys, no further action under this measure shall be required. If active nests are located during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist shall notify CDFW. If necessary, modifications to the project design to avoid removal of occupied habitat while still achieving project objectives shall be evaluated and implemented to the extent feasible. If avoidance is not feasible or conflicts with project objectives, construction shall be prohibited within a minimum of 100 feet of the outer edge of the nesting colony, unless a qualified biologist determines based on site-specific conditions that a larger or smaller buffer would be sufficient, to avoid disturbance until the nest colony is no longer active.

3.5-2v: Conduct Environmental Monitoring

During construction of future development within the active nesting season where nesting birds have been found and a no-disturbance buffer is established, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-2h, described above.

3.5-2w: Implement Bat Preconstruction Surveys and Exclusion

Before commencing construction activities with the potential to affect bats, including land surveying with a Global Positioning System (GPS) Total Station and removal of farm structures and trees with hollows or exfoliating bark suitable for bats, a qualified biologist shall conduct surveys for roosting bats 2 weeks prior to start of construction activities. GPS Total Stations used for land surveying emit high frequency noise outside of the human hearing frequency but within the hearing range of bats, which has resulted in colony abandonment. If evidence of bat use is observed, the species and number of bats using the roost shall be determined. Bat detectors may be used to supplement survey efforts. If no evidence of bat roosts is found, then no further study and no additional measures are required. If the roost site can be avoided, a 250foot-wide no-disturbance buffer shall be implemented unless a qualified biologist determines, based on bat species and site-specific conditions, that a larger or smaller buffer would be adequate to avoid impacts on bat roosts.

If roosts of pallid bat or other bat species are found, and the roost cannot be avoided, bats shall be excluded from the roosting site before the tree or structure is removed. Exclusion efforts shall be restricted during periods of sensitive activity (e.g., during hibernation or while females in maternity colonies are nursing young). Once it is confirmed that bats are not present in the original roost site, the tree or structure may be removed. A detailed program to identify exclusion methods and roost removal procedures shall be developed by a qualified biologist in consultation with CDFW before implementation.

3.5-2x: Conduct Environmental Monitoring

If construction of future development would occur where an active bat roost or maternity colony is found and a no-disturbance buffer has been established, conduct environmental monitoring as described in Mitigation Measure 3.5-2h.

3.5-3b: Implement Low-Impact Development Principles

Pursuant to 2035 Master Plan Principle OR 17, Cal Poly shall incorporate Low-Impact Development (LID) principles in the design of all projects within 100 feet of Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, campus reservoirs, waterways and riparian areas unless a qualified biologist determines, based on site-specific conditions, that a larger or smaller buffer would be sufficient to avoid impacts on these resources.

3.5-3c: Install Exclusion Fencing

Prior to construction of any project within 100 feet of Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, campus reservoirs, and other campus waterways, all grading plans shall clearly show the outer limits of riparian vegetation or top-of-bank features and specify the location of project delineation fencing that excludes the riparian areas from disturbance. The project delineation fencing shall remain in place and functional throughout the duration of the project, and no work activities shall occur outside the delineated work area. This measure shall not apply to any project specifically designed to cross a creek, such as a bridge or span.

3.5-3d: Map and Protect Waterways and Riparian Areas

Prior to construction, plans shall clearly show all staging areas, which shall be located a minimum of 100 feet outside of the Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, campus reservoirs, and other campus waterways and riparian areas. The minimum buffer size may be reduced at the discretion of a qualified biologist if, based on local habitat conditions and project features, the buffer is sufficient to avoid construction-related disturbances to waterways and riparian areas.

3.5-3g: Avoid Planting Invasive Plants

Project landscaping shall not utilize any species included on the most recent Cal-IPC Inventory.

3.5-3h: Use Clean and Weed-Free Vehicles and Equipment

- a) Cal Poly shall require of its contractor(s) that all vehicles and construction equipment arrive at project areas clean and weed free to avoid inadvertent transport of invasive species. Equipment shall be inspected by the on-site inspector or environmental monitor for mud and other signs that weed seeds or propagules could be present prior to use in project areas in or near sensitive natural communities. If the equipment is not clean, the environmental inspector or monitor shall deny access to the work areas until the equipment is clean.
- b) Vehicles and equipment shall be cleaned using high-pressure water or air in designated weed-cleaning stations after exiting a weed-infested area. Cleaning stations shall be designated by a botanist or noxious weed specialist and located away from aquatic resources, riparian areas, and other sensitive natural communities.

3.5-3i: Require Use of Certified Weed-Free Construction Materials

Only certified weed-free construction materials, such as sand, gravel, straw, or fill, shall be used throughout each project site.

3.5-3j: Treat Invasive Plant Infestations

Before construction activities begin, Cal Poly shall treat invasive plant infestations in the construction area, and within 50 feet of the construction activity area. Any new invasive plant infestations discovered during construction shall be documented, reported to Cal Poly, and treated where needed. After construction is complete, Cal Poly or its contractors shall monitor all construction disturbance areas for new invasive plant invasions and expansion of existing weed populations and treat invasive plan infestations where needed. Post-construction monitoring for invasive plant infestations would be conducted annually for 3 years within sensitive natural communities.

3.5-4: Design Projects to Avoid and Minimize Disturbances to Jurisdictional Waters; Conduct Delineation of Jurisdictional Waters and Obtain Authorization for Fill and Required Permits; and Compensate for Unavoidable Degradation or Loss of Jurisdictional Waters

Cal Poly shall avoid, minimize, and compensate for potential degradation or loss of waters of the United States and waters of the state by implementing the following measures.

- Cal Poly shall design new facilities and improvements to existing facilities to avoid impacts on potential jurisdictional waters where feasible. If avoidance of these features is not feasible, or the jurisdictional status of an waterways that may be encroached upon is unknown, Cal Poly shall prepare a project-specific Jurisdictional Waters Delineation that identifies the project boundaries in relation to the jurisdictional boundaries of the site. For any unavoidable fill or alteration of a jurisdictional feature, Cal Poly shall coordinate with USACE to obtain a CWA Section 404 permit, CDFW to obtain a Streambed Alteration Agreement, and RWQCB to obtain a CWA Section 401 Certification. Cal Poly shall comply with all special conditions of the necessary permits.
- ► To support the permit applications, Cal Poly shall prepare a Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan (HMMP) for inclusion into the permit applications. The HMMP shall, at a minimum propose a 2:1 replacement ratio for permanent impacts on jurisdictional areas and a 1:1 ratio for temporary impacts on the jurisdictional areas, or higher mitigation ratios if required by the permitting agencies. Unless otherwise directed by the permitting agencies, Cal Poly shall incorporate on-site, in-kind, permittee-responsible compensatory mitigation to ensure that the drainages' functions and values are retained or improved as part of the project. The HMMP shall identify the location(s) where the proposed

compensatory mitigation shall be implemented and the type (e.g., creation, restoration, enhancement, preservation) of mitigation that shall be implemented. At a minimum, the HMMP shall include a 5-year maintenance and monitoring program that facilitates the successful completion of the mitigation efforts.

- Pursuant to Master Plan Principles S 02 and S 03, all improvements to the existing pedestrian pathways that currently cross Brizzolara Creek shall have the sole purpose of maintaining safe pedestrian and bicycle use of the crossings. Cal Poly shall not improve these existing pedestrian/bicycle crossings for vehicular use.
- Pursuant to Master Plan Principles S 02 and S 03, all improvements to the existing vehicle crossing at Via Carta shall have the sole purpose of maintain the existing use as a two-lane vehicle crossing or a pedestrian/bicycle crossing. The existing Via Carta crossing shall not be improved in such a manner that increases the width of the crossing or increases the amount of the crossing's surface area that covers Brizzolara Creek. Any improvements to the existing bridge shall be designed to result in a decrease of creek surface area being covered by bridge structure.
- Pursuant to Master Plan Principles S 02 and S 03, to the extent feasible, Cal Poly shall omit the one proposed pedestrian/bicycle crossing at the existing parking area located at the Highland Drive and East Creek Road intersection from future development plans. Cal Poly shall design the pedestrian/bicycle circulation routes to utilize the existing crossings in the area if feasible. The intent of omitting the proposed crossing is to minimize impacts on jurisdictional waters and the habitat functions and services that the creek provides.

If omitting the one new pedestrian/bicycle crossing is not feasible, Cal Poly shall design, permit, and construct the new pedestrian/bicycle crossing in conjunction with the proposed California Boulevard extension crossing at East Creek Road. These two crossings shall not be designed and constructed independently from each other. The intent of combining the design of the two crossings is to ensure that the two crossings are developed in such a way that minimizes impacts on the creek and allows permitting agencies to evaluate the full effect of the two crossings on the creek functions and services during the permitting process.

3.4-2b: Protect Known Unique Archaeological Resources

For an archaeological site that has been determined by a qualified archaeologist to qualify as a unique archaeological resource through the process set forth under Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a, and where it has been determined under Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a that avoidance or preservation in place is not feasible, a qualified archaeologist, in consultation with Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development, and Native American tribes as applicable, shall:

- 1. Prepare a research design and archaeological data recovery plan for the recovery that shall capture those categories of data for which the site is significant and implement the data recovery plan before or during development of the site.
- 2. Perform appropriate technical analyses, prepare a full written report and file it with the appropriate information center, and provide for the permanent curation of recovered materials.
- 3. If, in the opinion of the qualified archaeologist and in light of the data available, the significance of the site is such that data recovery cannot capture the values that qualify the site for inclusion on the CRHR, Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development shall reconsider project plans in light of the high value of the resource, and implement more substantial modifications to the project that would allow the site to be preserved intact, such as project redesign, placement of fill, or project relocation or abandonment. If no such measures are feasible, Cal Poly shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2c.

3.4-2c: Document Unique Archaeological Resources

If a significant unique archaeological resource cannot be preserved intact, before the property is damaged or destroyed, Cal Poly Facilities Management and Development shall ensure that the resource is appropriately documented. For an archaeological site, a program of research-directed data recovery shall be conducted and reported, consistent with Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a.

Geology and Soils

3.7-3: Perform Site-Specific Geotechnical Investigations

For any areas within the campus where development is proposed in an area designated as having a high potential for landslide hazards, have substantial erosion potential, or be located on a geologic unit that is unstable or within an area known to have expansive soils, a site-specific geotechnical investigation shall be performed. Based on the findings of the geotechnical investigation for each future development or redevelopment projects under the 2035 Master Plan, any appropriate stabilization and site design recommendations, or low impact development features determined necessary to support proposed development shall be incorporated in the project design and implemented as part of project construction. Examples of stabilization and erosion control recommendations may include, but are not limited to:

- installation of earthen buttress(es);
- excavation of landslide mass/material;
- slope stabilization through excavation into benches and/or keyways and other methods;

- deep soil mixing;
- installation of retaining walls;
- use of tie-back anchors, micropiles, or shear pins; or
- a combination of any of these methods.

Before final plan approval, Cal Poly shall incorporate into the project design and implement all recommendations identified in the site-specific geotechnical investigation, including all recommendations included in the final geotechnical report prepared for the project. All recommendations shall be shown on final plans and/or included as project specifications.

3.7-7: Treatment of Paleontological Resources

If any paleontological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, the construction contractor shall ensure that activities in the immediate area of the find are halted and Cal Poly informed. Cal Poly shall retain a qualified paleontologist to evaluate the discovery and recommend appropriate treatment options pursuant to guidelines developed by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, including development and implementation of a paleontological resource impact mitigation program for treatment of the resource, if applicable.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

3.8-1: Implement On-Site GHG Reduction

Measures Cal Poly shall implement the following GHG reduction measures:

- Design all new and renovated buildings to achieve a 30-percent or greater reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2019 California Energy Code-compliant building or other best practices as defined by CSU Sustainability Policy. Reductions in energy shall be achieved through energy efficiency measures consistent with Tier 2 of the California Green Building Energy Code Section A5.203.1.2.2.
- Design all new and renovated buildings to include Cool Roofs in accordance with the requirements set forth in Tier 2 of the 2019 California Green Building Energy Code, Sections A5.106.11.2.
- Install rooftop solar photovoltaics on all new and renovated buildings, including parking structures, where specific site parameters and constraints allow for adequate rooftop space. The amount of megawatt-hours that would be installed to offset electricity consumption would be based on the feasibility at each building site.
- Ensure that all new and renovated buildings comply with requirements for water efficiency and conservation as described in the 2019 California Green Building Standards Code, Division 5.3.
- Ensure that all new parking structures include preferential parking spaces to vehicles with more than one occupant and ZEVs. The number of dedicated spaces will be no less than 5 percent of the total parking spaces. These dedicated spaces shall be in preferential locations, such as near the entrance to the parking structure. ZEV spaces shall also include campus-standard electric vehicle charging stations, with electrical infrastructure capacity to expand charging stations by a factor of four as the number of electric vehicle drivers grows. These spaces shall be clearly marked with signs and pavement markings. This measure shall not be implemented in a way that prevents compliance with requirements in the California Vehicle Code regarding parking spaces for disabled persons or disabled veterans.
- Include multiple electrical receptacles on the exterior of all new and renovated buildings and accessible for purposes of charging or powering electric landscaping equipment and providing an alternative to using fossil fuel-powered generators. The electrical receptacles shall have an electric potential of 120 volts. There should be a minimum of one electrical receptacle on each building and one receptacle every 100 linear feet around the perimeter of the building.
- Ensure that all appliances and fixtures installed in project buildings are EnergyStar® -certified if an EnergyStar® -certified model of the appliance is available. Types of EnergyStar® -certified appliances include boilers, ceiling fans, central and room air conditioners, clothes washers, compact fluorescent light bulbs, computer monitors, copiers, consumer electronics, dehumidifiers, dishwashers, external power adapters, furnaces, geothermal heat pumps, programmable thermostats, refrigerators and freezers, room air cleaners, transformers, televisions, vending machines, ventilating fans, and windows (EPA 2018). If EPA's EnergyStar® program is discontinued and not replaced with a comparable certification program before appliances and fixtures are selected, then similar measures which exceed the 2019 California Green Building Standards Code may be used.
- Ensure that all space and water heating is solar- or electric-powered.
- ▶ Install high-efficacy lighting (e.g., light emitting diodes) in all streetlights, security lighting, and all other exterior lighting applications.
- Accomplish a waste diversion rate of 90 percent by and strive for 100 percent by 2040.
- > Plant water-efficient and drought tolerant landscapes at all project buildings.

In addition to the quantifiable onsite measures presented above, the following additional measures would reduce GHG emissions, although the extent to which they would reduce GHG emissions is not quantifiable. Nonetheless, Cal Poly shall implement the following measures as part of implementation of the 2035 Master Plan and the Cal Poly Climate Action Plan to the extent feasible.

- At the time of contract renegotiation, work with current car share companies (e.g., ZIP car) to increase the use of fully electric vehicles or consider partnerships with other similar services that do use electric vehicles.
- Where appropriate site conditions exist, install solar photovoltaics on available land throughout the Cal Poly campus to offset the use of nonrenewable energy for existing and future facilities and buildings.
- Cal Poly shall work with San Luis Obispo County, the City of San Luis Obispo, TriCounty Regional Energy Network (3C-REN), and other local agencies to determine if Cal Poly can fund and take GHG reduction credit for energy efficiency retrofits of local existing housing stock, commercial spaces, and other land uses.
- Accelerate the expansion of Cal Poly's fleet vehicles to electric.
- Accelerate the expansion of Level 2 EV chargers on campus to meet the anticipated demand at Cal Poly.
- ▶ Implement energy efficiency retrofits for existing buildings on campus that will remain.
- Work with SLO Regional Rideshare to refine Cal Poly's use of the iRideshare trip reporting/incentive platform to help VMT and emission reduction goals.
- ► To help commute incentives more effectively change commute behavior to benefit VMT, emissions, and the modal hierarchy:
- Expand faculty and staff daily benefits for using alternative transportation modes to an effective amount.
- Consider reducing the frequency between parking permit purchasing (e.g. weekly, monthly)
- Consider increasing faculty and staff parking permit costs over time.

Hydrology and Water Quality

3.9-3: Prepare Drainage Plan and Supportive Hydrologic Analysis

Before the commencement of construction activities associated with new development that will modify existing drainage and/or require the construction of new drainage infrastructure to collect and control storm water runoff, Cal Poly shall prepare a drainage plan and supportive hydrologic analysis demonstrating compliance with the following, or equally effective similar measures, to maximize groundwater recharge and maintain similar drainage patterns and flow rates:

- a) Off-site runoff shall not exceed existing flow rates during storm events.
- b) If required to maintain the current flow rate, appropriate methods/design features (e.g., detention/retention basins, infiltration systems, or bioswales) shall be installed to reduce local increases in runoff, particularly on frequent runoff events (up to 10-year frequency) and to maximize groundwater recharge.
- c) If proposed, drainage discharge points shall include erosion protection and be designed such that flow hydraulics exiting the site mimics the natural condition as much as possible.
- d) Drainage from impervious surfaces (e.g., roads, driveways, buildings) shall be directed to a common drainage basin.
- e) Where feasible, grading and earth contouring shall be done in a way to direct surface runoff towards the above-referenced drainage improvements (and/or closed depressions).

3.9-4a: Prepare a Drainage Plan and Supportive Hydrologic Analysis

Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-3, described above.

3.9-4b: Implement Post-Development Storm Water Best Management Practices and Low-Impact Development

During the design review phase of each future development project within the Master Plan Area, Facilities Management and Development will verify that the storm water BMPs and LID technologies were evaluated for each project within the 2035 Master Plan and all appropriate BMPs are incorporated into the specific project. Additionally, consistent with MS4 requirements, Facilities Management and Development will also verify that post-development runoff from the project site will approximate pre-development runoff volumes. If post-development runoff does not approximate pre-development runoff, additional BMPs shall be required in order to ensure that storm drain system capacity is not exceeded and that the drainage pattern of each project site is not significantly altered in such a way that it would result in erosion, siltation, or flooding.

Noise

3.10-1: Implement Construction-Noise Reduction Measures (as amended)

For all construction activities related to new/renovated structures, Cal Poly shall implement or incorporate the following noise reduction measures into construction specifications for contractor(s) implementation during project construction:

- ► All construction equipment shall be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. Equipment engine shrouds shall be closed during equipment operation.
- All construction equipment and equipment staging areas shall be located as far as feasible from nearby noise-sensitive land uses, and/or located to the extent feasible such that existing or constructed noise attenuating features (e.g., temporary noise wall or blankets) block line-of-sight between affected noise-sensitive land uses and construction staging areas.
- Individual operations and techniques shall be replaced with quieter procedures (e.g., using welding instead of riveting, mixing concrete off-site instead of on-site, using electric powered equipment instead of pneumatic or internal combustion powered equipment) where feasible and consistent with building codes and other applicable laws and regulations.
- > Stationary noise sources such as generators or pumps shall be located as far away from noise-sensitive uses as feasible.
- ► No less than 1 week prior to the start of construction activities at a particular location, notification shall be provided to nearby offcampus, noise-sensitive land uses (e.g., residential uses) that are located within 350 feet of the construction site (i.e., based on the construction noise modeling, distance at which noise-sensitive receptors would experience noise levels exceeding acceptable daytime construction-noise levels).
- When construction would occur within 350 feet of on-campus housing or other on-campus or off-campus noise-sensitive uses and may result in temporary noise levels in excess of 75 Lmax at the exterior of the adjacent noise-sensitive structure, temporary noise barriers (e.g., noise-insulating blankets or temporary plywood structures) shall be erected, if deemed to be feasible and effective, between the noise source and sensitive receptor such that construction-related noise levels are reduced to 75 Lmax or less at the receptor.]
- Loud construction activity (e.g., jackhammering, concrete sawing, asphalt removal, and large-scale grading operations) within 350 feet of adjacent primary school facilities, shall not occur during state standardized testing time periods for the surrounding school districts.
- When construction requires material hauling, a haul route plan shall be prepared for construction of each facility and/or improvement for review and approval by the Cal Poly that designates haul routes as far as feasible from sensitive receptors.
- The contractor shall designate a disturbance coordinator and post that person's telephone number conspicuously around the construction site and provide to nearby residences. The disturbance coordinator shall receive all public complaints and be responsible for determining the cause of the complaint and implementing any feasible measures to alleviate the problem.
- Construction activities (excluding activities that would result in a safety concern to the public or construction workers) shall be limited to between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday, where feasible. Although potential impacts were determined to be significant and unavoidable, for any construction activity that must extend beyond the daytime hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, or legal holidays and occurs within 2,000 feet of a residential building, Cal Poly shall comply, to the extent feasible, with the City of San Luis Obispo exterior noise level standard of 60 dBA Lmax for temporary construction noise at off-campus residences. Typical residential structures with windows closed achieve a 25-30 dBA exterior-to-interior noise levels of about 35 dBA Lmax, which would not result in a substantially increased risk for sleep disturbance. If exterior noise levels of 60 dBA Lmax are infeasible due to the type of construction activity and proximity to residential structures, achieving interior noise levels of 45 dBA Leq or less, consistent with City standards, would prevent nearby residents from being disturbed. One or more of the following or equivalent measures shall be considered and implemented to the extent feasible and effective:
 - Use noise-reducing enclosures and techniques around stationary noise-generating equipment (e.g., concrete mixers, generators, compressors).
 - Install temporary noise curtains as close as possible to the boundary of the construction site within the direct line of sight path of the nearby sensitive receptor(s) that consist of durable, flexible composite material featuring a noise barrier layer bounded to sound-absorptive material on one side.
 - Retain a qualified noise specialist to develop a noise monitoring plan and conduct noise monitoring to ensure that effective noise
 reduction measures are implemented to achieve exterior noise levels of 60 dBA Lmax or less at off-campus residences for
 construction activity occurring during these noise-sensitive hours to the maximum extent feasible.

3.10-3c: Implement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce Long-Term Noise Impacts of Building Mechanical Equipment

To minimize noise levels generated by building mechanical equipment, the following measures shall be implemented:

Building air conditioning units for proposed structures shall be located on building rooftops or shielded from direct line-of-sight of adjacent noise-sensitive land uses. Building parapets shall be constructed, when necessary, to shield nearby land uses from direct line-of-site of air conditioning units.

During project design of individual projects proposed as part of the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly shall review and ensure that external building mechanical equipment (e.g., HVAC systems) incorporate noise-reduction features sufficient to reduce average-hourly exterior operational noise levels at nearby noise-sensitive land uses to 50 Leq and 70 dba Lmax, or less during the daytime (i.e., 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and 45 Leq and 60 dBA Lmax, or less during the nighttime (i.e., 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.), within outdoor activity areas. Noise-reduction measures to be incorporated may include, but are not limited to, the selection of alternative or lower noise-generating equipment, relocation of equipment, and use of equipment enclosures.

Appendix B

Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Energy Modeling Results and Calculations

Proposed Project Emissions

Construction										
DAILY POUNDS									ANNUAL METRIC	TONS GHG
Year	VOC	NOX	co	SOX	DPM	PM10	PM2.5] [Year	MTCO2e
2023	1.31	7.21	65	0.11	0.22	29.3	14] [2023	226
2024	0.28	2.19	14.8	0.02	0.04	0.13	0.07	1 1	2024	304
2025	8.86	4.81	26.8	0.04	0.07	0.26	0.12	1 1	2025	298
2026	8.29	0.65	1.03	0	0	0.02	0.01] [2026	1.2
Maximum	13	1.67	65	0.11	0.22	29.3	14.0] [sum	829
SLO APCD Tier 1 Thresholds	1	37	-	-	7		-			
Exceeds CEQA Thresholds?	N	10	-	-	No	-	-	1		

MAX QUARTERLY TONS

Operations DAILY POUNDS

Mobile

Energy

Water Waste Refrig. Total

Area

Source

SLO APCD Tier 1 Thresholds

Exceeds CEQA Thresholds?

1. Basic Project Information

Year	voc	NOX	со	SOX	DPM	PM10	PM2.5
2023	0.03	0.19	1.33	<0.01	<0.01	0.37	0.16
2024	0.04	0.29	1.93	<0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
2025	0.31	0.12	0.65	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.00
2026	0.07	0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Maximum	0.	43	1.93	0	0.01	0.37	0.16
SLO APCD Tier 1 Thresholds	2	.5	-	-	0.13	2.5	
Exceeds CEQA Thresholds?	N	lo	-	-	No	No	

со

0.14

0

Ω

0.14

SOX

0

0

0

0

DPM

0.03

0

0

0.03

1.25

No

PM10

0.03

0

0

0.03

25

No

PM2.5

0.03

0

0

0.03

ANNUAL TONS							
Source	VOC	NOX	co	SOX	DPM	PM10	PM2.5
Mobile	< 0.005	0.06	0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water							
Waste							
Refrig.							
Total	0.	06	0	0	0	0	0
SLO APCD		5				25	
Thresholds	2	5	-	-	-	25	-
Exceeds CEQA	N						
Thresholds?	N	10	-	-	-	No	-

Source	MTCO2e
Mobile	89
Area	2
Energy	5
Water	0
Waste	544
Refrig.	0.0
Total	0

1.1. Basic Project Information Data Field Value Project Name Cal Poly Slack and Grand - Proposed Project v2 Construction Start Date 6/1/2023 Operational Year 2025 Lead Agency Land Use Scale Project/site Analysis Level for Defaults County Windspeed (m/s) 3.2 Precipitation (days) 32.4 Location 35.296446, -120.652532 County San Luis Obispo City Unincorporated Air District San Luis Obispo County APCD Air Basin South Central Coast TAZ 3331 EDFZ 6 Electric Utility Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Southern California Gas

2022.1.1.19

VOC

0.02

0

0

0.35

25

No

NOX

0.33

0

0

1.2. Land Use Types Land Use Subtype Size Unit Lot Acreage Building Area (sr Landscape Area Special Landscar Population Description Single Family Housing 33 Dwelling Unit 23 62000 87120 79 Parking Lot 66 Space 0 0 0

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector # Measure Title

Sector

Gas Utility

App Version

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds со Un/Mit. TOG ROG NOx SO₂ PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO: NBCO2 CO₂T CH_4 N_2O R CO₂e Daily, Summer (Max) Unmit. 0.44 8.57 4.55 18.7 0.03 0.06 0.1 0.15 0.06 0.02 0.08 3520 3520 0.14 0.04 0.57 3534 Daily, Winter (Max) Unmit. 1.32 8.86 7.21 65 0.11 0.22 29.1 29.3 0.22 13.8 14 12121 12121 0.5 0.11 0.03 12165 Average Daily (Max) 0.21 1.9 0.87 1826 1826 Unmit. 5.16 10.6 0.02 0.03 1.99 2.01 0.03 0.9 0.08 0.02 0.17 1835 Annual (Max)

Unmit.		0.04	0.94	0.35	1.94 < 0.005		0.01	0.36	0.37	0.01	0.16	0.16		302	302	0.01 < 0.005		0.03	304
2.2. Construction Emiss	sions by Year, Uni	mitigated																	
Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Daily - Summer (Max)	2023	0.44	0.43	4.55	18.7	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.06	0.02	0.08		3520	3520	0.14	0.03	0.44	3534
	2024	0.29	0.28	2.19	14.8	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.03		2552	2552	0.1	0.03	0.54	2565
	2025	0.32	8.57	2.83	15.8	0.03	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.05	0.02	0.07		2697	2697	0.11	0.04	0.57	2711
Daily - Winter (Max)	2026																		
Dally - Willter (Wax)	2023	1.32	1.31	7.21	65	0.11	0.22	29.1	29.3	0.22	13.8	14		12121	12121	0.5	0.11	0.03	12165
	2024	0.29	0.28	2.19	14.8	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.07		2549	2549	0.11	0.03	0.01	2562
	2025	0.54	8.86	4.81	26.8	0.04	0.07	0.19	0.26	0.07	0.04	0.12		4293	4293	0.17	0.05	0.02	4313
Average Daily	2026	0.03	8.29	0.65	1.03 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	0.02 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01		147	147	0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005		148
	2023	0.15	0.15	1.03	7.3	0.01	0.02	1.99	2.01	0.02	0.87	0.9		1361	1361	0.06	0.01	0.06	1367
	2024	0.21	0.2	1.57	10.6	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.05		1826	1826	0.08	0.02	0.17	1835
	2025 2026 < 0.005	0.21	5.16 0.41	1.9 0.03	10.6 0.05 < 0.005	0.02 < 0.005	0.03 < 0.005	0.07	0.1 .005 < 0.005	0.03 < 0.005	0.02 < 0.005	0.05		1792 7.2	1792 7.2 < 0.005	0.07 < 0.005	0.02 < 0.005	0.17	1801 7.24
Annual	2020 40.005		0.41	0.05	0.00 (0.000	0.005	0.005			0.005				7.2	7.2 40.005	10.005	0.005		7.24
	2023	0.03	0.03	0.19	1.33 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.36	0.37 < 0.005		0.16	0.16		225	225	0.01 < 0.005		0.01	226
	2024 2025	0.04	0.04	0.29	1.93 < 0.005 1.94 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01 < 0.005		0.01		302 297	302 297	0.01 < 0.005 0.01 < 0.005		0.03	304 298
	2025 < 0.005	0.04	0.07	0.01	0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		.005 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01		1.19	1.19 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	1.2
]						
2.4. Operations Emissio	ons Compared Ag TOG	ainst Thresholds ROG	NOx	со	50	PM10E	PM10D	DM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	NO	R	c0 a	
Un/Mit. Daily, Summer (Max)	106	KUG	NUX	cu	SO ₂	PINITOF	5 MITOD	PM	IVIZ.5E	riviz.5D	r1VIZ.51		BCO: NBCO2	CU21	CH4	N ₂ O	к	CO2e	
Unmit.		2.04	3.73	2.03	13.8	0.03	0.06	2.24	2.3	0.05	0.57	0.62	11	3267	3278	1.26	0.14	11.7	3361
Daily, Winter (Max) Unmit.		1.84	3.53	2.14	11.9	0.03	0.06	2.24	2.3	0.05	0.57	0.62		3180	3191	1.27	0.14	0.74	3266
Unmit. Average Daily (Max)		1.04	3.33	2.14	11.7	0.05	0.00	2.24	2.3	0.05	0.57	0.02	**	2100	2121	1.21	0.14	0.74	5200
Unmit.		1.98	3.67	2.15	13.5	0.03	0.06	2.2	2.25	0.05	0.56	0.61	11	3198	3209	1.26	0.14	5.3	3288
Annual (Max) Unmit		0.36	0.67	0.39	2.46	0.01	0.01	0.4	0.41	0.01	0.1	0.11	1.0	529	531	0.21	0.02	0.88	544
Unmit.		0.30	0.67	0.39	2.40	0.01	0.01	0.4	0.41	0.01	0.1	0.11	1.8	529	531	0.21	0.02	0.88	544
2.5. Operations Emission																			
Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Daily, Summer (Max) Mobile		1.82	1.69	1.67	11.8	0.03	0.03	2.24	2.27	0.03	0.57	0.6		2722	2722	0.12	0.13	11.2	2775
Area		0.18	2.03	0.02	1.87 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.	.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		0	5.01	5.01 < 0.005	< 0.005			5.02
Energy		0.04	0.02	0.33	0.14 < 0.005		0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03		534	534	0.06 < 0.005			536
Water Waste													1.9 8.8	6.14 0	8.05 8.8	0.2 < 0.005 0.88	0		14.4 30.8
Refrig.													0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.44	0.44
Total		2.04	3.73	2.03	13.8	0.03	0.06	2.24	2.3	0.05	0.57	0.62	11	3267	3278	1.26	0.14	11.7	3361
Daily, Winter (Max) Mobile		1.8	1.66	1.81	11.8	0.03	0.03	2.24	2.27	0.03	0.57	0.6		2640	2640	0.13	0.13	0.29	2684
Area		0	1.86	0	0	0.05	0.05	2.24	0	0.05	0.57	0.0		0	0	0.15	0	0.25	0
Energy		0.04	0.02	0.33	0.14 < 0.005		0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03		534	534	0.06 < 0.005			536
Water Waste													1.9 8.8	6.14 0	8.05 8.8	0.2 < 0.005	0		14.4 30.8
waste Refrig.													8.8	0	8.8	0.88	U	0.44	30.8 0.44
Total		1.84	3.53	2.14	11.9	0.03	0.06	2.24	2.3	0.05	0.57	0.62	11	3180	3191	1.27	0.14	0.74	3266
Average Daily Mobile		1.78	1.64	1.8						0.00	0.55			2653	2653				2701
Area		0.16	2.01	1.8 0.02	11.6 1.69 < 0.005	0.03 < 0.005	0.03	2.2	2.23 .005 < 0.005	0.03	0.56 < 0.005	0.59	0	4.53	2653 4.53 < 0.005	0.13 < 0.005	0.13	4.85	4.54
Energy		0.04	0.02	0.33	0.14 < 0.005		0.03	. 0.	0.03	0.03		0.03		534	534	0.06 < 0.005			536
Water													1.9	6.14	8.05	0.2 < 0.005			14.4
Waste Refrig.													8.8	0	8.8	0.88	0	0.44	30.8 0.44
Total		1.98	3.67	2.15	13.5	0.03	0.06	2.2	2.25	0.05	0.56	0.61	11	3198	3209	1.26	0.14	5.3	3288
Annual																			
Mobile Area		0.33	0.3 0.37 < 0.005	0.33	2.12 < 0.005 0.31 < 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.4	0.41 < 0.005 .005 < 0.005		0.1 < 0.005	0.11	0	439 0.75	439 0.75 < 0.005	0.02 < 0.005	0.02	0.8	447 0.75
Energy		0.01 < 0.005	0.37 < 0.005	0.06	0.03 < 0.005	< 0.005			.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		0	88.4	88.4	0.01 < 0.005			88.8
Water													0.3	1.02	1.33	0.03 < 0.005			2.38
Waste Refrig.													1.5	0	1.46	0.15	0	0.07	5.1 0.07
Total		0.36	0.67	0.39	2.46	0.01	0.01	0.4	0.41	0.01	0.1	0.11	1.8	529	531	0.21	0.02	0.88	544
 Construction Emission Demolition (2023) 																			
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e	
Onsite					-								-	-		-		-	
Daily, Summer (Max)		0.26	0.36	4 5 1	18.2	0.03	0.06		0.06	0.06		0.00		2425	3425	0.14	0.02		3437
Off-Road Equipment Demolition		0.36	0.30	4.51	10.2	0.05	0.06	0	0.06 0	0.06	0	0.06 0		3425	5425	0.14	0.03		545/
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment		0.36	0.36	4.51	18.2	0.03	0.06		0.06	0.06		0.06		3425	3425	0.14	0.03		3437
Off-Road Equipment Demolition		0.30	0.30	4.51	18.2	0.03	0.00	0	0.06	0.00	0	0.06		3425	3423	U.14	0.03		5437
									-			-							

Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily					4 99 9995													2.45
Off-Road Equipment Demolition		0.03	0.03	0.32	1.29 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.	.005 < 0.005		< 0.005 0	0	244	244	0.01 < 0.005			245
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.06	0.24 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.	.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		40.4	40.4 < 0.005	< 0.005			40.5
Demolition								0	0		0	0						
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max) Worker		0.07	0.07	0.05	0.56	0	0	0.09	0.09	0	0.02	0.02	94.8	94.8	0.01 < 0.005		0.44	96.6
Vendor		0.07	0.07	0.03	0.30	0	0	0.09	0.09	0	0.02	0	0	0	0.01 < 0.005	0	0.44	0
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker		0.07	0.07	0.05	0.55	0	0	0.09	0.09	0	0.02	0.02	90.9	90.9	0.01 < 0.005		0.01	92.3
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily						_	_											
Worker		0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.04	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		6.52	6.52 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	6.63
Vendor Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	0	0 < 0.005	< 0.	.005	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		1.08	1.08 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.1
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.3. Site Preparation (2023) - U																_		
Location Onsite	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO; NBCO ₂	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e	
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.5	0.5	2.59	28.3	0.05	0.1		0.1	0.1		0.1	5295	5295	0.21	0.04		5314
Dust From Material Movemen	t							19.7	19.7		10.1	10.1						
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.02	0.02	0.1	1.09 < 0.005	< 0.005			.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		203	203	0.01 < 0.005			204
Dust From Material Movemen	t					_		0.75	0.75		0.39	0.39						-
Onsite truck Annual		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	0.2 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0	.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		33.6	33.6 < 0.005	< 0.005			33.7
Dust From Material Movemen		< 0.005		0.02	0.2 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.14	0.14		0.07	0.07	55.0	55.0 < 0.005	< 0.005			55.7
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Worker		0.08	0.08	0.06	0.64	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.02	0.02	106 0	106	0.01 < 0.005	0	0.01	108 0
Vendor Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	0	0 < 0.005	< 0.	.005	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		4.1	4.1 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	4.16
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0	0 < 0.005	< 0.		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.68	0.68 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.69
Vendor Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		0	0	U	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	U	U	U
3.5. Grading (2023) - Unmitiga	ted																	
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM	10T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO; NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e	
Onsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.64	0.64	4.43	35.3	0.06	0.12		0.12	0.12	2.65	0.12	6598	6598	0.27	0.05		6621
Dust From Material Movemen Onsite truck	ι	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.2 0	9.2 0	0	3.65 0	3.65	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily		U	U	0	U	0	U	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	U	U
Off-Road Equipment		0.08	0.08	0.58	4.65	0.01	0.02		0.02	0.02		0.02	868	868	0.04	0.01		871
Dust From Material Movemen	t							1.21	1.21		0.48	0.48						
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.02	0.02	0.11	0.85 < 0.005	< 0.005			.005 < 0.005		< 0.005		144	144	0.01 < 0.005			144
Dust From Material Movemen	t							0.22	0.22		0.09	0.09	-					
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Worker		0.1	0.09	0.07	0.73	0	0	0.11	0.11	0	0.03	0.03	121	121	0.01	0.01	0.02	123
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0																
Average Daily																		
Average Daily Worker		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		16	16 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.03	16.3

Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling Annual		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		2.66	2.66 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	2.7
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.7. Building Construction (2	2023) - Linmit	igated																
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e	
Onsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment		0.23	0.23	2.03	14.3	0.02	0.04	0.	04	0.04		0.04	2397	2397	0.1	0.02		2406
Onsite truck		0.25	0.25	0	0	0.02	0.04	0	0	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	0	2400
Average Daily																		
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	0.11 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		18.8	18.8 < 0.005	< 0.005			18.8
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.02 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		3.11	3.11 < 0.005	< 0.005			3.12
Onsite truck	< 0.005	0.005	0.005	0	0.02 < 0.005	0.005	0	0.005	0	0	0.005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)		0.00	0.05	0.01	0.44	0		0.07 0	07		0.02	0.02	70	70	0.01 + 0.005		0.01	72.4
Worker Vendor		0.06 0.01 < 0.005	0.05	0.04 0.13	0.44	< 0.005	0	0.07 0. 0.02 0.	07 < 0.005	0	0.02 0.01	0.02	72 81.8	72 81.8 < 0.005	0.01 < 0.005	0.01	0.01	73.1 85.4
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily																		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.57	0.57 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.58
Vendor Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	0.64	0.64 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	0.67 0
Annual		0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	0	0
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.09	0.09 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.1
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.11	0.11 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.11
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.9. Building Construction (2	2024) - Unmit	igated																
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Onsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)		0.22	0.22	2.02	14.3	0.00	0.04	0.		0.04		0.04	2200	2398		0.02		2400
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck		0.23	0.23	2.03 0	14.5	0.02	0.04	0	0	0.04	0	0.04	2398 0	2398	0.1	0.02	0	2406 0
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipment		0.23	0.23	2.03	14.3	0.02	0.04	0.		0.04		0.04	2398	2398	0.1	0.02		2406
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily Off-Road Equipment		0.16	0.16	1.45	10.2	0.02	0.03	0.	02	0.03		0.03	1717	1717	0.07	0.01		1723
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.03	0.03	0.27	1.87 < 0.005		0.01	0.		0.01		0.01	284	284	0.01 < 0.005		_	285
Onsite truck Offsite		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Worker		0.06	0.05	0.03	0.41	0	0	0.07 0.	07	0	0.02	0.02	73.8	73.8 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.33	75.2
Vendor		0.01 < 0.005		0.12	0.05 < 0.005	< 0.005			02 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	80.7	80.7 < 0.005		0.01	0.21	84.5
Hauling Daily Winter (May)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max) Worker		0.05	0.05	0.04	0.4	0	0	0.07 0.	07	0	0.02	0.02	70.7	70.7	0.01 < 0.005		0.01	71.8
Vendor		0.01 < 0.005		0.13	0.05 < 0.005	< 0.005			02 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	80.7	80.7 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	84.3
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily Worker		0.04	0.04	0.03	0.29	0	0	0.05 0.	05	0	0.01	0.01		51 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.1	51.9
Worker Vendor	< 0.005	0.04 < 0.005	0.04	0.03	0.29 0.04 < 0.005	0 < 0.005	U		05 02 < 0.005	0 < 0.005	0.01 < 0.005	0.01	51 57.8	51 < 0.005 57.8 < 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.1 0.06	51.9 60.4
Hauling	5.005	0	0	0	0.04 < 0.005	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.00	0
Annual																		
Worker		0.01	0.01 < 0.005	0.02	0.05	0	0	0.01 0.		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		8.44	8.44 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	8.59
Vendor Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005 0	0	0.02	0.01 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	9.57 0	9.57 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	0.01	10 0
i i duinig		Ū	Ū	0	0	Ū	0	0	0	Ū	Ū	0	0	0	Ū	Ū	Ū	0
3.11. Building Construction (itigated ROG	NOx	со	SO,	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO ₂	CO₂T	CH₄			co -	
Location Onsite	TOG	KUG	NUX	co	5U2	PIMIUE	PINIUD	PM10T	PIVI2.5E	PIVI2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH ₄	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
onate																		
Daily, Summer (Max)			0.23	2.03	14.3	0.02	0.04	0.		0.04		0.04	2398	2398	0.1	0.02		2406
Off-Road Equipment		0.23					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck		0.23	0	0	0	0	U	0	0						0	U	U	0
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max)		0	0	0		-		-				0.04					0	
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment		0	0	0 2.03	14.3	0.02	0.04	0.	04	0.04		0.04	2398	2398	0.1	0.02	-	2406
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max)		0	0	0		-		0.			0	0.04 0					0	
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Average Daily Off-Road Equipment		0 0.23 0 0.14	0 0.23 0 0.14	0 2.03 0 1.26	14.3 0 8.9	0.02 0 0.01	0.04 0 0.03	0.	04 0 03	0.04 0 0.03	0	0	2398 0 1492	2398 0 1492	0.1 0 0.06	0.02 0 0.01	0	2406 0 1497
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Average Daily Off-Road Equipment Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck		0 0.23 0	0 0.23 0	0 2.03 0	14.3 0	0.02	0.04 0	0.	04 0	0.04 0		0	2398 0	2398 0	0.1 0	0.02 0	-	2406 0
Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Daily, Winter (Max) Off-Road Equipment Onsite truck Average Daily Off-Road Equipment		0 0.23 0 0.14	0 0.23 0 0.14	0 2.03 0 1.26	14.3 0 8.9	0.02 0 0.01	0.04 0 0.03	0. 0	04 0 03	0.04 0 0.03	0	0	2398 0 1492	2398 0 1492	0.1 0 0.06	0.02 0 0.01	0	2406 0 1497

Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max) Worker		0.05	0.05	0.03	0.39	0	0	0.07	0.07	0	0.02	0.02	72.4	72.4 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.3	73.8
Vendor		0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05 < 0.005	< 0.005	0		0.07	0	0.02	0.01	79.3	79.3 < 0.005	< 0.003	0.01	0.21	83
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Worker		0.05	0.05	0.03	0.38	0	0	0.07	0.07	0	0.02	0.02	69.4	69.4 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	70.4
Vendor		0.01 < 0.005		0.12	0.05 < 0.005	< 0.005			0.02 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	79.3	79.3 < 0.005		0.01	0.01	82.9
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily Worker		0.03	0.03	0.02	0.23	0	0	0.04	0.04	0	0.01	0.01	43.5	43.5 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.08	44.2
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	0.03 < 0.005	< 0.005	0		0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	49.3	49.3 < 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	51.6
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Worker		0.01	0.01 < 0.005		0.04	0	0		0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		7.2	7.2 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	7.32
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.00		< 0.005	< 0.005		8.17	8.17 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	8.55
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.13. Paving (2025) - Unmitig	gated																	
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10	T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Onsite															-			
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.16	0.16	1.93	10.6	0.01	0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03	1511	1511	0.06	0.01		1517
Paving Onsite truck		0	0.07 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off-Road Equipment		0.01	0.01	0.14	0.78 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		112	112 < 0.005	< 0.005			112
Paving			0.01															
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005		0.03	0.14 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		18.5	18.5 < 0.005	< 0.005			18.6
Paving Onsite truck		< 0.005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite		0	0	0	Ū	0	0	0	0	0	Ū	0	Ū	Ū	0	0	0	0
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Worker		0.06	0.06	0.04	0.48	0	0		0.09	0	0.02	0.02	87.6	87.6 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	88.9
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling Average Daily		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.04	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		6.53	6.53 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	6.64
Vendor	- 0.005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.04
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	0	0 < 0.005	< 0.00		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		1.08	1.08 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.1
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U	0	U	U	U	U	U	U
3.15. Architectural Coating (2	2025) - Unm	itigated																
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10	T PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Onsite																		
Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Off-Road Equipment		0.02	0.02	0.65	0.96 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		134	134	0.01 < 0.005			134
Architectural Coatings Onsite truck		0	8.26 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max)		-		·	5		v		v			U U	0	5	v	v	č	0
Off-Road Equipment		0.02	0.02	0.65	0.96 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		134	134	0.01 < 0.005			134
Architectural Coatings			8.26															
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Daily						0.005					0.005				0.005			
Off-Road Equipment Architectural Coatings		0.01	0.01 4.94	0.39	0.58 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		80	80 < 0.005	< 0.005			80.2
Onsite truck		0	4.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual																		
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.07	0.11 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.00	5 < 0.005		< 0.005		13.2	13.2 < 0.005	< 0.005			13.3
Architectural Coatings			0.9															
Onsite truck		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offsite Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Daily, Summer (Max) Worker		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		14.5	14.5 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.06	14.8
Vendor		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.003	0	0	14.5	0	0	0	0.00	14.8
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Worker		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0	0		0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		13.9	13.9 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		14.1
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hauling Average Daily		0	0	U	0	U	U	0	0	0	U	U	0	U	U	U	0	0
. include buily																		
Worker		0.01	0.01 < 0.005		0.04	0	0	0.01	0.01	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		8.37	8.37 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.02	8.51
Worker Vendor		0.01 0	0.01 < 0.005 0	0	0.04 0	0	0	0.01 0	0.01 0	0 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	8.37 0	8.37 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	0.02 0	8.51 0
				0 0								0 0				0 0		

Worker Vendor																		
	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.01	0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		1.39	1.39 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.4
lauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
launing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ū	0	0	0	
3.17. Architectural Coating (2											D1 40 57	200 N200						
location Dnsite	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	к	CO2e	
Daily, Summer (Max) Daily, Winter (Max)																		
off-Road Equipment Architectural Coatings		0.02	0.02 8.26	0.65	0.96 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		134	134	0.01 < 0.005			13
Insite truck verage Daily		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Off-Road Equipment Architectural Coatings	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.4	0.03	0.05 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		6.53	6.53 < 0.005	< 0.005			6.5
Insite truck Innual		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Off-Road Equipment Architectural Coatings	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.01	0.01 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		1.08	1.08 < 0.005	< 0.005			1.0
insite truck iffsite		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Daily, Summer (Max) Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Vorker /endor		0.01 0	0.01	0.01	0.07	0	0	0.01 0.	.01 0	0 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	13.6 0	13.6 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	13.
lauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Average Daily		-	-									-				-		
Vorker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005	_	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.67	0.67 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.6
/endor lauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Innual		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vorker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0	0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0 < 0.005	< 0.005		0.11	0.11 < 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.1
/endor Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	
I. Operations Emissions Deta I.1. Mobile Emissions by Lan																		
.1.1. Unmitigated and Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
aily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing		1.82	1.69	1.67	11.8	0.03	0.03	2.24 2	.27	0.03	0.57	0.6	2722	2722	0.12	0.13	11.2	277
arking Lot		1.82	0	1.67	0	0.03	0.03	2.24 2	0	0.03	0.57	0.6	0	0	0.12	0.13	0	2//
otal aily, Winter (Max)		1.82	1.69	1.67	11.8	0.03	0.03	2.24 2	.27	0.03	0.57	0.6	2722	2722	0.12	0.13	11.2	277
ingle Family Housing		1.8	1.66	1.81	11.8	0.03	0.03		.27	0.03	0.57	0.6	2640	2640	0.13	0.13	0.29	268
arking Lot otal		0 1.8	0 1.66	0 1.81	0 11.8	0 0.03	0 0.03	0 2.24 2	0 .27	0 0.03	0 0.57	0 0.6	0 2640	0 2640	0	0 0.13	0 0.29	268
nnual		1.8	1.00	1.81	11.8	0.03	0.03	2.24 2.	27	0.03	0.57	0.6	2640	2040	0.13	0.13	0.29	208
ingle Family Housing		0.33	0.3	0.33	2.12 < 0.005		0.01		.41 < 0.005		0.1	0.11	439	439	0.02	0.02	0.8	44
Parking Lot Total		0	0	0	0 2.12 < 0.005	0	0 0.01	0	0	0	0 0.1	0 0.11	0	0	0 0.02	0 0.02	0 0.8	
otai							0.01	0.4 0	.41 < 0.005		0.1							
		0.33	0.3	0.33								0.11	439	439	0.02	0.02	0.0	44
4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By		Unmitigated							0140.55		01 40 FT					0.02		44
4.2. Energy 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Daily, Summer (Max)	y Land Use - TOG		0.3 NOx	0.33 CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	0.02 R	CO₂e	44
4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Daily, Summer (Max) Single Family Housing		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO2T 112	CH₄ 112	N2O 0.02 < 0.005	R		11
4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Daily, Summer (Max)		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R 0		44 11
I.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Jaily, Summer (Max) single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Daily, Winter (Max)		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO₂T 112 0 112	CH4 112 0 112	N ₂ O 0.02 < 0.005 0 0.02 < 0.005	R		11
I.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Daily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing Parking Lot Total Daily, Winter (Max) ingle Family Housing		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112	CH4 112 0 112 112	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005	R		11 11 11
I.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Daily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing Parking Lot Total Daily, Winter (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.ST		CO₂T 112 0 112 112 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 0	N ₂ O 0.02 < 0.005 0 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0	R		11 11 11
1.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Jally, Summer (Max) single Family Housing Jarking Lot Total Daily, Winter (Max) single Family Housing Yarking Lot Total		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112	CH4 112 0 112 112	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005	R		11 11 11
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use and Use ality, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal baily, Winter (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal unnual ingle Family Housing		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5	CH4 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 < 0.005	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005	R O O		11 11 11 11 18.
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use ally, Summer (Max) ally, Summer (Max) arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot arking Lot arking Lot		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	РМ1ОТ	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.ST		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 < 0.005 0	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005 0	R		11 11 11 11 18
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use ally, Summer (Max) ally, Summer (Max) arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot arking Lot arking Lot		Unmitigated				PM10E	PM10D	РМ1ОТ	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5	CH4 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 < 0.005	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005	R O O		11 11 11 11 18.
1.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use and Use and Use the emitting of the emitting and Use the emitting of the emitting ingle Family Housing farking Lot fotal minutal angle Family Housing farking Lot fotal arking Lot fotal	TOG	Unmitigated ROG				PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T		CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 0 112 18.5 < 0.005 0	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005 0	R O O		11 11 11 11 18
1.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use ally, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot Yotal Units (Max) angle Family Housing arking Lot Yotal Unnual Family Housing Family Housing arking Lot Yotal Lot. Natural Gas Emissions and Use	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG	NOX	со	SO ₂ SO ₂		PM10D	РМ10Т	PM2.5E	PM2.5D		BCO, NBCO ₂ BCO, NBCO ₂	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 0 18.5	CH4 112 0 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0 < 0.005 0 < 0.005 N2O	R O O	CO2e	11 11 11 18. 18.
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use and Use and Use the emity Housing arking Lot otal analy, Winter (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal annual arking Lot otal arking Lot arking Lot arkin	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04	NOx NOx	co co 0.33	502 502 0.14 < 0.005	PM10E	PM10D 0.03	РМ10Т	PM2.5E	PM2.5D 0.03		BCO: NBCO; BCO: NBCO; 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 112 185 0 185 CO ₂ T 422	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 0 < 0.005 N2O 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R	CO2e	11 11 11 18 18
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use bally, Summer (Max) lingle Family Housing arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal and Use bally Sammer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot arking Lot arking Lot	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04 0	NOx 0.02 0	CO CO 0.33 0	SO ₂ SO ₂ 0.14 < 0.005 0		PM10D 0.03 0	РМ10Т 0	PM2.5E 03 0	PM2.5D 0.03 0		BCO, NBCO; BCO, NBCO; 0.03 0	CO,T 112 0 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 0 18.5 CO,T 422 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 115 0 112 115 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422 0	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0 < 0.005 0 < 0.005 N2O 0.04 < 0.005 0	R O O	CO2e	111 111 111 18. 18.
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use aily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal aily, Winter (Max) angle Family Housing arking Lot otal .2.3. Natural Gas Emissions and Use aily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04	NOx NOx	co co 0.33	502 502 0.14 < 0.005	PM10E	PM10D 0.03	РМ10Т 0	PM2.5E	PM2.5D 0.03		BCO: NBCO; BCO: NBCO; 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 112 185 0 185 CO ₂ T 422	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422	N2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 0 < 0.005 N2O 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R	CO2e	11 11 11 18 18 18
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use ally, Summer (Max) ally, Summer (Max) ally, Summer (Max) ally, Winter (Max) arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal ally, Summer (Max) angle Family Housing arking Lot otal arking Lot otal ally, Summer (Max) angle Family Housing arking Lot otal ally, Winter (Max) angle Family Housing	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04 0.04 0.04	NOx NOx 0.02 0.02	CO CO 0.33 0.33 0.33	SO ₂ SO ₂ 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005	РМ10Е 0	PM10D 0.03 0 0.03 0.03	PM10T 0 0	PM2.5E 03 0 03	PM2.5D 0.03 0.03 0.03		BCO, NBCO, BCO, NBCO, 0.03 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 CO ₂ T 422 0 422	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422 0 422 422	N_2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 N_2O 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R O	CO2e	111 111 111 18. 18. 42 42 42
2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use and Use and Use (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal migle Family Housing arking Lot otal and Use haily, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal arking Lot otal arking Lot otal analy, Summer (Max) ingle Family Housing arking Lot otal analy, Family Housing arking Lot otal analy, Family Housing arking Lot	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04 0 0.04 0.04 0	NOx NOx 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	CO CO 0.33 0 0.33 0.33 0.33	SO2 SO2 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0	PM10E	PM10D 0.03 0 0.03 0.03 0	PM10T 0 0	PM2.5E 03 0 03 03 0	PM2.5D 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0		BCO, NBCO, BCO, NBCO, 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 CO ₂ T 422 0 422 0 422 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422 0 422 0 422 0	N_2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 N_2O 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R	CO2e	11 11 11 18 18 18 42 42 42
1.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use Daily, Summer (Max) single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Jauly, Winter (Max) single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Annual Single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Annual Single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Jauly, Summer (Max) Single Family Housing Parking Lot Total Total Single Family Housing Parking Lot	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04 0.04 0.04	NOx NOx 0.02 0.02	CO CO 0.33 0.33 0.33	SO ₂ SO ₂ 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005	РМ10Е 0	PM10D 0.03 0 0.03 0.03	PM10T 0 0	PM2.5E 03 0 03	PM2.5D 0.03 0.03 0.03		BCO, NBCO, BCO, NBCO, 0.03 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 CO ₂ T 422 0 422	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422 0 422 422	N_2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 N_2O 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R O	CO2e	11
1.2.1. Electricity Emissions By and Use July, Summer (Max) single Family Housing araking Lot fotal Jally, Winter (Max) single Family Housing araking Lot fotal A.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions and Use Jally, Summer (Max) single Family Housing araking Lot fotal Jally, Summer (Max) single Family Housing araking Lot fotal Jally, Winter (Max) single Family Housing araking Lot fotal	TOG By Land Use	Unmitigated ROG - Unmitigated ROG 0.04 0 0.04 0.04 0	NOx NOx 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	CO CO 0.33 0 0.33 0.33 0.33	SO2 SO2 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0.14 < 0.005 0	РМ10Е 0	PM10D 0.03 0 0.03 0.03 0	PM10T 0 0	PM2.5E 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	PM2.5D 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0		BCO, NBCO, BCO, NBCO, 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03	CO ₂ T 112 0 112 112 18.5 0 18.5 CO ₂ T 422 0 422 0 422 0	CH4 112 0 112 112 112 18.5 < 0.005 0 18.5 < 0.005 CH4 422 0 422 0 422 0	N_2O 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.02 < 0.005 0.005 N_2O 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005 0.04 < 0.005	R O O R O	CO2e	11 11 11 18. 42 42

Total		0.01 < 0.005		0.06	0.03 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		69.9	69.9	0.01 < 0.005			70.1
4.3. Area Emissions by Sour 4.3.1. Unmitigated Source	rce TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO₂T	CH₄	N₂O	R	CO₂e	
Daily, Summer (Max) Hearths	TUG	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0	0	PMI2.51	0 0	0	0	0	к 0	CO2e	0
Consumer Products Architectural Coatings Landscape Equipment		0.18	1.33 0.53 0.17	0.02	1.87 < 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		5.01	5.01 < 0.005	< 0.005			5.02
Total Daily, Winter (Max) Hearths		0.18	2.03 0	0.02	1.87 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0	< 0.005	< 0.005 0	0	< 0.005	0	5.01 0	5.01 < 0.005 0	< 0.005 0	0		5.02 0
Consumer Products Architectural Coatings Total		0	1.33 0.53 1.86	0	0	0	0		0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0		0
Annual Hearths Consumer Products		0	0 0.24	0	0	0	0		0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0		0
Architectural Coatings Landscape Equipment Total		0.03 0.03	0.1 0.03 < 0.005 0.37 < 0.005		0.31 < 0.005 0.31 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005		< 0.005 < 0.005	0	0.75 0.75	0.75 < 0.005 0.75 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005			0.75 0.75
4.4. Water Emissions by La 4.4.1. Unmitigated	nd Use																	
Land Use Daily, Summer (Max) Single Family Housing	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO ₂	CO₂T 6.14	CH4 8.05	N₂O 0.2 < 0.005	R	CO2e	14.4
Parking Lot Total Daily, Winter (Max)												0	0 6.14	0 8.05	0.2 < 0.005	0		0 14.4
Single Family Housing Parking Lot Total												1.9 0	6.14 0	8.05 0	0.2 < 0.005	0		14.4 0
Annual Single Family Housing												1.9 0.3 0	6.14 1.02 0	8.05 1.33 0	0.2 < 0.005 0.03 < 0.005 0	0		14.4 2.38 0
Parking Lot Total												0.3	1.02	1.33	0.03 < 0.005	0		2.38
4.5. Waste Emissions by La 4.5.1. Unmitigated Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N ₂ O	R	CO2e	
Daily, Summer (Max) Single Family Housing Parking Lot												8.8 0	0 0	8.8 0	0.88 0	0 0		30.8 0
Total Daily, Winter (Max) Single Family Housing												8.8	0	8.8 8.8	0.88	0 0		30.8 30.8
Parking Lot Total Annual												0 8.8	0 0	0 8.8	0 0.88	0 0		0 30.8
Single Family Housing Parking Lot Total												1.5 0 1.5	0 0 0	1.46 0 1.46	0.15 0 0.15	0 0 0		5.1 0 5.1
4.6. Refrigerant Emissions b 4.6.1. Unmitigated	oy Land Use																	
Land Use Daily, Summer (Max) Single Family Housing	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N ₂ O	R	CO₂e 0.44	0.44
Total Daily, Winter (Max) Single Family Housing																	0.44	0.44
Total Annual Single Family Housing																	0.44	0.44
Total 4.7. Offroad Emissions By E	quinment Tw	10															0.07	0.07
4.7.1. Unmitigated 4.7.1. Unmitigated Equipment Type Daily, Summer (Max) Total Daily, Winter (Max) Total Annual Total	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N2O	R	CO2e	
4.8. Stationary Emissions B 4.8.1. Unmitigated Equipment Type Daily, Summer (Max)	y Equipment ⁻ TOG	lype ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N₂O	R	CO ₂ e	

Total Daily, Winter (Max)																
Total																
Annual																
Total																
4.9. User Defined Emissions	By Equipment Type															
4.9.1. Unmitigated	TOG ROO	6 NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4		R	CO2e
Equipment Type Daily, Summer (Max)	TOG ROO	s NOX	co	SO ₂	PMIDE	PM10D	PM101	PIVI2.5E	PIVI2.5D	PM2.51	BCO: NBCO2	CO21	CH4	N ₂ O	к	CO2e
Total																
Daily, Winter (Max)																
Total																
Annual																
Total																
4.40. Cail Carbon Assumulati	Duille-station Tures															
4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulati 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumula		- Unmitigated														
Vegetation	TOG ROO		со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e
Daily, Summer (Max)														-		
Total																
Daily, Winter (Max)																
Total																
Annual Total																
TOLAI																
4.10.2. Above and Belowgrou	und Carbon Accumulatio	n by Land Use Typ	e - Unmitigated													
Land Use	TOG ROO	6 NOx	со	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH4	N ₂ O	R	CO ₂ e
Daily, Summer (Max)																
Total																
Daily, Winter (Max) Total																
Annual																
Total																
4.10.3. Avoided and Sequest	ered Emissions by Speci	es - Unmitigated														
Species	TOG ROO	G NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO: NBCO2	CO ₂ T	CH₄	N ₂ O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max) Avoided																
Subtotal																
Sequestered																
Subtotal																
Removed																
Subtotal																
Daily, Winter (Max)																
Avoided																
Subtotal																
Sequestered																
Subtotal																
Removed																
Subtotal																
Annual																
Avoided																
Subtotal																
Sequestered																
Subtotal Removed																
Subtotal																
5. Activity Data																
5.1. Construction Schedule Phase Name	Phase Type Star	t Date End D)ate Davie D	er Week Work Day	nor E Pharo Daar	rintion										
Demolition	Demolition Star	45170 t Date End L	Jate Days P 45207	er week work Day 5	26 26 26 26 26	inpulluli										
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	45206	45225	5	14											
Grading	Grading	45224	45289	5	48											
Building Construction	Building Constru	45288	45975	5	492											
Paving Apphite sturn Contine	Paving	45974	46011	5	27											
Architectural Coating	Architectural Co	45717	46047	5	235											
5.2. Off-Road Equipment																
5.2.1. Unmitigated																
Phase Name	Equipment Type Fue			er per Day Hours Per												
Demolition	Rubber Tired Do Die			2	8		0.4									
Demolition	Excavators Die			3	8		0.38									
Demolition Site Preparation	Concrete/Indust Die Rubber Tired Do Die	el Her4	1 Final 1 Final	1	8		0.73									
Site Preparation																
	Tractors/Loader Die	el Tier 4	1 Final	4	8	84	0.37									
Grading	Tractors/Loader: Die Graders Die	el Tier 4		4 1	8 8	148	0.37 0.41									
		el Tier 4				148										

Grading	Tractors/Loader: Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2	8	84	0.37
0			2	-	•••	
Grading	Rubber Tired Do Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1	8	367	0.4
Grading	Scrapers Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2	8	423	0.48
Building Construction	Forklifts Diesel	Tier 4 Final	3	8	82	0.2
Building Construction	Generator Sets Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1	8	14	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1	7	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1	8	46	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loader: Diesel	Tier 4 Final	3	7	84	0.37
Paving	Pavers Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2	8	81	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipme Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2	8	89	0.36
Paving	Rollers Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2	8	36	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1	6	37	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles 5.3.1. Unmitigated

J.J.I. Omminigated				
Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips r Miles per Tri	ip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition				
Demolition	Worker	15	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor		6.9	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck			HHDT
Site Preparation				
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor		6.9	HHDT, MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck			HHDT
Grading				
Grading	Worker	20	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor		6.9	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck			HHDT
Building Construction				
Building Construction	Worker	11.9	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	3.53	6.9	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck			HHDT
Paving				
Paving	Worker	15	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor		6.9	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck			HHDT
Architectural Coating				
Architectural Coating	Worker	2.38	8.1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor		6.9	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0	20	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck			HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4. venues 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies Control Strategies Applied PM10 Reductior PM2.5 Reduction

5.5. Architectural Coatings Phase Name Residential Inter Residential Exter Non-Residential Non-Residential Parking Area Coated (sq ft) 125550 41850 0 0 Architectural Coating

5.6. Dust Mitigation 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

al Importi Material Exporti Acres Graded (a Material Demoli Acres Paved (acres)			
0			
21	0		
44	0		
0	0	1.09	
	0 21	0 21 0	

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies Control Strategies Applied Frequency (per (PM10 Reductior PM2.5 Reduction

Land Use	Area Paved (acri % Asphal	t
Single Family Housing	0.36	C
Parking Lot	0.73	100

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors					
Year	k	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
	2023	0		204	0.03 < 0.005
	2024	0		204	0.03 < 0.005
	2025	0		204	0.03 < 0.005
	2026	0		204	0.03 < 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources 5.9.1. Unmitigated Land Use Type Tri Trips/Weekday Trips/Saturday Trips/Sunday Trips/Year VMT/Weekday VMT/Saturday VMT/Sunday VMT/Year 312 312 312 113705 3167 3167 3167 1155826 Single Family Housing

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Parking Lot 5.10. Operational Area Sources 5.10.1. Hearths 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated Hearth Type Unmitigated (number) Single Family Housing Wood Fireplaces 0 Gas Fireplaces 0 Propane Fireplaces 0 Electric Fireplaces 0 No Fireplaces 33 Conventional Wood Stoves 0 Catalytic Wood Stoves 0 Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves 0 Pellet Wood Stoves 0 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings Residential Interior Area Coatei Residential Exte: Non-Residential Non-Residential Parking Area Coated (sq ft) 125550 41850 0 0 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment Unit Value Season Snow Davs 0 dav/vr Summer Days 330 day/yr 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption 5.11.1. Unmitigated Electricity (kWh, CO2 CH4 N20 Natural Gas (kBTU/yr) Land Use Single Family Housing 200091 204 0.033 0.004 1316704 0.004 Parking Lot 0 204 0.033 0 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption 5.12.1. Unmitigated Indoor Water (g Outdoor Water (gal/year) Land Use Single Family Housing 997326 1444347 0 0 Parking Lot 5.13. Operational Waste Generation 5.13.1. Unmitigated Waste (ton/year Cogeneration (kWh/year) Land Use Single Family Housing 16.3 Parking Lot 0 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment 5.14.1. Unmitigated Land Use Type Equipment Type Refrigerant GWP Quantity (kg) Operations Leak Service Leak Rat Times Serviced Single Family Housing Average room A R-410A 2088 < 0.005 2.5 2.5 10 Single Family Housing Household refris R-134a 1430 0.12 0.6 0 1 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment 5.15.1. Unmitigated Equipment Type Fuel Type Engine Tier Number per Day Hours Per Day Horsepower Load Factor 5.16. Stationary Sources 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps Fuel Type Equipment Type Number per Day Hours per Day Hours per Year Horsepower Load Factor 5.16.2. Process Boilers Fuel Type Boiler Rating (M Daily Heat Input Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr) Number Equipment Type 5.17. User Defined Fuel Type Equipment Type 5.18. Vegetation 5.18.1. Land Use Change 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated Vegetation Soil Initial Acres Final Acres Vegetation Land Use Type 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated Initial Acres Final Acres Biomass Cover Type 5.18.2. Sequestration 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated Tree Type Number Electricity Saved Natural Gas Saved (btu/year) 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report 6.1. Climate Risk Summary Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040-2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100. Result for Projec Unit Climate Hazard

Temperature and Extreme Heat	6.73 annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	7.35 annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0 meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	50.5 annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 240–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi. Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about % an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received and the rain day fully data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The proj

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard Exposure Score Sensitivity Score Adaptive Capaci Vulnerability Score Temperature and Extreme Heal N/A N/A N/A N/A

remperature and Extrem	ne nea w/A	19/6	19/5	19/6	
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sea Level Rise		1	0	0 N/A	
Wildfire		1	0	0 N/A	
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Drought		0	0	0 N/A	
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure. The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt. The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard Exposure Score Sensitivity Score Adaptive Capaci Vulnerability Score

Temperature and Extreme H	lea: N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sea Level Rise		1	1	1	2
Wildfire		1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Drought		1	1	1	2
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure. The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt. The overall vulnerability score are calculated based on the obstential innacts and adaptive capacity is rated based. Score and the adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.1. Calenviroscreen 4.0 score

The maximum CalEnviroScreen se	core is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
Indicator F	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	
AQ-Ozone	14.9
AQ-PM	9.16
AQ-DPM	13.2
Drinking Water	83.2
Lead Risk Housing	13.7
Pesticides	55
Toxic Releases	12
Traffic	78.5
Effect Indicators	
CleanUp Sites	0
Groundwater	39.4
Haz Waste Facilities/Generator	74.7
Impaired Water Bodies	23.9
Solid Waste	52.9
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	0.11
Cardio-vascular	2.08
Low Birth Weights	
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	
Education	
Housing	99.9
Linguistic	17.3
Poverty	99.9
Unemployment	96.3
7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores	

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state. Indicator Result for Project Census Tract Economic Above Poverty Employed Median HI Education Education Education Finder High school enrollment Transportation Auto Access Active commuting

Social 2-parent households Voting Neighborhood Alcohol availability Park access Retail density Supermarket access Tree canopy Housing Homeownership Housing habitability Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden Uncrowded housing Health Outcomes Insured adults Arthritis 0 100 Asthma ER Admissions High Blood Pressure 0 Cancer (excluding skin) 0 Asthma 0 Coronary Heart Disease 0 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary 0 Diagnosed Diabetes 0 Life Expectancy at Birth 0 89 Cognitively Disabled Physically Disabled 100 100 Heart Attack ER Admissions Mental Health Not Good 0 Chronic Kidney Disease 0 Obesity 0 Pedestrian Injuries 0 Physical Health Not Good 0 0 Stroke Health Risk Behaviors 0 Binge Drinking Current Smoker 0 No Leisure Time for Physical Ac 0 Climate Change Exposures Wildfire Risk 0.6 SLR Inundation Area 0 Children 99 Elderly 100 English Speaking 0 Foreign-born 0 Outdoor Workers 62 Climate Change Adaptive Capacity 92 Impervious Surface Cover Traffic Density 0 Traffic Access 0 Other Indices 0 Hardship Other Decision Support 2016 Voting 0 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores Metric Result for Project Census Tract CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for P 33 Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b) Project Located in a Designatec No Project Located in a Low-Incom Yes Project Located in a Community No a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state. b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state. 7.4. Health & Equity Measures Co-Benefits Achieved Measure Title 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard Number of Appl Total Points Earr Max Possible Po Weighted Score Category 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures Measure Title Sponsor 8. User Changes to Default Data Screen lustification Project is on 2 acres. Square footage based on PD. Landscaping assumed to be on 2 acres. Land Use Construction: Construction Pha Schedule based on default schedule (22 months) adjusted to reflect project schedule (29 months). Each phase overlaps with previous phase for 1 day for modeling purposes except for coatings, which starts halfway through the building construction phase.

Construction: Off-Road Equipm All pieces are Tier 4. Default fleet mix and usage.

0.73 acres of paving based on 480 sf per space, 66 spaces, and 43560 sf per acre 9.44 ADT/DU for single family. no trips for parking. default length and mode splits

Construction: Paving

Operations: Vehicle Data

Appendix C

Wetland Alternatives Evaluation

MP EIR ADDENDA ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION

The 2035 Cal Poly Master Plan identifies Faculty & Staff Housing as a project intended to provide workforce housing with some community facilities, focused first on the Cal Poly community. https://masterplan.calpoly.edu/docs/cal-poly-campus-master-plan-abridged.pdf.

The vacant land at the northeast corner of Slack Street and Grand Avenue represents an underutilized Cal Poly property and residential faculty and staff housing represents the highest and best use for the site. The site has several attributes conducive to residential development, including its close proximity to the surrounding residential community, various campus amenities including the Performing Arts Center, various on-campus athletic venues, as well as the 101 Freeway; land available for self-contained parking; and easy access to and from the community and campus.

Access to attainable housing is consistently cited as a major hurdle in hiring and retaining new faculty and staff members at California Polytechnic State University in San Luis Obispo. The Cal Poly Corporation (CPC), alongside the university, is developing additional housing for campus community members to help ease this burden.

- In November 2015, the campus received the CSU Chancellor's Office support from the Land Development Review Committee (LDRC) for a workforce housing project on the project site and in March 2016 the CSU Board of Trustees approved the conceptual proposal to pursue a public-private partnership plan for the development of the project. The project as proposed in 2016 was a high-density four to five story 400+ unit apartment development situated at the corner of Slack and Grand Avenue.
 - Due to concerns over the project size and adjacencies to existing single family development along the southern edge of Slack Street, the project was put on hold and the campus initiated a new programming phase for the project. Due to the COVID pandemic the project was again put on hold until late 2021 when the project was restarted and programming was completed for a 200-unit project utilizing approximately 10 acres at the site identified on the corner of Slack Street and Grand Avenue.
 - Based on the proposed building types and locations for the 200-unit development, a 0 detailed geotechnical investigation was prepared by Earth Systems, a firm that has extensive experience on the Cal Poly campus and on this property specifically. Due to several months of heavy rains saturating the soils and the steep slope conditions on site, Earth Systems could not initiate testing until early May 2023. The results of this analysis indicated that much of the proposed development area was underlain by shallow, unweathered bedrock or historic deep-seated landslide debris. Although a significant grading operation had been anticipated in order to create building pads for the proposed housing, the geological conditions identified presented two significant challenges to the economic feasibility of 200-unit 75% schematic site plan: 1) the presence of shallow bedrock (1.5 feet to 8.5 feet below the surface throughout the majority of the site) would require more significant and costly excavation activity to create level building pads and allow for utility trenching, and 2) the presence of deep-seated landslide debris in the northwest section of the site would require up to 40 feet of excavation and re-compaction of soil, based on the recommendation of Earth Systems.
 - Following these extensive geotechnical studies, it was determined that the scope of the project would need to be reduced. The development team iterated and produced up to ten different fit studies over the project site to achieve a unit density per acre that produced a financially and technically viable development project. Due to the topography, the site is an import site, meaning regardless of the configuration, soil will need to imported to

successfully develop building pads to support housing. During the iteration process the design/development team iterated to find a design that not only supported the needed density, but also limited the amount of soil imported on to the site for financial and environmental considerations. The result was a scope to develop 33 for-sale faculty-staff housing units and supporting neighborhood improvements over 6 acres on the corner of Slack Street and Grand Avenue. The design/development team took into consideration the natural topography and grading has been minimized as much as possible over the single-family housing pads to achieve financially sustainable density and leave areas to support the natural environment and supportive amenities. Areas of the site with over a 20% slope have been avoided and have been incorporated to support planned landscaping, recreation, and amenities. Large retaining walls have been studied across the project site and have proven to be technically and financially infeasible.

- In its current configuration it is contemplated that units will sell at 20-30% below existing market rates. The design / development team studied an alternative that would eliminate five (5) housing units from the development leaving twenty-eight (28) homes. This alternative would still require a stormwater management / retention design that would utilize the existing stream and stormwater infrastructure system to handle water that falls on the project site.
 - In this scenario the fixed / site costs to develop this alternative would remain relatively constant and these costs would be allocated across a fewer number of housing units. The result of this would render the price of homes over \$1.4M per home, rendering the project financially unfeasible.
- The on-campus location at the university's southern edge provides a housing alternative for faculty and staff that will provide high-quality housing in a community environment, enhance faculty and staff connectivity with the campus, reduce commutes and neighborhood traffic.
- The faculty and staff housing use is consistent with the comprehensive master plan for the Cal Poly campus and the corresponding community need to add additional housing in the San Luis Obispo County region. We anticipate low to moderate local neighborhood opposition due to the fact that the single-family portion of the project complements the existing single-family neighborhood that is adjacent to the project site and multi-family units are located closer to the interior of campus and are adjacent to existing three story student dormitories.
- No prime agricultural sites are impacted by this development. In addition, over 3 acres of the project site will be dedicated and preserved as open space to complement the housing projects and to be utilized by the occupants to support recreation.
- The planned housing development will create a walkable neighborhood that will encourage alternative forms of transportation for faculty and staff leading to a reduction in single occupant car trips and will minimizes negative impacts such as traffic congestion in the region.

Appendix D

Noise Modeling Results

Cal Poly Slack and Grand



	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eg} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	173	75.0	Grader	85	0.4
Slack Street	25	91.8	Excavator	85	0.4
Grand Avenue	65	83.5	Dozer	85	0.4
Water Tanks	530	65.3			
Standard (50 ft)	50	85.8			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.00

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Grader	81.0
Excavator	81.0
Dozer	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet)

85.8

Sources:

 $^{1}\,\text{Obtained}$ from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.