EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 INTRODUCTION

This Executive Summary is provided in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15123. It contains an overview of the programmatic analysis of the California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo (Cal Poly) 2035 Master Plan ("2035 Master Plan" or "project"). As stated in the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(a), "[a]n EIR shall contain a brief summary of the proposed actions and its consequences. The language of the summary should be as clear and simple as reasonably practical." State CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(b) states, "[t]he summary shall identify: 1) each significant effect with proposed mitigation measures and alternatives that would reduce or avoid that effect; 2) areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public; and 3) issues to be resolved including the choice among alternatives and whether or how to mitigate the significant effects." Accordingly, this summary includes a brief synopsis of the 2035 Master Plan and plan alternatives, environmental impacts and mitigation, areas of known controversy, and issues to be resolved during environmental review. Table ES-1 (at the end of this section) presents the summary of potential environmental impacts, their level of significance without mitigation measures, the mitigation measures, and the levels of significance following the implementation of mitigation measures.

ES.2 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

ES.2.1 Project Location

Located in San Luis Obispo County, the Cal Poly campus abuts the City of San Luis Obispo to the south and west, and open space, ranchland, and public land, the majority of which is owned by Cal Poly, to the north and east. Cal Poly's landholdings occupy 10,128 acres in San Luis Obispo and Santa Cruz Counties, primarily consisting of rangeland, farmland, and natural habitats. The 2035 Master Plan Area, as evaluated in this EIR, consists of 1,339 acres (referred to herein as the "Master Plan Area" or "campus") and includes the 855-acre main campus, which is comprised of four subareas, including the Academic Code, East Campus, North Campus, and West Campus subareas. Located in San Luis Obispo County (County), the Cal Poly campus abuts the City of San Luis Obispo (City) to the south and west, and open space, ranch land, and public land to the north and east.

ES.2.2 Background and Need for the Project

Originally established on March 8, 1901 by then California Governor Henry Gage as the California Polytechnic School, Cal Poly began as 281 acres of ranch land and has expanded to approximately 10,128 acres of land, 6,428 acres of which are located in San Luis Obispo County. The first formal Master Plan for Cal Poly was prepared in 1949 based on a projected enrollment of 4,080 students. In 1958, the California Department of Education dictated that all non-metropolitan state college campuses should plan for an enrollment of 12,000 full-time-equivalent students (FTES)¹ which led to the next Master Plan, prepared in 1962, and approved by the California State University Board of Trustees (Trustees) in May 1963. In 1970, the fourth revision to the Master Plan increased the enrollment capacity to 15,000 FTES. In the late 1990s, University leadership commissioned a comprehensive Master Plan update which was approved by the Trustees in 2001 (2001 Master Plan). The 2001 Master Plan raised the enrollment capacity to 17,500 FTES (20,900 headcount), where it remains today. With the opening of the Baker Center for Science and Mathematics

¹ FTES is a metric for evaluating educational capacity and is based on the assumption that a full-time undergraduate student is expected to enroll in 15 units each term (i.e., quarter) and that a full-time graduate student is expected to enroll in 12 units each term (i.e., quarter). FTES balances out the amount of instruction involved, and level of academic instruction required because not all students take exactly these loads each term. Refer to Chapter 2, "Project Description," of the EIR for further clarification.

Executive Summary Ascent Environmental

in 2013, Cal Poly has completed most of the projects anticipated in the 2001 Master Plan. As projected enrollment within the CSU system continues to increase, Cal Poly is proposing an update to the Master Plan.

The proposed 2035 Master Plan provides for needed academic facilities, additional on-campus housing, recreation and athletic facilities, and other support facilities in the Master Plan area that would accommodate increased student and university demands for facilities and services. The Master Plan update process began in 2014 and has included over 200 meetings that addressed academic program demand, physical and environmental constraints, and opportunities to support a future student enrollment of 25,000 headcount (22,500 FTES).

The proposed 2035 Master Plan is a long-range planning document that guides the development and use of campus lands to accommodate growth in student enrollment and in fulfillment of Cal Poly's academic mission. The university anticipates growth in the student body of approximately 200 new students per year on average, for an addition of approximately 3,188 by 2035. The 2035 Master Plan provides for the anticipated increase in demand for academic facilities, additional housing on campus, recreation and athletics facilities, and other support facilities and services on campus to accommodate the increase in enrollment at Cal Poly and university needs through 2035.

ES.2.3 Project Objectives

The primary objective of the 2035 Master Plan is to support and advance the university's educational mission by guiding the physical development of the campus and its facilities to accommodate gradual student enrollment growth while preserving and enhancing the quality of campus life. To do so, the 2035 Master Plan lays out the land use, circulation, and physical development plans of the campus to educate a future student enrollment of 22,500 FTES (or 25,000 headcount). The following objectives of the 2035 Master Plan have been established in support of Cal Poly's primary goal:

- Support and advance the University's educational mission by guiding the physical development of the campus to accommodate gradual student enrollment growth up to a future enrollment of 22,500 FTES by year 2035 while preserving and enhancing the quality of campus life.
- ► Enhance academic quality and student success through Cal Poly's "Learn by Doing" teaching methodology through the provision of physical facilities that allow students to take a hands-on approach and conduct project-based learning.
- Expand campus programs, services, facilities, and housing to support and enhance the diversity of students, faculty, and staff.
- ▶ Site campus facilities and housing to strengthen the campus's compact Academic Core and promote cross-disciplinary synergies between complementary academic, student/faculty support, and housing programs.
- ► House all first- and second-year students plus 30 percent of upper-division students in residential communities on campus.
- Provide housing opportunities on campus primarily for university faculty and staff to promote recruitment and retention and enhance faculty and staff engagement with the campus. In addition, provide housing opportunities and complementary services that may be offered to nontraditional students such as graduate students, veterans, students with families; potentially alumni housing or a retirement community; and for members of the San Luis Obispo community.
- Provide and enhance campus facilities to create a more vibrant evening and weekend environment.
- ▶ Attain a modal shift from vehicles to more pedestrian, bicycle, and transit use.
- Advance campus-wide environmental sustainability and make progress toward goals of carbon neutrality and climate resilience.
- ► Consider the interface between Cal Poly and the surrounding communities with respect to shared economic health, housing, multimodal transportation, open space and agricultural resources, diversity, and public services.

▶ Preserve the core of the Main Campus for instructional and student service uses and move support functions/facilities to the perimeter.

ES.2.4 Characteristics of the Project

Development under the 2035 Master Plan would include approximately 7,200 new student beds; an additional 1.29 million gross square feet (gsf) of academic, administrative, and support space; 380 residential units intended primarily for faculty/staff with supporting uses (retail and recreational space); and a 200-unit university-based retirement community. In addition, 455,000 gsf of existing academic, administrative, and support space would be redeveloped and replaced with new facilities. The 2035 Master Plan proposes circulation infrastructure improvements, to provide for the safe and efficient movement of pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles around campus, while also encouraging a more complete shift to an active transportation approach. Further, utilities infrastructure improvements, such as new water, wastewater, and storm drainage infrastructure, are also proposed to accommodate growth under the 2035 Master Plan. Refer to Chapter 2, "Project Description," of this EIR for further information regarding the components of the 2035 Master Plan.

ES.3 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES

This EIR has been prepared pursuant to the CEQA (Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 21000 et seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 1500, et seq.) to evaluate the physical environmental effects of the proposed 2035 Master Plan. The California State University (CSU) Board of Trustees (Trustees) is the lead agency for the project. The Trustees have the principal responsibility for approving and carrying out the project and for ensuring that the requirements of CEQA have been met. After the Final EIR is prepared and the EIR public-review process is complete, the Trustees is the party responsible for certifying that the EIR adequately evaluates the impacts of the project.

Table ES-1, presented at the end of this chapter, provides a summary of the environmental impacts for the 2035 Master Plan. The table provides the level of significance of the impact before mitigation, recommended mitigation measures, and the level of significance of the impact after implementation of the mitigation measures.

ES.3.1 Significant-and-Unavoidable Impacts and Cumulative Impacts

Section 21100(b)(2)(A) of the State CEQA Guidelines provides that an EIR shall include a detailed statement setting forth "in a separate section: any significant effect on the environment that cannot be avoided if the project is implemented." Accordingly, this section provides a summary of significant environmental impacts of the plan that cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level.

Chapter 3, "Existing Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation," provides a description of the potential environmental impacts arising from the implementation of the 2035 Master Plan and recommends various mitigation measures to reduce impacts, to the extent feasible. Chapter 4, "Cumulative Impacts," determines whether the incremental effects of this plan are significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects. After implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, most of the impacts associated with development of the plan would be reduced to a less-than-significant level. The following impacts are considered significant and unavoidable; that is, no feasible mitigation is available or the mitigation measures available were not sufficient to reduce the plan's impacts to a less-than-significant level. Note, this is only a summary of those impacts; it is important to review the discussions in Chapters 3 and 4 of this EIR to understand the full context of the impact determinations.

Executive Summary Ascent Environmental

The 2035 Master Plan would result in the following significant and unavoidable impacts, following implementation of feasible mitigation measures:

- ▶ Impact 3.1-1: Result in a Substantial Adverse Effect on a Scenic Vista or Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of Public Views of the Site and Its Surroundings
- ▶ Impact 3.1-2: Damage Scenic Resources within a State Scenic Highway
- ► Impact 3.2-1: Convert Agricultural Uses, Including Lands Designated as Important Farmland, to Nonagricultural Use
- ► Impact 3.3-2: Cause Construction-Generated Criteria Air Pollutant or Precursor Emissions to Exceed APCD-Recommended Thresholds
- ▶ Impact 3.3-3: Result in a Net Increase in Long-Term Operational Criteria Air Pollutant and Precursor Emissions That Exceed APCD-Recommended Thresholds
- ▶ Impact 3.3-6: Result in Other Emissions (Such as Those Leading to Odors) Adversely Affecting a Substantial Number of People
- ▶ Impact 3.4-1: Cause Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Historical Resource
- ▶ Impact 3.10-1: Generate Substantial Temporary (Construction) Noise
- ▶ Impact 3.10-3: Generate Substantial Long-Term Increase in Stationary Noise

Cumulative impacts to aesthetics (effects on a scenic vistas, existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings, and scenic resources within a state scenic highway), agriculture (conversion of farmland in the region), air quality (criteria air pollutant emissions during construction and operation and odors), and historic resources (alteration of historic structures) would also be significant and unavoidable as a result of implementation of the 2035 Master Plan.

ES.4 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6, as amended, mandates that all EIRs include a comparative evaluation of the proposed plan with alternatives to the plan that are capable of attaining most of the plan's basic objectives but would avoid or substantially lessen any of the significant effects of the plan. CEQA requires an evaluation of a "range of reasonable" alternatives, including the "no project" alternative. The following provides brief descriptions of the alternatives evaluated in this Draft EIR. Table ES-2 presents a comparison of the environmental impacts between the alternatives and the proposed project.

- ▶ Alternative 1: No Project Alternative. This alternative would involve the continued implementation of the 2001 Master Plan. Planned growth as expressed in the 2001 Master Plan would continue up to its planned capacity (500,000 gsf), primarily associated with new academic/administrative space. Enrollment growth would be at the same levels projected in the 2035 Master Plan.
- ▶ Alternative 2: Reduced Administrative/Academic Development Program. Under this alternative, Cal Poly would implement a master plan with an overall reduction in planned campus development of administrative/academic space. Approximately 500,000 gross square feet (gsf) of new academic/administrative space would be provided, compared to approximately 1,290,000 gsf of new academic/administrative space under the 2035 Master Plan, resulting in less ground disturbance and other development-related impacts. Further, approximately 455,000 gsf of renovations would occur within existing structures under this alternative, for a total development/renovation of 955,000 gsf. Proposed growth in on-campus student housing (approximately 7,200 student beds) and growth in enrollment would be the same as the 2035 Master Plan.

▶ Alternative 3: Net Student Growth Only. Under Alternative 3, Cal Poly would implement a long-range campus plan that reduces the level of student housing development relative to the proposed increase of approximately 7,200 student beds. This alternative would provide up to 3,188 student beds, which would correspond to the projected increase in student enrollment at Cal Poly. The 1,750,000 gsf of new academic/administrative space proposed under the 2035 Master Plan would remain the same under this alternative. Under this alternative, the faculty, staff and workforce housing at Slack Street and Grand Avenue and the University-Based Retirement Community would not be constructed.

Alternative 4: No Development along City Interface. This alternative would include development of the campus similar to that under the 2035 Master Plan, however no development would be proposed along (i.e., within 500 feet/0.1 mile) the campus's southern boundary with the city of San Luis Obispo. Those projects associated with the 2035 Master Plan that would be located within these areas would be relocated within the undeveloped areas of the Master Plan Area, predominately in the North and West Campus subareas. Under this alternative, the Farm Shop, the University-Based Retirement Community, Facilities Operations Complex (and interim parking lot) within the West Campus, and the faculty, staff and workforce housing site at Slack Street and Grand Avenue in the East Campus would not be constructed in their current locations but would be more centrally located within the Master Plan Area. Spanos Stadium expansion would still occur under this alternative, as it would be an expansion of an existing facility that could not be relocated to an alternative site within the interior campus.

The State CEQA Guidelines section 15126.6 states that an EIR should identify the "environmentally superior" alternative. "If the environmentally superior alternative is the 'no project' alternative, the EIR shall also identify an environmentally superior alternative among the other alternatives." Consistent with State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Section 15126.6 [e][2]), because the environmentally superior alternative was identified as the No Project Alternative, another environmentally superior alternative shall be identified. Based on the environmental analysis contained in this Draft EIR, the environmentally superior alternative would be either the 2035 Master Plan or Alternative 4 (No Development Along City Interface Alternative), depending on decisions about the priority of types of environmental benefits and adverse effects by Cal Poly. In essence, decision-makers must weigh the relative importance of greater construction-related and proximity-related impacts to receptors within the City of San Luis Obispo associated with the 2035 Master Plan, compared to the greater operational and construction-related impacts associated with development further from existing development and infrastructure under Alternative 4. Nonetheless, each of the alternatives considered would result in long-term, significant and unavoidable environmental impacts. Therefore, the environmental impact differences between these two alternatives are not substantial enough that one is clearly superior to the other.

ES.5 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY AND ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED

A notice of preparation (NOP) was distributed for the 2035 Master Plan on October 3, 2016, to responsible agencies, interested parties, and organizations, as well as private organizations and individuals that may have an interest in the project. A public scoping meeting was held on September 21, 2016. The purpose of the NOP and the scoping meeting was to provide notification that an EIR for was being prepared for the project and to solicit input on the scope and content of the environmental document. The NOP and responses to the NOP are included in Appendix A of this Draft EIR. Key concerns and issues that were expressed during the scoping process included the following:

- bike and pedestrian facilities;
- baseline used for trip generation rates;
- trip reduction mitigation measures and traffic counts;
- impacts on police services;
- water supply and coordination with the City of San Luis Obispo Utilities Department;
- fire safety;
- wastewater treatment;

Executive Summary Ascent Environmental

- off-campus student housing;
- ▶ impacts on San Luis Obispo Transit Authority Services;
- ▶ impacts to Highway 1;
- aesthetic impacts to State Route 1, which are part of the Scenic Highway System and a Priority Interregional Highway;
- evaluation of Caltrans' Smart Mobility 2010: A Call to Action for the New Decade;
- ▶ incorporation of intersection and ramp analysis in the traffic impact analysis; and
- ▶ analysis of VMT.

All of the substantive environmental issues raised in the NOP comment letters and at the scoping meeting have been addressed or otherwise considered during preparation of this Draft EIR.

Table ES-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS Aesthetics	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.1-1: Result in a Substantial Adverse Effect on a Scenic Vista or Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of Public Views of the Site and Its Surroundings New construction and expansion within the Academic Core and North Campus subareas would be largely consistent with existing uses and would not be located in areas of high viewer sensitivity. As required by 2035 Master Plan Policies GP09 and S05, project design would preserve or enhance the existing visual character and quality of the site. The siting, scaling, and design of new development would help to maintain or preserve the existing visual quality and character. However, proposed new, permanent structures in the West Campus, specifically the Farm Shop and the University-Based Retirement Community, and in the East Campus, specifically the residential neighborhood proposed for the northeast corner of Slack Street and Grand Avenue, would be located in areas of high viewer sensitivity and could be incompatible with the existing visual character and quality of the sites. Project development in the West Campus would potentially result in adverse effects to scenic vistas, including views of the Morros, and development of the Slack and Grand project in the East Campus could result in substantial degradation of existing visual character. Therefore, this impact would be significant.		Mitigation Measure 3.1-1: Prepare and Implement Landscaping Plans for Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community, and Slack and Grand Projects Prior to implementation of the Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community Project, and Slack and Grand project, Cal Poly shall prepare site-specific landscaping plans for review and approval by the CSU. The plans shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect and shall include specifications for plant and tree species, sizes, densities and planting locations that shall be implemented during construction of each project. The objective of the landscaping plans shall be to provide visual screening of the projects from sensitive viewing locations and to reduce the impression of visual mass and structure.	SU
Impact 3.1-2: Damage Scenic Resources within a State Scenic Highway Project development within the Academic Core, North Campus, and East Campus subareas would not occur along SR 1 and visibility of these features would be limited. Proposed development would be compatible and visually cohesive with existing development and would not damage scenic resources within a state scenic highway. Development in the West Campus subarea would be constructed along SR 1, would be prominently visible, and would reduce views of Bishop Peak and the surrounding landscape. Therefore, the project would damage scenic resources within a state scenic highway, and this impact would be significant.		As discussed above under Impact 3.1-1, mitigation related to the aesthetic impacts associated with development of the West Campus subarea, in accordance with Section 15370 of the CEQA Guidelines, could include reducing the scale of the development or relocating the development to other less visually sensitive areas. However, because any construction at the proposed sites would block scenic views of Bishop Peak from SR 1, a state scenic highway, and alternative sites are not available, these mitigation measures are not considered feasible.	SU
Impact 3.1-3: Create a New Source of Substantial Light or Glare Which Would Adversely Affect Day or Nighttime Views in the Area Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would introduce new sources of light and glare associated with new buildings and facilities, and new lighting at the Farm Shop, University-Based Retirement Community, and Slack and Grand project sites would contribute to degradation of visual character and quality of public views	S	Mitigation Measure 3.1-3a: Use Nonreflective Materials on Building Surfaces Cal Poly shall require the use of nonreflective exterior surfaces and nonreflective (mirrored) glass for all new or redeveloped structures.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Meas	Significan ures after Mitigatio
NI = No impact LTS = Less the	nan significant PS = Potentially significar	S = Significant SU = Significa	nt and unavoidable
(see Impact 3.1-1). Additionally, to support the Master Plan goa hour campus community, increased lighting would be required. Such lighting could contribute to indirect lighting/glare on adjacould adversely affect daytime or nighttime views and result in This impact would be significant.	University additional skyglow. University additional skyglow. University additional skyglow. Prior to Retirem compression construction and	In Measure 3.1-3b: Prepare and Implemely-Based Retirement Community, and Stapproval of development plans for the Funt Community Project, or Slack and Grapensive, and site-specific lighting plans for the State Architect that shall be impletion/implementation. The lighting plans who is an active member of the Illumir (IESNA) using guidance and best practic Association. The lighting plans shall add but not limited to all buildings, infrastrated signage. The lighting plans shall included in the source of exterior lighting shall be stons; are spass from exterior lights shall be minused and using cutoff fixtures or shield mation from exterior lights shall be the later public safety; or lighting shall be designed to minimize the shall require all new, permanent outdoor all lighting methods (e.g., shielding and explare and light spillover onto adjacent and orientation shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communication shall also be considerated at nearby land uses, to the extent feasilesing shall be provided at the time of communications.	ack and Grand Projects farm Shop, University-Based and project, Cal Poly shall prepare for review and approval by the femented as part of project shall be prepared by a qualified fating Engineering Society of North for each endorsed by the International foress all aspects of the lighting, for tucture, parking lots, driveways, for the following, as feasible, in fasible by the illumination engineer: fishielded from off-site viewing for the following light for the following light for the following light for campus Development for lighting fixtures to utilize for cutoff-type light fixtures) to for cutoff-type light fixtures) to for cutoff-type light spillover is fole. Verification of inclusion in for lighting review. For internal considerations is for the following light for the following light for campus Development for lighting fixtures to utilize for cutoff-type light fixtures) to for cutoff-type light fixtures in addition, light for the following light

Impacts NII - No impact - LTS - Less than significant - DS	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
	S = Potentially	receptors including existing residential neighborhoods. Barrier design would be determined at the time of individual project design, based on project details, proximity to existing land uses, and anticipated operational characteristics of the proposed development. Barriers shall be designed or approved by a qualified arborist or landscape architect, in coordination with Cal Poly, and shall consider vegetation types that are native to the region and provide year-round leaf cover, and overall design shall be consistent with other applicable University policies, while minimizing light spillover to the extent feasible.	
Impact 3.2-1: Convert Agricultural Uses, Including Lands Designated as Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, or Unique Farmland (Important Farmland), to Nonagricultural Use The 2035 Master Plan includes several policies related to the need to preserve and enhance the presence of agriculture. While implementation of the 2035 Master Plan largely avoids designated Important Farmland, the proposed Facilities Operations Complex, including the interim replacement surface parking lot that could be built as the first phase of development of the site, would be located on land designated as Prime Farmland. Based on data obtained through GIS analysis, this would result in the conversion of up to 10 acres of Important Farmland to nonagricultural use. The College of Agriculture has ceased to use the 10 acres for agricultural purposes: its size, condition, and configuration render it difficult to manage and of less value to the College. Nonetheless, because it is currently designated Prime Farmland, its loss would be a significant impact.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.2-1: Preserve Other Campus Agricultural Land Before conversion of Prime Farmland to nonagricultural uses to accommodate development of the Facilities Operations Complex (including the first phase interim replacement surface parking), Cal Poly shall preserve through a conservation easement or similar legal mechanism an equivalent acreage (up to 10 total acres for the entire 2035 Master Plan Area) of Prime Farmland within its existing land holdings for agricultural purposes (including agricultural teaching and research). If no suitable property exists within the campus, Cal Poly shall identify and purchase or place a conservation easement on a parcel containing equivalent acreage of Prime Farmland.	SU
Impact 3.2-2: Involve Other Changes in the Existing Environment That Could Result in Conversion of Important Farmland to Nonagricultural Use Development proposed under the 2035 Master Plan could result in the direct loss or conversion of existing agricultural uses on the Cal Poly campus. However, development would occur within the existing campus boundary, not resulting in sprawl or expansion of the urban growth boundary of the City or County. In addition, substantially increasing on-campus housing under the 2035 Master Plan would reduce development pressure from Cal Poly onto the City and County. This reduced pressure, in addition to City and County policies that discourage the conversion of agricultural land to nonagricultural uses (see Section 3.2.1, "Regulatory Setting"), would limit the potential for off-campus development on agricultural land. Thus, indirect impacts on agricultural resources would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Air Quality		,			
Impact 3.3-1: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality Plan The APCD has developed its 2001 Clean Air Plan to guide the region toward achieving attainment of the federal 8-hour ozone standard and the California 1-hour and 8-hour ozone standards. The plan is based on an inventory of existing emission sources as well as projections about the future level of land use development in San Luis Obispo County. With implementation of the 2035 Master Plan, operational emissions per person, primarily associated with vehicle emissions, would decrease compared to existing conditions. On-campus improvements related to promoting pedestrian/bicycle modes of transportation and decreasing on-campus parking are consistent with objectives of the Clean Air Plan. Further, new buildings planned for development would be consistent with CSU and Cal Poly policy, including 2035 Master Plan Guiding Principles, which requires increased renewable energy, building efficiencies greater than required by building code, and development of on-site renewable energy sources, with goals to achieve zero net energy buildings, all of which would reduce project-generated emissions, consistent with the goals of the Clean Air Plan. For these reasons, the project would not conflict with the APCD's long-term air quality planning efforts and this impact would be less than significant.		No mitigati	on is required.		LTS
Impact 3.3-2: Cause Construction-Generated Criteria Air Pollutant or Precursor Emissions to Exceed APCD-Recommended Thresholds The project would be consistent with the 2001 Clean Air Plan's goals and objectives. However, for purposes of disclosure, a quantitative analysis was performed that identifies construction-related emissions of ROG, NO _X , PM ₁₀ , and PM _{2.5} if multiple projects were to be under construction at the same time. Emissions were assumed to result from demolition, site preparation (e.g., excavation, clearing), off-road equipment, material and equipment delivery trips, worker commute trips, and other construction activities (e.g., building, asphalt paving, application of architectural coatings). Construction activities would result in daily and quarterly emissions of ROG and NO _X that could exceed the APCD's thresholds of 137 lb/day and 2.5 tons/quarter, as well as quarterly emissions of diesel PM ₁₀ that could exceed the APCD's threshold of 0.13 tons/quarter. Therefore, construction-generated emissions of ROG, NO _X , and diesel PM ₁₀ from multiple, simultaneous projects could contribute to the existing nonattainment status of San	S	Based on the contractors Standard C Staging during continuity within 1,4 demonstration of 10 characteristics Off-road identified Signs sh	e APCD CEQA Han implement the following areas on truction of new 200 feet of sensitive trated to Cal Poly be, that risk levels at ances in a million. I diesel equipment d in Section 2449 (call be posted in the	ement Dust and Exhaust Emissions Reduction Measures dbook, Cal Poly shall ensure that construction owing measures for all 2035 Master Plan development: ion Reduction Measures for All Projects or diesel idling associated with equipment used exprenovated buildings on campus shall not be located be receptors. This distance can be adjusted if it can be be yethe construction contractor, with substantial nearby receptors would not exceed an estimated risk shall comply with the 5-minute idling restriction (3)(3) of CARB's In-Use Off-Road Diesel regulation. The designated queuing areas and job sites to remind forces of the 5-minute idling limit.	SU

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significan	t S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	-
Luis Obispo County for ozone and PM. While the 2035 Master Plan would not conflict with the 2001 Clean Air Plan, it is possible that multiple projects developed at the same time under the 2035 Master Plan could exceed APCD project-level thresholds. This impact would be significant.	d Use airbo spee be u	of water trucks or spring rne dust from leaving ds exceed 15 miles per ded whenever possible	disturbed area where possible. Inkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent the site. Increase water frequency whenever wind hour (mph). Reclaimed (nonpotable) water should the sprayed daily as needed.	
	► Pern reve	anent dust control me petation and landscape	easures identified in the approved project e plans shall be implemented as soon as possible f any soil disturbing activities.	
	one	month after initial grac	are planned to be reworked at dates greater than ding will be sown with fast germinating, non-invasive til vegetation is established.	
	аррг		subject to revegetation shall be stabilized using ders, jute netting, or other methods approved in	
	as p		dewalks, etc. to be paved shall be completed as soon lding pads shall be laid as soon as possible after soil binders are used.	
		ele speed for all constr ved surface at the con	uction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any struction site.	
	shou	d maintain at least two	, soil, or other loose materials shall be covered or o feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance op of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114.	
			e vehicles enter and exit unpaved roads onto streets pment leaving the site.	
	adja	•	f each day if visible soil material is carried onto er sweepers with reclaimed water should be used	
		these fugitive dust mi	itigation measures shall be included on grading and	
	fugit	ve dust emissions and	nall designate a person or persons to monitor the enhance the implementation of the measures as complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significa	t S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	_
	holid and Divis	ays and weekend periodelephone number of su ion before the start of a	ent transport of dust off-site. Their duties include ds when work may not be in progress. The name ich persons will be provided to APCD Compliance iny grading, earthwork, or demolition.	
		tain all construction equifications.	uipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's	
			e diesel-powered equipment with CARB-certified n-taxed version suitable for use off-road).	
	► Elec	rify equipment when fea	asible.	
	► Subs	titute gasoline-powered i	n place of diesel-powered equipment, where feasible.	
			g., paint) used in project buildings and parking areas panic compound content of 50 grams per liter.	
	criteria from th project shall be thresho individu followir	rather than emissions me project would be belowould exceed the screet conducted to determineds would be exceeded. al project's operational g mitigation measures were projected.	d under the 2035 Master Plan, APCD screening nodeling) shall be applied to determine if emissions with adopted numeric thresholds. If an individual ning criteria, project-specific emissions modeling e if APCD's adopted numeric project-level. If emissions modeling demonstrates that the emissions would exceed the APCD thresholds, the would apply in addition to the Standard on Measures described above.	
		ed Construction Emissio APCD Thresholds	n Reduction Measures for Individual Projects that	
	Mar	agement Plan that enco	ontrol Technologies (BACT) and a Dust Control impasses all, but is not limited to, dust control pove in the "Standard" measures section;	
		ation of on- and off-roa or hours of operation);	ad construction equipment (age, horsepower, miles,	
		dule of construction true	ck trips during non-peak hours to reduce peak hour	
	▶ limit	the length of the constr	ruction work day period, if necessary; and	
	► pha:	e construction activities	, if appropriate.	

significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
For individual projects proposed under the 2035 Master Plan, APCD screening criteria (rather than emissions modeling) shall be applied to determine if emissions from the project would be below the adopted numeric thresholds. If an individual project would exceed the screening criteria, project-specific emissions modeling shall be conducted to determine if APCD's adopted numeric project-level thresholds would be exceeded. If emissions modeling demonstrates that the individual project's operational emissions would exceed the APCD thresholds, the following mitigation measures would apply. Note that measures recommended below are based on current (i.e., 2012 and updated in 2017) APCD guidance and other applicable measures may become available overtime that may be applied as APCD guidance is updated, emissions trends change, or as applicable to the specific individual development. Mitigation Measure 3.3-3a: Implement Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 Cal Poly will incorporate the mitigation listed under Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 of Section 3.8, "Greenhouse Gas Emissions," to reduce operational emissions of criteria air pollutants and ozone precursors to the extent feasible. Mitigation Measure 3.3-3b: Reduce Operational Emissions The following measures shall be included, where appropriate, as part of individual development projects to reduce operational emissions of ozone precursors to levels below the APCD-adopted thresholds. This list is not exhaustive and other or alternative emission reduction measures shall be considered and implemented based on new technologies and as APCD operational air quality mitigation measures are further developed over the life of the Master Plan. Below is a list of APCD's recommended emission reduction measures that are applicable and feasible at the time this EIR was prepared: All existing landscaping equipment (e.g., lawnmowers, leaf blowers, chainsaws), upon time of replacement, will be replaced with electric ones. All new landscaping equipment purchased will be electric. All architectur	SU
	(rather than emissions modeling) shall be applied to determine if emissions from the project would be below the adopted numeric thresholds. If an individual project would exceed the screening criteria, project-specific emissions modeling shall be conducted to determine if APCD's adopted numeric project-level thresholds would be exceeded. If emissions modeling demonstrates that the individual project's operational emissions would exceed the APCD thresholds, the following mitigation measures would apply. Note that measures recommended below are based on current (i.e., 2012 and updated in 2017) APCD guidance and other applicable measures may become available overtime that may be applied as APCD guidance is updated, emissions trends change, or as applicable to the specific individual development. Mitigation Measure 3.3-3a: Implement Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 Cal Poly will incorporate the mitigation listed under Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 of Section 3.8, "Greenhouse Gas Emissions," to reduce operational emissions of criteria air pollutants and ozone precursors to the extent feasible. Mitigation Measure 3.3-3b: Reduce Operational Emissions The following measures shall be included, where appropriate, as part of individual development projects to reduce operational emissions of ozone precursors to levels below the APCD-adopted thresholds. This list is not exhaustive and other or alternative emission reduction measures shall be considered and implemented based on new technologies and as APCD operational air quality mitigation measures are further developed over the life of the Master Plan. Below is a list of APCD's recommended emission reduction measures that are applicable and feasible at the time this EIR was prepared: ▶ All existing landscaping equipment (e.g., lawnmowers, leaf blowers, chainsaws), upon time of replacement, will be replaced with electric ones. All new landscaping equipment purchased will be electric. ▶ All architectural coatings (e.g., paint) used in project buildings and parking areas will not e

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.3-4: Result in a Short- or Long-Term Increase in Localized CO Emissions That Exceed APCD-Recommended Thresholds. Long-term operation-related local mobile-source emissions of CO generated by development in the Master Plan Area would not violate a standard or contribute substantially to an existing or project air quality violation or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. As a result, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.3-5: Expose Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Increases in TAC Emissions Construction-related emissions of TACs associated with proposed land use development would be spread over a large geographic area, not affecting any one receptor for extended periods of time, and therefore, would not result in exposure of existing receptors to substantial TAC concentrations. The placement of new sensitive receptors in proximity to existing stationary sources of TAC, such as the co-generation facility, would not result in increased health risk because the diesel PM emissions generated at the facility are below the APCD threshold. The project would not result in the operation of new stationary sources of TACs. Thus, project-generated TAC emissions would not expose sensitive receptors to an incremental increase in cancer risk greater than 10 in 1 million for construction and 89 in 1 million for operation. This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.3-6: Result in Other Emissions (Such as Those Leading to Odors) Adversely Affecting a Substantial Number of People The project would introduce new odor sources into the area (e.g., temporary diesel exhaust emissions during construction). However, these odor sources would be temporary, intermittent, and dissipate rapidly from the source. The project would also construct and operate a WRF to treat wastewater on-site that would be located within 1 mile of sensitive receptors. As a result, potential exposure of sensitive receptors to objectionable odors would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.3-6: Prepare an Odor Control Plan The following odor management conditions will be implemented by Cal Poly with respect to the WRF prior to its operation and would be consistent with the conditions of the site's Authority to Control or Permit to Operate issued by APCD: ▶ Cal Poly will prepare an Odor Control Plan (OCP), which will include known feasible measures to minimize the potential for a substantial odor increase at receptors within 1 mile of the WRF and will identify the facility's odor abatement system equipment, the system performance monitoring protocols, and the procedures for investigating and correcting public complaints. The APCD will ensure the OCP is consistent and not in conflict with the APCD requirements. All complaints received by facility management will be investigated and documented, and if verified, appropriate response action will be taken. The facility will provide a 24-hour hotline for public complaints, and the number will be posted at the facility entrance.	SU

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant PS	= Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Archaeological, Historical, and Tribal Cultural Re	sources					
Impact 3.4-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change Historical Resource The 2035 Master Plan proposes general types of projected campus population growth and to ensinitiatives, including the renovation of some exist structures. Some historical structures identified for substantial investment and, while not anticipated proves infeasible. This could result in damage to and structures, thereby resulting in a substantial of a historical resource as defined in Section 150 potentially significant.	f campus development to support able expanded and new program ting buildings, including historical or renovation may be in need of d, could be replaced if renovation or destruction of historic buildings adverse change in the significance	PS	Implement Before alte older, Cal I or structure equivalent significance in accordal CEQA Guic developme assessmen Poly, and t through th no further For any bu resource, t that would historic bu using it "as significant avoid mod structure, t 1) If the bo or othe with the Propert Recons: 2) If a sign renovat qualifie associar photog of the I-	Measures to Protect ring or otherwise affoly shall retain a que on a California Deptocumentation, if the shall be assessed at ance with the significate delines Section 15062 ant of appropriate hit of the significance he region. For building is evaluation process mitigation is require ilding, structure, and he architectural historican process is," or other measure components of the lifications to the historications are required as "Secretary of the Indies with Guidelines for tructing Historic Building, or to be moved distributed architectural historication, or to be moved distributed and a written Historic American Building and a written Historic American Buildistoric Buildistoric American Buildistoric American Buildistoric Buildistoric American Buildistoric Bui	d or other resource that qualifies as a historic orian and Cal Poly shall consult to consider measures Plan project to avoid direct or indirect impacts to the hese could include preserving the building on site, res that would not materially alter the historically building or structure. If the project cannot feasibly orically significant features of the historic building or res shall be undertaken as appropriate: can be preserved on-site, but remodeling, renovation uired, this work shall be conducted in compliance atterior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic or Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		scaled architectural plans, if available. A copy of the record shall be deposited with the University archives, Shields Library Special Collections. The record shall be accompanied by a report containing site-specific history and appropriate contextual information. This information shall be gathered through site specific and comparative archival research, and oral history collection as appropriate.	
		 If preservation and reuse at the site are not feasible, the historical building shall be documented as described in item (2) and, when physically and financially feasible, be moved and preserved or reused. 	
Impact 3.4-2: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of an Archaeological Resource Future development associated with the 2035 Master Plan could be located in areas that contain known or unknown archaeological resources and ground-disturbing activities could result in discovery or damage of yet undiscovered archaeological resources as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. This impact would be potentially significant.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a: Identify and Protect Unknown Archaeological Resources During project-specific environmental review of development under the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly shall define each project's area of effect for archaeological resources in consultation with a qualified archaeologist, as defined by the Secretary of Interior. The University shall determine the potential for the project to result in cultural resource impacts, based on the extent of ground disturbance and site modification anticipated for the project. Cal Poly shall determine the level of archaeological investigation that is appropriate for the project site and activity, as follows: ▶ Minimum: excavation less than 18 inches deep and less than 5,000 square feet of disturbance (e.g., a trench for lawn irrigation, tree planting). Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a(1). ▶ Moderate: excavation below 18 inches deep and/or over a large area on any site that has not been characterized as sensitive and is not suspected to be a likely location for archaeological resources. Implement Mitigation Measure	LTS
		 3.4-2a(1) and (2). ▶ Intensive: excavation below 18 inches and/or over a large area on any site that is within the zone of archaeological sensitivity, i.e., within 750 feet, along Brizzolara Creek or Stenner/Old Garden Creek (as shown in Figure 3.4-1) or that is adjacent to a recorded archaeological site. Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a(1), (2), and (3). Cal Poly shall implement the following steps to identify and protect archaeological resources that may be present in the project's area of effects: 	
		1) For project sites at all levels of investigation, contractor crews shall be required to attend a training session before the start of earth moving, regarding how to recognize archaeological sites and artifacts and what steps shall be taken to avoid impacts to those sites and artifacts. In addition, campus employees whose work	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant P	PS = Potentially s	ignificant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			of poten contract archaeol Develop during e employe	tial archaeological sors shall be notified ogical sites and artiment if any are four arth-moving activities. In the event of a	the soil shall be informed how to recognize evidence ites and artifacts. Before disturbing the soil, that they are required to watch for potential facts and to notify Cal Poly Facilities Management and nd. A qualified archeologist would be present onsite es to provide oversight to contractor crew and campus if find, Cal Poly shall implement item (5), below.	
			survey shadisturbal requiring investigathe qualication and Devictory during the zone of a Creek, or shall not monitoricate accordant during the preparation of the preparation in the preparation of the pr	nall be conducted by nee has been identification shall be implemented archaeologist shall be construction phase archaeological sensition. The frequency are with survey resulting monitoring periodired and filed with the	ra qualified archaeologist once the area of ground ed and before soil disturbing activities. For sites tion, in the event of a surface find, intensive lented, as per item (3), below. Irrespective of findings, hall, in consultation with Cal Poly Facilities Management on archaeological monitoring plan to be implemented e of the project. If the project site is located within a ivity (i.e., within 750 feet of Brizzolara Creek, Stenner or or it is recommended by the archaeologists, Cal Poly lative American tribe and extend an invitation for and duration of monitoring shall be adjusted in ts, the nature of construction activities, and results d. A written report of the results of the monitoring shall be appropriate Information Center of the California tion System. In the event of a discovery, Cal Poly shall	
			finds, Ca investiga material area of e prepare filed with	Il Poly shall retain a ation of the project s are present and, i effects. If an archae a site record and a	ntensive investigation, irrespective of subsurface qualified archaeologist to conduct a subsurface site, to ascertain whether buried archaeological f so, the extent of the deposit relative to the project's cological deposit is discovered, the archaeologist shall written report of the results of investigations and information Center of the California Historical em.	
			resource whether	shall be evaluated it qualifies as a his	resource extends into the project's area of effects, the by a qualified archaeologist, who shall determine torical resource or a unique archaeological resource CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. If the resource does	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significan	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	_
	shall requi	pe noted in the enviro	e is present within the project's area of effects, this nmental document and no further mitigation is iscovery during construction. In the event of a nall be implemented.	
	qualit by CE the q distur footp estab avoid subst Mitig 6) If arch archa cease archa as ner the pi	y as an historical resort QA), Cal Poly Facilities ualified archaeologist bance within the site larint, landscape modificial preservation in partial preservation in partial preservation Measure 3.4-2b. aeologist is present), all secologist to provide and eded to define the deproject area to determine	ithin the project's area of effects is determined to curce or a unique archaeological resource (as defined as Management and Development shall consult with to consider means of avoiding or reducing ground coundaries, including minor modifications of building cation, the placement of protective fill, the tion easement, or other means that shall permit eservation in place of the resource. If avoidance or place is not possible, Cal Poly shall implement discovered during construction (whether or not an avoil disturbing work within 100 feet of the find shall agement and Development shall contact a qualified implement a plan for survey, subsurface investigation posit, and assessment of the remainder of the site within the whether the resource is significant and would be atton Measure 3.4-2a (3) and (4) shall be implemented.	
	Mitigatio	n Measure 3.4-2b: Pro	otect Known Unique Archaeological Resources	
	qualify a Mitigatic Measure archaeol	s a unique archaeolog n Measure 3.4-2a, and 3.4-2a that avoidance ogist, in consultation v	has been determined by a qualified archaeologist to ical resource through the process set forth under d where it has been determined under Mitigation or preservation in place is not feasible, a qualified with Cal Poly Facilities Management and prican tribes as applicable, shall:	
	that s	nall capture those cated	d archaeological data recovery plan for the recovery gories of data for which the site is significant and plan before or during development of the site.	
	with t		cal analyses, prepare a full written report and file it nation center, and provide for the permanent rials.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		the sign that qua and Dev resource would a of fill, or Cal Poly Mitigation If a signification the properi Developments	ificance of the site in a lifty the site for included in the lifty the site for included in the lifty that it is a lifty that i	diffied archaeologist and in light of the data available, is such that data recovery cannot capture the values usion on the CRHR, Cal Poly Facilities Management consider project plans in light of the high value of the more substantial modifications to the project that preserved intact, such as project redesign, placement or abandonment. If no such measures are feasible, itigation Measure 3.4-2c. Incument Unique Archaeological Resources Resource cannot be preserved intact, before estroyed, Cal Poly Facilities Management and the resource is appropriately documented. For an of research-directed data recovery shall be istent with Mitigation Measure 3.4-2a.	
Impact 3.4-3: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource Cal Poly sent letters inviting tribal consultation to the tribes that expressed interest in formal consultation pursuant to AB 52. No response to these letters was received within the 30-day period required to initiate consultation. However, it is possible that tribal cultural resources could be identified during analysis of subsequent projects. Compliance with PRC Section 21080.3.2 and Section 21084.3(a) would render this impact less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS
Impact 3.4-4: Disturb Human Remains Construction and excavation activities associated with project development could unearth previously undiscovered or unrecorded human remains, if they are present. Compliance with California Health and Safety Code Sections 7050.5 and 7052 and PRC Section 5097 would make this impact less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS
Biological Resources					
Impact 3.5-1: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect, Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications, on Special-Status Plants Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan could result in conversion of undeveloped habitats that may provide marginally suitable habitat for several special-status plants. Removal of these undeveloped habitats could result in loss of special-status plants if they are present. Loss of special-status plants would be a significant impact.	S	Prior to app have a qua naturalized methods a	proval of specific pr lified botanist (i.e., a plants found in Ca and protocols that sa	nduct Special-Status Plant Surveys ojects under the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly shall a professional biologist with expertise in native and lifornia who is able to use appropriate field survey atisfy documentation and assessment requirements) ial-status plant habitat at the proposed project sites	LTS

Executive Summary

Ascent Environmental

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			Should suit qualified by the potenti by project a present on Protocols for Populations status plant the project non-native found, the	able habitat for any otanist, at Cal Poly's ally occurring special activities during the site. Protocol-level or Surveying and Ever and Natural Commits survey, the botanist areas and provide as within the project	of the species listed in Table 3.5-1, "Land Cover." of the species listed in Table 3.5-3 be identified, the direction, shall conduct protocol-level surveys for al-status plants that could be removed or disturbed blooming period for the plant(s) that could be surveys shall be conducted in accordance with aluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant nunities (CDFW 2009). Concurrent with the special-st shall document non-native invasive plants within a separate report with the location and extent of area to Cal Poly. If special-status plants are not ment the findings in a letter report to CDFW and required.	

Table 3.5-3 Normal Blooming Period for Special-Status Plants with Potential to Occur within the Main campus

Species	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Marsh sandwort									
Arenaria paludicola									
Mile's milk-vetch									
Astragalus didymocarpus var. milesianus									
Coulter's saltbush									
Atriplex coulteri									
San Luis Obispo owl's clover									
Castilleja densiflora ssp. obispoensis									
Dwarf calycadenia									
Calycadenia villosa									
San Luis Obispo sedge									
Carex obispoensis									
Congdon's tarplant									
Centromadia parryi ssp. congdonii									
San Luis Obispo fountain thistle									
[=Chorro Creek Bog Thistle]									
Cirsium fontinale var. obispoense									
La Graciosa thistle Cirsium scariosum									
var. loncholepsis									

Species	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Blochman's dudleya									
Dudleya blochmaniae ssp. blochmaniae									
San Joaquin spearscale									
Extriplex joaquiniana									
Coulter's goldfields									İ
Lasthenia glabrata ssp. coulteri									
Jones's layia									İ
Layia jonesii									
Spreading navarretia									
Navarretia fossalis									
Shining navarretia									
Navarretia nigelliformis ssp. radians									
Adobe sanicle									İ
Sanicula maritima									
Saline clover									
Trifolium hydrophilum									

Source: Data compiled by Ascent Environmental in 2019

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially si	ignificant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Mitigation Measure 3.5-1b: Conduct Special-Status Plant Avoidance

If special-status plant species are found on a particular project site and are located outside of the permanent footprint of any proposed structures/site features and can be avoided, Cal Poly shall avoid and protect these species by establishing a nodisturbance buffer around the area occupied by special-status plants and marking the buffer boundary with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway); exceptions to this requirement are listed later in this measure. The no-disturbance buffers shall generally be a minimum of 40 feet from special-status plants, but the size and shape of the buffer zone may be adjusted if a qualified botanist determines that a smaller buffer is sufficient to avoid killing or damaging the plants or that a larger buffer is necessary to sufficiently protect plants from the proposed activity. The appropriate buffer size shall be determined based on plant phenology at the time of project initiation (e.g., whether the plants are in a dormant, vegetative, or flowering state), the individual species' vulnerability to the activity being conducted, and environmental conditions and terrain. Consideration of factors such as site hydrology, changes in light, edge effects, and potential introduction of invasive plants and noxious weeds may inform

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures after Mitigation	r
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significar			
		the determination of buffer width. If a no-disturbance buffer is reduced below 40 feet from a special-status plant, a qualified botanist shall provide a site- and/or activity-specific explanation with the biological technical justification for the buffer reduction, which shall be included in a memo to CDFW and Cal Poly.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-1c: Special-Status Plant Impact Minimization and Compensation Measures	
		If special-status plants are found during rare plant surveys and cannot be avoided, Cal Poly shall consult with CDFW and USFWS, as appropriate depending on species status, to determine the appropriate action(s) to achieve no net loss of occupied habitat or individuals. Mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to, preserving and enhancing existing populations, creating off-site populations on mitigation sites through seed collection or transplantation at a 3:1 ratio, and restoring or creating suitable habitat in sufficient quantities which would collectively achieve no net loss of occupied habitat or individuals. Potential mitigation sites could include suitable transplant locations within or outside of the campus. Cal Poly shall develop and implement a site-specific mitigation strategy describing how unavoidable losses of special-status plants shall be compensated consistent with this mitigation measure and the no net loss standard. Success criteria for preserved and compensatory populations shall include: a) The extent of occupied area and plant density (number of plants per unit area)	
		in compensatory populations shall be equal to or greater than the affected occupied habitat.	
		b) Compensatory and preserved populations shall be self-producing. Populations shall be considered self-producing when:	
		i) plants reestablish annually for a minimum of 5 years with no human intervention such as supplemental seeding; and	
		ii) reestablished and preserved habitats contain an occupied area and flower density comparable to existing occupied habitat areas in similar habitat types in the project vicinity.	
		If off-site mitigation includes dedication of conservation easements, purchase of mitigation credits, or other off-site conservation measures, the details of these measures shall be included in the project-specific mitigation plan, including information on responsible parties for long-term management, conservation easement holders, long-term management requirements, success criteria consistent	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Les	han significant PS = Potentially significant	S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
	of long-t Mitigatio For proje biologica environm monitor:	elisted above and other details, as appropriate to target the preserva erm viable populations. In Measure 3.5-1d: Conduct Environmental Monitoring Its and locations where mitigation measures are required to protect resources during construction activities, Cal Poly shall retain an ental monitor to ensure compliance with the EIR mitigation measures hall be responsible for: (1) ensuring that procedures for verifying	. The
	of comm conducti and/or sp outlining conducte periodica directed and RWC		g; (4) as be then
	Prior to in natural la Principle system, in alignmen or otherwork construct biologica to avoid resource: crossings existing to other was CDFW, an elements	n Measure 3.5-1e: Prepare Trail Management Plan Improving existing Cal Poly trails or constructing new trails in Cal Poly's Inds, Cal Poly shall prepare a Trail Plan as described in 2035 Master Pl P 9. The Trail Plan shall emphasize the use of existing trails in the trail lentify all sensitive resources within and adjacent to the trail(s) (s(s), and ensure that the trail alignments do not necessitate the removing adversely affect the sensitive resources. If the Trail Plan includes the on of new trails, the new trail alignments shall be surveyed for sensitive resources before trail design. The new trail alignments shall be design or minimize direct and indirect impacts on any identified sensitive. The construction of new trails shall minimize the number of creek in the trail system. If the construction of new trails or improvement of ails includes the installation of pedestrian bridges over Brizzolara Creek erways, Cal Poly shall obtain the necessary permits from USACE, USF add/or RWQCB, as necessary. The Trail Plan shall include the following attion of interpretive signage to inform trail users of the presence of	an val of ne ve ned f ek or

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant P	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		implem c) Provisic sensitive d) Use of r	enting all aspects of to on of adequate buffer e resources. natural infiltration and	rs from waterways, seeps, springs, and other	
		systems storm d	s, such as vegetated s Irains and catch basin	d focus on the use of natural dispersed infiltration swales, rather than engineered systems, such as as, to the maximum extent feasible.	
		f) Identific prohibit	cation of trails suitable ted.	vehicle use of the trails. e for bicycle use and those for which bicycle use is	
		are no l	lecommissioning progonger in use. nonitoring program.	gram to restore native habitats in trail sections that	
Impact 3.5-2: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect, Either Directly or through Habitat Modifications, on Special-Status Wildlife Species, Fish Species, or Habitats	S		Measure 3.5-2a: Con ring Monarch Butterfl	duct Surveys for Areas with Significant Potential for ly Sites	LTS
Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan could result in the disturbance or conversion of habitats occupied by or suitable for several special-status wildlife species. Disturbance or loss of these habitats could result in loss of special-status wildlife if they are present. Loss of special-status wildlife or their habitat would be a significant impact.		riparian areas w monarc summa potentii identify project. mitigati	, live oak woodland, a ith significant potenti h butterfly habitat sp rizing the result of the al for overwintering n overwintering sites tl If no projects are wit	ch butterfly habitat specialist to conduct surveys in and non-native oak woodland habitat and identify ial for overwintering monarch butterflies. The recialist shall provide Cal Poly with a report e surveys, including a map of areas with significant monarch butterflies. Cal Poly shall use the report to hat are within 300 feet of any proposed Master Planthin 300 feet of identified habitat, no further rects are identified within 300 feet, then the ly.	
		butterfl constru butterfl of Marc overwir month	y sites within 300 feet ction areas. Surveys fi ies shall be conducted th) before constructio tering zone. A minim (30 days) apart within	I be conducted for potential overwintering monarch tof any proposed 2035 Master Plan project for overwintering aggregations of monarch dover the winter season (November 1 to first week on activities within 300 feet of the potential butterfly num of two surveys shall be conducted at least one in the monarch butterfly wintering season March). Surveys shall follow survey methods	

Executive Summary

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		overwi overwi be imp	ntering monarch butt ntering monarch butt olemented.	ety for Invertebrate Conservation (Xerces 2011). If no erflies are found, no further mitigation is required. If erflies are found, then the following measures shall	
				plement Avoidance of Overwintering Monarch ve Overwintering Monarch Butterfly Sites	
		Construct pursuant season (o March), to butterfly o overwinte following If an activ feet of the Appropria be modifi measures	ion activities in and ar to Mitigation Measure verwintering season is to the greatest extent for overwintering habitat. ring season and const measures shall apply. e overwintering site is e site location until avoite avoidance measure ed as a result of const by:	round butterfly overwintering sites identified a 3.5-2a shall start outside of the overwintering stypically between November 1 and first week of easible, to avoid potential impacts on monarch. However, when it is not feasible to avoid the truction activities take place during this time, the located, work activities shall be delayed within 300 pidance measures have been implemented. Les shall include the following measures (which may altation with CDFW to provide equally effective	
		not aff b) If the v overwi overwi disturk familia	ect an active overwint vildlife biologist deter ntering site, a no-dist ntering site to avoid c vance buffers shall be r and in consultation v	tering site, activities shall proceed without restriction. mines there is a potential to affect an active urbance buffer shall be established around the disturbance or destruction. The extent of the no- determined by the qualified wildlife biologist with CDFW. Buffers shall be maintained until March	
		left the c) Throug monar Mitiga winter exposu Cal Po	e wintering site. ghout the year, Cal Po ch butterflies or docu- tion Measure 3.5-2a, a roost areas to preven ure, and temperature v ly consults with a mor	gist determines that the monarch butterflies have ally shall avoid removing or trimming trees utilized by mented as active within the last 3 years pursuant to as well as trees adjacent to the documented active t adverse indirect changes to the humidity, wind within the immediate vicinity of the roost site, unless narch butterfly habitat specialist to identify a measure. Any routine tree trimming shall be done	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS	= Less than significant PS	= Potentially sig	gnificant S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			conducted following the Ma Overwintering Habitat (Xerce habitat specialist. This mitiga	e core overwintering/clustering period and shall be nagement Guidelines for Monarch Butterfly es 2017) and under the supervision of the monarch stion measure does not apply to removal or branches or management of the wintering site for erfly.	
			Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c: Prep Habitat Assessments	pare Project-Specific California Red-Legged Frog	
		t s c d f c c	would result in land disturbance oproject-specific California Red hall be prepared in coordination California red-legged frog habitaticordance with the USFWS Revor the California Red-Legged Fraguidance. The assessments shall drainages and their upland areas and be submitted to USFWS for tampus-wide habitat assessments pland habitat. If prepared, the contract of th	directly affect reservoirs, ponds, or drainages or that within 1.6 kilometers of these features shall be subject l-legged Frog Habitat Assessments. The assessments in with, and submitted for review by, USFWS. The at assessments shall be prepared and processed in ised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field Surveys og (USFWS 2005), or the most recent applicable specifically evaluate the reservoirs, ponds, and is that may be disturbed by Master Plan Area projects review/approval. Alternatively, Cal Poly can conduct a to identify California red-legged frog aquatic and campus-wide assessment shall also be submitted to can be used to screen out projects that do not Master Plan Area.	
		N	Mitigation Measure 3.5-2d: Cor	nduct California Red-Legged Frog Consultation	
		v C c v r c r v r	vould also affect California red- Critical Habitat as determined for coordinate with USACE during to with USFWS regarding the pote ed-legged frog and/or Californ consultation with USFWS detern esult in take of California red-le with an Incidental Take Statemen measures included in the Biolog	hat would affect jurisdictional water features and elegged frog and/or California red-legged frog rom Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, Cal Poly shall the CWA Section 404 permitting process to consult initial for these activities to result in take of California nia red-legged frog critical habitat. If USACE in mines that the proposed projects may affect or egged frog, USFWS may issue a Biological Opinion ent for the project. Cal Poly shall comply with all gical Opinion, which may include compensatory or temporary loss of habitat, construction	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significand after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially s	ignificant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			fencing bety	ween the project site	rnia red-legged frog, and installation of exclusion e and adjacent habitats. iction over the project, thus removing a federal	
			Section 10 c take of Calif may ask Cal	of the ESA. If USFWS fornia red-legged fro	y shall consult directly with the USFWS pursuant to determines that the project may affect or result in og or detrimental modification of critical habitat, it HCP and obtain an ITP. Cal Poly shall comply with O.	
			shall be det		rammatic versus individual project consultations) al Poly and USFWS as Cal Poly commences aster Plan.	
			Mitigation N Season	Measure 3.5-2e: Avo	oid California Red-Legged Frog during the Wet	
			authorized Measure 3.5 Master Plan red-legged shall be con Regardless on these sit	by the Biological Op 5-2.d, the initial grou projects that would frog Critical Habitat npleted in the dry so of the seasonal rain es between first fall	of California red-legged frogs, unless otherwise pinion and/or Incidental Take Permit per Mitigation und-disturbing activities associated with 2035 diaffect California red-legged frog and/or California as determined from Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c eason (between June 1 and the first fall rains). patterns, no ground-disturbing activities may occurains and May 31 of any year without prior om USFWS and CDFW.	1
			Mitigation N Legged Fro		duct Preconstruction Surveys for California Red-	
			California red determined biologist wi The biologis	ed-legged frog and/ from Mitigation Me th demonstrated ex st shall conduct pred	Master Plan development projects that would affect for California red-legged frog Critical Habitat as easure 3.5-2c, Cal Poly shall retain a qualified perience surveying for California red-legged frog. construction surveys for California red-legged froged within 48 hours before the site disturbance and	

disturbance area(s).

encompass the entire project disturbance area and a 100-foot buffer of the

If California red-legged frog(s) are observed during the survey, the biologist shall immediately contact Cal Poly and inform them of the survey findings. Cal Poly shall delay the project activities that were planned to occur in the area until Cal Poly

Executive Summary

Ascent Environmental

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less the	an significant PS = Potentially significan	t S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
	Opinion to move surveyir legged	or an Incidental Take F forward with the Mast g biologist shall not ca	res any necessary approvals, including a Biological Permit (if not already secured) as may be applicable, ser Plan project. In absence of USFWS approval, the pture, handle, or otherwise harass California redontractors shall comply with all measures within any all Take Permit.	
	Mitigation	on Measure 3.5-2g: Imp	plement Waterway Protection Measures	
	ponds, o	or drainages or that wo	development that would directly affect reservoirs, and result in land disturbance within California red- by Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, implement Mitigation and described below.	
	Mitigati	on Measure 3.5-2h: Co	nduct Environmental Monitoring	
	ponds, o	or drainages or that wo	development that would directly affect reservoirs, and result in land disturbance within California red- defined by Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c, implement acribed above.	
	Mitigati	on Measure 3.5-2i: Prep	oare Trail Management Plan	
	that wo	uld result in land distur	uld directly affect drainages or riparian habitat or bance within California red-legged frog habitat as 3.5-2c, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-1e,	
	Mitigati	on Measure 3.5-2j: Con	duct Steelhead Impact Avoidance	
	work in all such	Stenner Creek or Brizzo work shall be conducte	anning of 2035 Master Plan projects that require plara Creek, their tributaries, or their riparian areas, and between June 15 and October 15 or as approved dination as required with USACE, NMFS, and CDFW.	
	Mitigati	on Measure 3.5-2k: Coi	nduct Steelhead Consultation	
	Creek, B with CD Section to result consulta	rizzolara Creek, their tr FW through the 1602 p 404 permitting to cons in take of steelhead ar tion with NMFS, deterr	5 Master Plan projects that require work in Stenner ibutaries, or riparian areas, Cal Poly shall coordinate termitting process, and with USACE during the CWA oult with NMFS regarding the potential for the project and/or steelhead critical habitat. If USACE, in mines that the project may affect or result in take of mental modification of critical habitat, NMFS may	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures Mi	gnificance after litigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially		
		issue a Biological Opinion with an Incidental Take Statement for the project. Cal Poly shall comply with all measures included in the Biological Opinion, which may include restoration, habitat compensation to ensure no net loss of habitat, and monitoring. Cal Poly shall reference and include the <i>Guidelines for Salmonid Passage at Stream Crossings</i> (NMFS 2001), or as updated by NMFS, in all future bridge/crossing designs over Stenner Creek and Brizzolara Creek. Any new crossings shall not create new barriers to fish passage into the upper reaches of the creeks. If USACE declines to take jurisdiction over the project, thus removing a federal nexus from the project, Cal Poly shall consult directly with NMFS pursuant to Section 10 of the ESA. If NMFS determines that the project may affect or result in take of steelhead or detrimental modification of critical habitat, it may ask Cal Poly to prepare an HCP and obtain an ITP. Cal Poly shall comply with all measures included in the ITP. Mitigation Measure 3.5-2I: Protect Steelhead Habitat through Implementation of Waterway Protection Measures Prior to implementation of 2035 Master Plan projects that require work in Stenner Creek, Brizzolara Creek, their tributaries, or riparian areas, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a through 3.5-3d, described below. Because mitigation for degradation or loss of riparian habitat and other sensitive natural communities	
		would also minimize potential impacts on steelhead, those measures are recommended for this impact.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2m: Conduct Environmental Monitoring	
		During implementation of 2035 Master Plan projects that require work in Stenner Creek, Brizzolara Creek, their tributaries, or riparian areas, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-1d, described above.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2n: Prepare Trail Management Plan	
		Prior to improvements that would directly affect Stenner Creek, Brizzolara Creek, their tributaries, or riparian areas or that would result in disturbance to steelhead habitat, Implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-1e, described above.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2o: Conduct Ringtail Den(s) Surveys, and Avoidance	
		If vegetation removal or construction activities within riparian habitat occur outside of the breeding and pupping season for ringtail (February 1 through June 15), no mitigation is necessary. If the ringtail breeding season cannot be avoided, Cal Poly	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		on Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less that	shall retai prior to co trees/rock with CDFV around the 500 feet u in consult between a camera in that ringta construction remain ur the den. If hollow se tree in ora Mitigation During im corridors	n a qualified biologist to conduct the denis of the same and the properties of the same and the same and the same are same at the denis of the same at		
	Surveys, A Prior to in corridors, woodland dusky-foo middens i biologists and CDFV middens o permaner Cal Poly s buffer is v CDFW, en	Avoidance, or Relocation Inplementation of 2035 Master California sagebrush scrub, co habitat, Cal Poly shall retain a ted woodrat middens and assi no more than 2 weeks prior to hall document the results of th I that includes a map of observate found on a particular project to footprint of any proposed stantall establish and maintain a 40 Varranted as determined by a co	Plan projects that require work in riparian past live oak woodland, and non-native qualified biologist to survey for Monterey ist in the removal/relocation of woodrat start of ground disturbance activities. The the survey(s) in a letter report to Cal Poly wed middens. If dusky-footed woodrat ct site and are located outside of the ructure/site features and can be avoided, 0-foot protective buffer, unless a reduced qualified biologist in consultation with t isolate the midden from available habitat. itigation is required.	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially s	ignificant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	

If middens cannot be avoided, relocation shall be conducted in consultation with CDFW. Relocation of the middens shall occur after July 1 and before December 1 to avoid the maternity season. During implementation of site clearing activities and under supervision of the biologist, the equipment operators shall remove all vegetation and other potential woodrat shelter within the disturbance areas that surround the woodrat midden(s) to be removed. Upon completion of clearing the adjacent woodrat shelter, the operator shall gently nudge the intact woodrat midden with equipment or long handled tools. Due to the potential health hazards associated with removing woodrat middens, hand removal is not recommended. The operators shall place their equipment within the previously cleared area and not within the undisturbed woodrat shelter area. The objective is to alarm the woodrats so that they evacuate the midden and scatter away from the equipment and into the undisturbed vegetation. Once the woodrats have evacuated the midden(s), the operator shall gently pick up the midden structure and move it to the undisturbed adjacent vegetation. The objective of moving the structure is to provide the displaced woodrats with a stockpile of material to scavenge while they build a new midden; jeopardizing the integrity of the midden structure is not an adverse impact.

Mitigation Measure 3.5-2r: Conduct Environmental Monitoring

During construction of future development that requires work in or around active Monterey dusky-footed woodrat middens, implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-1d, described above.

Mitigation Measure 3.5-2s: Conduct American Badger Surveys and Avoidance

For projects within undeveloped grassland habitat and before ground-disturbing activities, a qualified biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey for American badger dens. The American badger survey shall be conducted no more than 2 weeks prior to construction. If the survey results are negative (i.e., no active badger dens observed), no additional mitigation is required. If the results are positive (American badger dens are observed), the biologist shall contact Cal Poly within 24 hours and work in the area shall be delayed until Cal Poly's biologist has made one of the following determinations:

a) If the biologist determines that dens may be active, the biologist shall install a game camera for 3 days and 3 nights to determine if the den is in use. If the biologist determines that the den is a maternity den, construction activities shall be delayed during the maternity season (February to August), or until the

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
	den is enteri came door came excav	no longer in use. If the ng/exiting the den, the a captures badger use n the den opening an a captures the individuated as described belo		
			hat potential dens are inactive, the biologist shall dools to prevent badgers from reusing them.	
		n Measure 3.5-2t: Cor and Relocation	nduct Western Pond Turtle and Coast Range Newt	
	any proje reservoir new facil exposure qualified weeks of eggs or r	cts that requires dewa pond, settling pond, ties) of inactive pastur within 500 feet of any biologist to survey for project activities. If no lests are observed, no age newt, their eggs of	n western pond turtle and Coast Range newt during atering, dredging, fill of an aquatic site (e.g., a or drainage), or the grading (during construction of relands or non-native grassland with a southern sun y of these aquatic habitats, Cal Poly shall retain a restern pond turtle and Coast Range newt within 2 to western pond turtle, Coast Range newt, or their of further mitigation is required. If western pond turtle, or nests are found then the following shall be	
	turtle must captu	and Coast Range new be conducted using vi red western pond turt	ied biologist to capture and relocate western pond rt adults and juveniles. Capture and relocation efforts isual survey and hand capture techniques. Any les and Coast Range newts must be relocated to a Il not be affected by project activities.	
	consti capab newt	uction shall be delaye le of vacating the site egg masses/larvae and	larvae, or western pond turtle nests are identified, ed until the eggs have hatched and individuals are or being relocated. Because of the delicate nature or d habitat requirements of western pond turtle nests, only viable method to protect the resource.	f
	Mitigatio Avoidand		nduct Special-Status Bird and Other Bird Nest	
	For any p	roject-specific constru	uction activities under the 2035 Master Plan, the plemented to avoid or minimize loss of active	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially significar	t S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
	burrowi		ling tricolored blackbird, grasshopper sparrow, -billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, least Bell's vireo, martin:	
	vege	tation removal activition mence during the nonl	or loss of special-status or other bird nests, es within potentially suitable nesting habitat shall preeding season (September 16 - January 31), where	
	vege	tation trimming, or tre	ities, including ground-disturbing activities, e removal are scheduled to occur between February lowing measures shall be implemented:	
	r C S t k	ative annual grassland lover," and ornamenta hall retain a qualified b ricolored blackbird, gra illed cuckoo, white-tai	within 500 feet of agricultural land, pasture, non- l, or riparian habitat as shown in Figure 3.5-1, "Land l/landscaping trees in developed habitat, Cal Poly piologist to conduct habitat assessment surveys for asshopper sparrow, burrowing owl, western yellow- led kite, least Bell's vireo, loggerhead shrike, and able habitat is present within 500 feet of the project required.	
	6 H E E	dhering to guidance o listory Summary and S ell's Vireo Survey Guic urrowing Owl Mitigati al Poly shall initiate co	is present, surveys shall be conducted by biologists iffered in Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo Natural curvey Methodology (Halterman et al. 2015); Least delines (USFWS 2001); CDFW Staff Report on on (CDFW 21012) and/or current industry standards. Insultation with USFWS and/or CDFW as required the loss of breeding and foraging habitat as sition.	
	k 2 6 V C r	e conducted within su u(b)(i). If nests of these stablish no-disturbance vidth that breeding is r onstruction. No-distur ninimum of 0.25 mile waptors, and 250 feet w	struction, a pre-construction nesting bird survey shal itable habitat identified in Mitigation Measure 3.5-e species are detected, a qualified biologist shall e buffers around nests. Buffers shall be of sufficient not likely to be disrupted or adversely affected by bance buffers around active nests shall be a wide for white-tailed kite, 500 feet wide for other ide for other special-status birds, unless a qualified used on site-specific conditions that a larger or	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significan	t PS = Potentially significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
	to exi bu lev qu lor ne rec iv. Fo sui ha coo are be the de ob avv	be considered in dete sting buffers provided Idings/structures; nest els of noise and hum- alified biologist has d ger reliant upon the st by a qualified biolo quired if the activity has retricolored blackbird, eveys within tules, catt poitat areas. The surve instruction commence of found during focuse required. If active nest biologist shall notify sign to avoid removal fectives shall be evaluated bidance is not feasible all be prohibited withing	sufficient to avoid impacts on nesting birds. Factors ermining buffer size shall include the presence of d by vegetation, topography, or existing st height; locations of foraging territory; and baseline an activity. Buffers shall be maintained until a etermined that young have fledged and are no nest or parental care for survival. Monitoring of the gist during and after construction activities shall be as potential to adversely affect the nest. the qualified biologist shall conduct preconstruction tails, Himalayan blackberry, and riparian scrub yes shall be conducted no more than 14 days before as If no active nests or tricolored blackbird colonies and surveys, no further action under this measure shall sts are located during the preconstruction surveys, CDFW. If necessary, modifications to the project of occupied habitat while still achieving project lated and implemented to the extent feasible. If the or conflicts with project objectives, construction in a minimum of 100 feet of the outer edge of the qualified biologist determines based on site-specific	
	CO	nditions that a larger	or smaller buffer would be sufficient, to avoid st colony is no longer active.	
			nduct Environmental Monitoring	
	During co nesting bi	nstruction of future d rds have been found	levelopment within the active nesting season where and a no-disturbance buffer is established, 3.5-1d, described above.	
	Mitigation	Measure 3.5-2w: Imp	plement Bat Preconstruction Surveys and Exclusion	
	land surve structures shall cond GPS Total	ying with a Global Posi and trees with hollows uct surveys for roosting Stations used for land	n activities with the potential to affect bats, including itioning System (GPS) Total Station and removal of farm or exfoliating bark suitable for bats, a qualified biologis g bats 2 weeks prior to start of construction activities. surveying emit high frequency noise outside of the	
			thin the hearing range of bats, which has resulted in e of bat use is observed, the species and number of bat:	5

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant P	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		efforts. If no measures a buffer shall species and avoid impar	e evidence of bat roos re required. If the roos be implemented unle site-specific condition cts on bat roosts.	ed. Bat detectors may be used to supplement survey ts is found, then no further study and no additional st site can be avoided, a 250-foot-wide no-disturbance ss a qualified biologist determines, based on bat ns, that a larger or smaller buffer would be adequate to	
		avoided, b. removed. E (e.g., durin Once it is c structure m roost remoconsultatio	ats shall be excluded exclusion efforts shall g hibernation or whil onfirmed that bats a hay be removed. A doval procedures shall n with CDFW before	·	
		If construct	ion of future develor olony is found and a	duct Environmental Monitoring oment would occur where an active bat roost or no-disturbance buffer has been established, ring as described in Mitigation Measure 3.5-1d.	
Impact 3.5-3: Result in Degradation or Loss of Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Communities Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan could result in the degradation or loss of arroyo willow tickets and riparian woodland. Degradation or loss of these riparian habitats would be a significant impact.	S	For projects outer exter greater, sha specific cor on arroyo v and buildin	s in the vicinity of Briz t of the top-of-bank all be established unleaditions, that a larger willow thickets or ripal gs within this buffer s		LTS
				e creeks or within the riparian area of the creeks, Cal Measures 3.5-2c through 3.5-2j, 3.5-2n, and 3.5-4.	
		_	•	element Low-Impact Development Principles	
		Impact Dev Brizzolara (unless a qu	velopment (LID) prind Creek, Stenner Creek valified biologist dete	rinciple OR 17, Cal Poly shall incorporate Low- ciples in the design of all projects within 100 feet of , campus reservoirs, waterways and riparian areas ermines, based on site-specific conditions, that a be sufficient to avoid impacts on these resources.	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			Prior to constr Creek, campus clearly show the specify the loc from disturban functional through	ruction of any prosservoirs, and of the outer limits of cation of project of the project of the durated work are lineated work are	call Exclusion Fencing Diject within 100 feet of Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Dother campus waterways, all grading plans shall riparian vegetation or top-of-bank features and delineation fencing that excludes the riparian areas delineation fencing shall remain in place and attion of the project, and no work activities shall occur ea. This measure shall not apply to any project creek, such as a bridge or span.	
			Mitigation Me	asure 3.5-3d: Ma	p and Protect Waterways and Riparian Areas	
			located a mini campus reserv buffer size ma local habitat c	imum of 100 feet voirs, and other c ay be reduced at to conditions and pro-	Il clearly show all staging areas, which shall be outside of the Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, ampus waterways and riparian areas. The minimum the discretion of a qualified biologist if, based on oject features, the buffer is sufficient to avoid ses to waterways and riparian areas.	
			Mitigation Me Community A		nimize Ground Disturbance in Sensitive Natural	
			removal withir disturbance, v	n sensitive natura regetation remova	emolition of existing structures and vegetation I communities, Cal Poly shall require that ground al, and tree removal is limited to that necessary for itive natural communities and riparian areas.	
			Mitigation Me	easure 3.5-3f: Miti	gate for the Loss of Sensitive Natural Communities	
			proposed proj but not protect shall be imple ensure no net creating, restor sufficient ratio enhancement	jects (i.e., the sen cted pursuant to mented based or loss of habitat fu oring, and/or pres to achieve no ne or creation takes	munities would not be otherwise mitigated by the sitive natural community is recognized as sensitive, other regulations or policies), then additional actions in site- and project-specific impacts in order to sinction or acreage. Such actions may include serving in perpetuity in-kind communities at a set loss of habitat function or acreage. If habitat is place, Cal Poly shall develop and implement a plan to assess the effectiveness of the mitigation. If	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Le	s than significant PS = Potential	y significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		and other a	activities to reach a n	implement further remedial actions, restoration, no net loss of habitat function or acreage.	
		_	=	oid Planting Invasive Plants	
		Project land		ilize any species included on the most recent Cal-	
		Mitigation	Measure 3.5-3h: Use	e Clean and Weed-Free Vehicles and Equipment	
		equipm transpo inspecto propago natural or moni b) Vehicles designa stations	ent arrive at project of the control of invasive species or or environmental rules could be present to shall deny access and equipment shatted weed-cleaning shall be designated or aquatic resources.	contractor(s) that all vehicles and construction areas clean and weed free to avoid inadvertent is. Equipment shall be inspected by the on-site monitor for mud and other signs that weed seeds or it prior to use in project areas in or near sensitive equipment is not clean, the environmental inspector is to the work areas until the equipment is clean. If the cleaned using high-pressure water or air in stations after exiting a weed-infested area. Cleaning by a botanist or noxious weed specialist and located is, riparian areas, and other sensitive natural	
		Mitigation Materials	Measure 3.5-3i: Requ	uire Use of Certified Weed-Free Construction	
			ed weed-free construed throughout each	uction materials, such as sand, gravel, straw, or fill, project site.	
		Mitigation	Measure 3.5-3j: Trea	t Invasive Plant Infestations	
		construction plant infesta Poly, and tr contractors invasions ar infestations would be co Mitigation	n area, and within 50 fations discovered durieated where needed. shall monitor all considerables where needed. Post-conducted annually for Measure 3.5-3k: Prep	gin, Cal Poly shall treat invasive plant infestations in the feet of the construction activity area. Any new invasive ing construction shall be documented, reported to Cal After construction is complete, Cal Poly or its struction disturbance areas for new invasive plant ing weed populations and treat invasive plan construction monitoring for invasive plant infestations of 3 years within sensitive natural communities. pare Trail Management Plan 3.5-1e, described above.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant P	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.5-4: Result in Degradation or Loss of State or Federally Protected Wetlands Development of new facilities, and construction associated with improvements to existing facilities, under the 2035 Master Plan could remove wetland vegetation, alter wetland hydrology or topography, and impair wetland functions in some locations. These disturbances could result in temporary or permanent degradation or loss of waters of the United States, waters of the state, and their habitat functions and values. The degradation or loss of state or federally protected wetlands would be a significant impact.	S	Jurisdiction Authorizati Degradatio Cal Poly shawaters of the measures. ▶ Cal Poly avoid in these feed may bee Jurisdiction alteration obtain and Agreem shall contain and Agreem shall contain and permitted function shall idea implement of mitigation 5-year in completion sole pur	al Waters; Conduct I on for Fill and Requir n or Loss of Jurisdict all avoid, minimize, and United States and shall design new fact apacts on potential justices is not feasible encroached upon is ional Waters Delineat to the jurisdictional I of a jurisdictional I CWA Section 404 pent, and RWQCB to omply with all special ort the permit applicating Plan (HMMP) for a minimum propose onal areas and a 1:1 rar mitigation ratios if r by the permitting agre-responsible compess and values are retaintify the location(s) wented and the type (eation that shall be impaintenance and morion of the mitigation to Master Plan Prin pedestrian pathways pose of maintaining	and compensate for potential degradation or loss of waters of the state by implementing the following stilities and improvements to existing facilities to curisdictional waters where feasible. If avoidance of any or the jurisdictional status of an waterways that unknown, Cal Poly shall prepare a project-specification that identifies the project boundaries in boundaries of the site. For any unavoidable fill or feature, Cal Poly shall coordinate with USACE to ermit, CDFW to obtain a Streambed Alteration obtain a CWA Section 401 Certification. Cal Poly conditions of the necessary permits. Patients, Cal Poly shall prepare a Habitat Mitigation and inclusion into the permit applications. The HMMP a 2:1 replacement ratio for permanent impacts on atio for temporary impacts on the jurisdictional areas, required by the permitting agencies. Unless otherwise pencies, Cal Poly shall incorporate on-site, in-kind, ensatory mitigation to ensure that the drainages' ined or improved as part of the project. The HMMP where the proposed compensatory mitigation shall be a g., creation, restoration, enhancement, preservation) plemented. At a minimum, the HMMP shall include a nitoring program that facilitates the successful	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	gnificance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	 Significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable Pursuant to Master Plan Principles S 02 and S 03, all improvements to the existing vehicle crossing at Via Carta shall have the sole purpose of maintain the existing use as a two-lane vehicle crossing or a pedestrian/bicycle crossing. The existing Via Carta crossing shall not be improved in such a manner that increases the width of the crossing or increases the amount of the crossing's surface area that covers Brizzolara Creek. Any improvements to the existing bridge shall be designed to result in a decrease of creek surface area being covered by bridge structure. ▶ Pursuant to Master Plan Principles S 02 and S 03, to the extent feasible, Cal Poly shall omit the one proposed pedestrian/bicycle crossing at the existing parking area located at the Highland Drive and East Creek Road intersection from future development plans. Cal Poly shall design the pedestrian/bicycle circulation routes to utilize the existing crossings in the area if feasible. The intent of omitting the proposed crossing is to minimize impacts on jurisdictional waters and the habitat functions and services that the creek provides. ▶ If omitting the one new pedestrian/bicycle crossing is not feasible, Cal Poly shall design, permit, and construct the new pedestrian/bicycle crossing in conjunction with the proposed California Boulevard extension crossing at East Creek Road. These two crossings shall not be designed and constructed independently from each other. The intent of combining the design of the two crossings is to ensure that the two crossings are developed in such a way that minimizes impacts on the creek and allows permitting agencies to evaluate the full effect of the two crossings on the creek functions and services during the permitting process. 	
Impact 3.5-5: Interfere with Important Wildlife Movement Corridors and Nursery Sites Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan projects could result in encroachment into Brizzolara Creek, Stenner Creek, and other drainage riparian corridors, which provide suitable wildlife movement corridors and nursery sites for some species within the Master Plan Area. Removal and/or encroachment of these corridors and/or nursery sites could interfere with important wildlife movements and reproduction. Degradation or loss of important wildlife movement corridors or nursery sites would be a significant impact.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.5-5a: Avoid and Protect Brizzolara and Stenner Creeks Implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a, described above. Mitigation Measure 3.5-5b: Implement Low-Impact Development Principles Implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-3b, described above. Mitigation Measure 3.5-5c: Install Exclusion Fencing Implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-3c, described above. Mitigation Measure 3.5-5d: Map and Protect Waterways and Riparian Areas Implement Mitigation Measure 3.5-3d, described above.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Energy					_
Impact 3.6-1: Result in the Wasteful, Inefficient, or Unnecessary Consumption of Energy or Wasteful Use of Energy Resources Construction and operation of new and renovated buildings and facilities under the 2035 Master Plan would result in consumption of fuel (gasoline and diesel), electricity, and natural gas. Energy consumption associated with construction would be temporary and would not require additional capacity or increased peak or base period demands for electricity or other forms of energy. Through adherence to and exceedance of current building code requirements, energy consumption associated with operation of new buildings and facilities under the 2035 Master Plan would not result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy. Transportation-related energy associated with project implementation would be reduced on a perservice-population basis as compared with existing conditions. For these reasons, this impact would be less than significant. Impact 3.6-2: Conflict with or Obstruct a State or Local Plan for Renewable Energy	LTS	J	on is required.		LTS
or Energy Efficiency Renewable energy generation from the implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.8-1, in Section 3.8, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, would result in an increase in renewable energy use, which would directly support the goals and strategies in the state's 2008 Update Energy Action Plan (EAP) and the CSU Sustainability Policy. Construction and operating project buildings in compliance with the 2019 California Energy Code or later iterations of the code would improve energy efficiency compared to buildings built to earlier iterations of the code. Therefore, construction and operation of the project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. No impact would occur.			or is required.		
Geology and Soils					
Impact 3.7-1: Directly or Indirectly Cause Potential Substantial Adverse Effects, including the Risk of Loss, Injury, or Death Involving Seismic Ground Shaking Although the Master Plan Area is located in a seismically active region that includes several active earthquake faults of local and regional significance, none of these faults extend directly through campus. All structures proposed to be constructed or redeveloped would be required to comply with the CSU Seismic Requirements and the latest CBC, to ensure that all new and modified buildings would be capable of withstanding anticipated levels of ground shaking. For this reason, the potential impact related to ground shaking would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially signi	nificant S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.7-2: Directly or Indirectly Cause Potential Substantial Adverse Effects, including the Risk of Loss, Injury, or Death Involving Seismic-Related Ground Failure, including Liquefaction Due to the varied conditions and capabilities of subsurface soils and depth to the groundwater table, the potential for liquefaction and liquefaction-induced lateral spreading also varies throughout the Master Plan Area. However, all future development proposed by the 2035 Master Plan would be required to comply with the CSU Seismic Requirements and the latest CBC requirements. For this reason, compliance with CBC and CSU Seismic Requirements would ensure that the impact related to ground failure and liquefaction would be less than significant.		o mitigation is required.		LTS
Impact 3.7-3: Directly or Indirectly Cause Potential Substantial Adverse Effects, including the Risk of Loss, Injury, or Death Involving Landslides The Master Plan Area incorporates a few existing steep slopes within the eastern boundary of the East Campus subarea and along the northern portion of the North Campus subarea. All structures proposed to be constructed or redeveloped under the 2035 Master Plan would be required to comply with the CSU Seismic Requirements and the latest CBC, to ensure structural design of all new and modified buildings would not result in adverse effects resulting from landslides. However, because of the presence of steep slopes along the eastern and northern portion of the Master Plan Area, and the recent landslide that occurred within the East Campus subarea, future development in these areas is considered to have the potential to expose people and structures to risks from landslides. This impact would be significant.	For des pot have Bass or read and necessary	r any areas within the campus signated as having a high pote tential, or be located on a geowe expansive soils, a site-speciesed on the findings of the georedevelopment projects under diste design recommendation cessary to support proposed cign and implemented as particular particular as a particular proposed control recommendation installation of earthen buttre excavation of landslide mass, slope stabilization through emethods; deep soil mixing; installation of retaining walls; use of tie-back anchors, microa combination of any of these fore final plan approval, Cal Peplement all recommendations restigation, including all recorders.	/material; xcavation into benches and/or keyways and other ; ropiles, or shear pins; or se methods. Poly shall incorporate into the project design and s identified in the site-specific geotechnical mmendations included in the final geotechnical All recommendations shall be shown on final plans	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.7-4: Result in Substantial Erosion or Loss of Topsoil during Construction Construction of development and redevelopment projects under the 2035 Master Plan would involve clearing and grading of soils, which could result in erosion and loss of topsoil, particularly if soils are exposed to wind or stormwater during construction. However, through compliance with all required regulations, such as SWRCB General Permit for Discharges of Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity (Construction General Permit Order 2009-0009-DWQ), and a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for projects that would result in more than 1 acre of ground disturbance, the impact related to substantial erosion or loss of topsoil during construction would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.7-5: Be Located on a Geologic Unit That Is Unstable, or That Would Become Unstable as a Result of the Project, and Potentially Result in On- or Off-Site Landslide, Lateral Spreading, Subsidence, Liquefaction, or Collapse Construction activities under the 2035 Master Plan, such as grading and excavation, could increase the risk that soils would become unstable, which could eventually result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse. Development and redevelopment projects that are proposed in areas where unstable soils are present could result in building damage. Because future projects could potentially be located on a geologic unit that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, this impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.7-5: Perform Site-Specific Geotechnical Investigations Implement Mitigation Measure 3.7-3, described above.	LTS
Impact 3.7-6: Be Located on Expansive Soil, Creating Substantial Direct or Indirect Risks to Property The Master Plan Area includes several soils with high shrink-swell and linear extensibility potential. Ground-disturbing construction activities associated with this development on soils that have a high shrink-swell potential and/or linear extensibility could result in adverse effects such as damage to foundations from ground movement. Development and redevelopment projects within the 2035 Master Plan on soils that have a high shrink-swell potential and/or linear extensibility could result in shrinking and swelling of soils, which can cause damage to foundations. Thus, this impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.7-6: Perform Site-Specific Geotechnical Investigations Implement Mitigation Measure 3.7-3, described above.	LTS
Impact 3.7-7: Directly or Indirectly Destroy a Unique Paleontological Resource or Site or Unique Geological Feature Although the Master Plan Area is underlain by Franciscan Complex (KJf) and Young Surficial Deposits (Qya) deposits, which are not known to host paleontological resources, discoveries of yet unknown paleontological resources during ground-	S	Mitigation Measure 3.7-7: Treatment of Paleontological Resources If any paleontological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, the construction contractor shall ensure that activities in the immediate area of the find are halted and Cal Poly informed. Cal Poly shall retain a qualified	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	= Potentially si	ignificant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
disturbing activities under development of the 2035 Master Plan could still occur. Thus, this impact would be significant.	(options pu Paleontolo	rsuant to guideline gy, including devel	e discovery and recommend appropriate treatment is developed by the Society of Vertebrate opment and implementation of a paleontological ogram for treatment of the resource, if applicable.	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions					
Impact 3.8-1: Generate GHG Emissions That May Have a Significant Impact on the Environment Construction activity associated with development of the project is estimated to generate a total of 20,819 MTCO2e. Operation of the project would result in GHG emissions associated with mobile sources, area sources, building energy, water consumption, and wastewater and solid waste generation. After full buildout, the project would generate approximately 15,025 MTCO2e/year, including the total construction emissions amortized over 25 years. This would exceed the identified threshold of 4,255 MTCO2e/year. This impact would be significant.		Cal Poly sh Design reduction compliant Policy. For measure Section Design with the Energy of the Policy of the P	all implement the fall new and renoval on in energy use control building or other Reductions in energy as consistent with TAS.203.1.2.2. all new and renoval requirements set Code, Sections A5. Profitor solar photovostructures, where space. The amount by consumption would consumption would be a conservation of the all new parking with more than or will be no less than end spaces shall be king structure. ZEV charging stations, we gestations by a fact. These spaces shall asure shall not be	oltaics on all new and renovated buildings, including pecific site parameters and constraints allow for adequate of megawatt-hours that would be installed to offset all be based on the feasibility at each building site. Inovated buildings comply with requirements for water in as described in the 2019 California Green Building 5.3. If structures include preferential parking spaces to the occupant and ZEVs. The number of dedicated 5 percent of the total parking spaces. These in preferential locations, such as near the entrance to spaces shall also include campus-standard electric with electrical infrastructure capacity to expand or of four as the number of electric vehicle drivers be clearly marked with signs and pavement markings. implemented in a way that prevents compliance with mia Vehicle Code regarding parking spaces for	LTS

Executive Summary

Ascent Environmental

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Les	than significant PS = Potentially	significant S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		 Include multiple electrical rebuildings and accessible for equipment and providing ar The electrical receptacles shabe a minimum of one electrical revery 100 linear feet around Ensure that all appliances a EnergyStar®-certified if an available. Types of EnergySt central and room air condition bulbs, computer monitors, dishwashers, external power programmable thermostats transformers, televisions, verous 2018). If EPA's EnergyStar® comparable certification prothen similar measures which Standards Code may be used Ensure that all space and well install high-efficacy lighting lighting, and all other exterions. Accomplish a waste diversion Plant water-efficient and dranticipated GHG emissions received. 	ceptacles on the exterior of all new and renovated purposes of charging or powering electric landscaping a alternative to using fossil fuel-powered generators. all have an electric potential of 120 volts. There should ical receptacle on each building and one receptacle the perimeter of the building. Ind fixtures installed in project buildings are EnergyStar®-certified model of the appliance is tar®-certified appliances include boilers, ceiling fans, ioners, clothes washers, compact fluorescent light copiers, consumer electronics, dehumidifiers, r adapters, furnaces, geothermal heat pumps, refrigerators and freezers, room air cleaners, ending machines, ventilating fans, and windows (EPA program is discontinued and not replaced with a pogram before appliances and fixtures are selected, in exceed the 2019 California Green Building ed. ater heating is solar- or electric-powered. (e.g., light emitting diodes) in all streetlights, security	

Table 3.8-4 Summary of GHG Emissions Reduction from Mitigation Measure 3.8-1

Emissions Source	GHG Emissions (MTCO ₂ e/year)
Area	64
Building Energy	1,784
Mobile	9,154
Water-Related	172

Emissions Source	GHG Emissions (MTCO₂e/year)
Solid Waste	325 ¹
Amortized Construction	833
Total	12,331
Mass Emission Threshold	4,255

Notes: GHG = greenhouse gas; MTCO₂e = metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent.

Source: Modeling conducted by Ascent Environmental in 2019

				,		
Impacts		Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially s	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			GHG emissions we consider the constraints of the co	ons associated with e project's operatior ould come from mo	nentation of Mitigation Measure 3.8-1 would reduce the 2035 Master Plan to 12,331 MTCO ₂ e/year, nal emissions by 2,694 MTCO ₂ e/year. Most of these obile sources. To meet the established threshold of ns of 8,076 MTCO ₂ e/year would be required.	
			Mitigation N	Measure 3.8-2: Purch	nase GHG Offsets	
			by 8,076 MT GHG emissicampus) off	FCO ₂ e/year after inco ons reductions could set program or direc stallation of regiona	emissions would exceed the established threshold orporation of Mitigation Measure 3.8-1. Additional d be achieved from the development of a local (i.e., ct investments in existing local programs such as I electric vehicle–charging stations or investing in	
			Cal Poly ma carbon cred entities/regi Officers Ass offsets, eithe	y choose to mitigate lits available through istries: CARB, Climate ociation, the APCD, er established by Ca	ents in local programs are not feasible or available, e additional GHG emissions through the purchase of an any one of the following verifiable e Action Reserve, California Air Pollution Control or any other equivalent or verifiable registry. Such I Poly or purchased, will meet the requirements of 4(C)(3), and meet the following criteria:	
			► Real—The permit le		ions actually achieved (not based on maximum	

¹ Emissions reduction related to the mitigation measure recommending zero waste by 2040 was not calculated owing to the uncertainty in available strategies for achieving the target. Rather, it was assumed that Cal Poly would continue to achieve, at a minimum, a diversion rate of 86 percent, a rate achieved in 2017. Thus, mitigated emissions were reduced consistent with current levels of waste diversion.

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		policy (i P Quantif other re Enforce commit Validate Perman Carbon off developed multiplied for each de percentage the Master considered	iable—They are read diable—They are read diable data. able—They are acque ments/agreements. id—They are verified ent—They will remain set credits must be punder the Master Plate by 8,076 MTCO ₂ e) for evelopment under the experience of the develoral plan or based on up for approval. The principles.	ily accounted for through process information and ired through legally binding through the accurate means by a reliable third party. In as GHG reductions in perpetuity. It is accounted prior to occupancy of individual structures an up to 201,900 MTCO ₂ e of credits (i.e., 25 years or the entire campus. The amount to be purchased to Master Plan can either be calculated based on the pment as it relates to overall development under indiated modeling at the time the development is rice per MT of CO ₂ e varies depending on the	
		and the typ		rket, the number of credits purchased at one time, rbon offset being purchased. Current pricing \$8.5 per MTCO2e.	
Impact 3.8-2: Conflict with an Applicable Plan, Policy or Regulation of an Agency Adopted for the Purpose of Reducing the Emissions of GHGs Both construction and operation of the project would include GHG efficiency measures consistent with all state and Cal Poly policies and plans adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions and enabling the achievement of the statewide reduction target of SB 32 of 2016. The project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigat	ion is required.		LTS
Hydrology and Water Quality					
Impact 3.9-1: Violate Any Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements or Otherwise Substantially Degrade Surface Water or Groundwater Quality during Construction Construction and grading activities could adversely affect water quality if construction materials brought on-site result in accidental spills or potential increase in the pollutant load in runoff. Storm events could generate enough runoff to carry storm water from construction sites into surface water bodies.	LTS	No mitigat	ion is required.		LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
However, through required compliance with existing regulations, such as the 2013 General Permit, Small MS4 Permit, and SWPPPs (required by the 2013 General	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Permit for development over 1 acre), implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements during construction. This impact would be less than significant.			
Impact 3.9-2: Violate Any Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements or Otherwise Substantially Degrade Surface Water or Groundwater Quality during Operation During project operation, increased rates of surface water runoff associated with new impervious surfaces could promote increased erosion and sedimentation or other storm water contamination and adversely affect surface water and groundwater quality. The 2035 Master Plan would comply with the 2013 General Permit, the Small MS4 Permit, SWPPPs, and associated BMPs. Further, the use of low-impact development (LID) techniques would control storm water flow and prevent contamination of surface water resources. Continued compliance with the Small MS4 Permit and the 2013 General Permit would ensure that impacts on water quality standards during operations would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.9-3: Substantially Decrease Groundwater Supplies or Interfere Substantially with Groundwater Recharge Such That the Project May Impede Sustainable Groundwater Management of the Basin New land uses proposed under the 2035 Master Plan would not require additional pumping of groundwater to serve the University's potable water needs. However, development and redevelopment under the 2035 Master Plan could result in an increase in impervious surfaces within the main campus, which could reduce storm water infiltration with the underlying groundwater aquifers, and thus impede groundwater recharge. For this reason, the impact on groundwater recharge would be potentially significant.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.9-3: Prepare Drainage Plan and Supportive Hydrologic Analysis Before the commencement of construction activities associated with new development that will modify existing drainage and/or require the construction of new drainage infrastructure to collect and control storm water runoff, Cal Poly shall prepare a drainage plan and supportive hydrologic analysis demonstrating compliance with the following, or equally effective similar measures, to maximize groundwater recharge and maintain similar drainage patterns and flow rates: a) Off-site runoff shall not exceed existing flow rates during storm events. b) If required to maintain the current flow rate, appropriate methods/design features (e.g., detention/retention basins, infiltration systems, or bioswales) shall be installed to reduce local increases in runoff, particularly on frequent runoff events (up to 10-year frequency) and to maximize groundwater recharge. c) If proposed, drainage discharge points shall include erosion protection and be designed such that flow hydraulics exiting the site mimics the natural condition as much as possible.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	= Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		directed e) Where surface	d to a common dra feasible, grading ar	surfaces (e.g., roads, driveways, buildings) shall be inage basin. Indeed earth contouring shall be done in a way to direct above-referenced drainage improvements (and/or	
Impact 3.9-4: Substantially Alter the Existing Drainage Pattern of the Site or Area Such That Substantial Erosion, Siltation, Flooding, Polluted Runoff, or an Exceedance of the Capacity of Storm Drainage Systems Would Occur New land use development could result in increased rates of surface water runoff associated with new impervious surfaces and could promote increased erosion and sedimentation or other storm water contamination, and exceedance of the capacity of existing storm drain systems. Because project-level details of future projects, including their impacts on the existing drainage system of their sites, are not known at this time, the project would result in a potentially significant impact on the existing drainage pattern of the site or the surrounding area.	PS	Analysis Implement Mitigation Manageme During the Master Pla storm wate 2035 Mast project. Ac Developme approxima not approx order to er drainage p	Mitigation Measur Measure 3.9-4b: In ent Practices and Lo design review phan in Area, Facilities Mer BMPs and LID tea er Plan and all app ditionally, consiste ent will also verify to the pre-development imate pre-developments are that storm dr	repare a Drainage Plan and Supportive Hydrologic re 3.9-3, described above. Inplement Post-Development Storm Water Best ow-Impact Development use of each future development project within the anagement and Development will verify that the chnologies were evaluated for each project within the ropriate BMPs are incorporated into the specific Int with MS4 requirements, Facilities Management and that post-development runoff from the project site will intrunoff volumes. If post-development runoff does soment runoff, additional BMPs shall be required in rain system capacity is not exceeded and that the fect site is not significantly altered in such a way that it on, or flooding.	
Impact 3.9-5: Be Located within Flood Hazard, Tsunami, or Seiche Zones, and Risk Release of Pollutants Due to Project Inundation Portions of the Master Plan Area are located within special flood hazard areas subject to inundation in a 100-year flood). Increased intensity of development within flood hazard zones could result in risk of release of pollutants such as oil, pesticides, herbicides, sediment, trash, bacteria, and metals during a flood event. This impact would be potentially significant.	PS	Feasible ar All develop year flood cannot be critical stru flood eleva plan in the conjunctio	and Incorporate Designment pursuant to zone to the extent avoided, design mandatures to ensure fire tition, or other flood event of a flood, so main with FEMA to ensure offing requirements	oid Development in 100-Year Flood Zones Where ign Measures to Address Release of Pollutants the 2035 Master Plan shall be sited to avoid the 100-practicable. If development within the flood zone easures shall be incorporated into all habitable and nished floor levels are constructed above the 100-year d-proofing measures, including a pollutant control hall be incorporated and approved by Cal Poly in sure structures are designed to meet state and federal and to prevent the release of pollutants if flooding	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.9-6: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan or Sustainable Groundwater Management Plan Cal Poly will continue to adhere to all applicable plans, permits, and regulations governing water quality, and the 2035 Master Plan would not increase the University's use of groundwater. Therefore the 2035 Master Plan would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan. During construction and operation of future development under the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly would comply with the 2013 General Permit, as well as SWPPP requirements, and implement any associated/necessary BMPs. Further, the use of LID techniques would control storm water flow and discharges and prevent contamination to surface water resources. For these reasons, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS
Noise	-	1			
Impact 3.10-1: Generate Substantial Temporary (Construction) Noise Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would result in construction activities associated with the development of facilities to accommodate projected student enrollment and furtherance of the University's academic mission. Although construction activities would be intermittent and temporary, construction noise could reach high levels at nearby noise-sensitive land uses and could result in human disturbance. As a result, this impact would be significant.	S	For all consimplement specification All consimose-rewith maduring expossion feasible temporasensitive Individu (e.g., using the combust codes a stational consistent combust codes a stational consistent combust codes a stational consistent consistent combust codes a stational consistent consistent combust codes a stational consistent cons	struction activities re or incorporate the f ns for contractor(s) truction equipment eduction intake and a nufacturer recomme equipment operation truction equipment ble from nearby noi such that existing o ary noise wall or blan e land uses and cons al operations and te ing welding instead ing electric powered tion powered equip and other applicable	and equipment staging areas shall be located as far ise-sensitive land uses, and/or located to the extent or constructed noise attenuating features (e.g., nkets) block line-of-site between affected noise-struction staging areas. Eachniques shall be replaced with quieter procedures of riveting, mixing concrete off-site instead of onequipment instead of pneumatic or internal oment) where feasible and consistent with building laws and regulations.	

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation		1	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant P	PS = Potentially s	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	_
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant P		➤ No less location, land use construct which no acceptal ➤ When connoise less structure plywood between noise less school for the structure for the structure plywood between noise less holds acceptable to the structure plywood between noise less school for the structure prepared approva	than 1 week prior to to notification shall be as (e.g., residential used that it is a struction site (i.e., based to bise-sensitive receptor object daytime construction would occur or off-campus not yels in excess of 75 Ln extructions shall be as the noise source and yels are reduced to 75 instruction activity (e.g., and large-scale graducilities, shall not occurrounding school dispostruction requires not for construction of struction of struc	the start of construction activities at a particular provided to nearby off-campus, noise-sensitive es) that are located within 350 feet of the on the construction noise modeling, distance at ors would experience noise levels exceeding cion-noise levels). Cur within 350 feet of on-campus housing or other poise-sensitive uses and may result in temporary enex at the exterior of the adjacent noise-sensitive erriers (e.g., noise-insulating blankets or temporary erected, if deemed to be feasible and effective, discensitive receptor such that construction-related of L _{max} or less at the receptor.] G., jackhammering, concrete sawing, asphalt ding operations) within 350 feet of adjacent primary or during state standardized testing time periods	
			telephor nearby r complai	ne number conspicuo esidences. The distur nts and be responsibl	e a disturbance coordinator and post that person's busly around the construction site and provide to bance coordinator shall receive all public le for determining the cause of the complaint and easures to alleviate the problem.	
			to the p 7:00 a.m construct and 7:00 occurs w City of S tempore	ublic or construction I. and 7:00 p.m., Mon- Ition activity that mus I. p.m. Monday throug I/ithin 2,000 feet of a II I/ithin 2 construction noise I/ithin construction noise	ding activities that would result in a safety concern workers) shall be limited to between the hours of day through Saturday, where feasible. For any it extend beyond the daytime hours of 7:00 a.m. gh Saturday, occur on Sunday, or legal holidays and residential building, Cal Poly shall ensure that the for noise level standard of 60 dBA L _{max} for its not exceeded at any residence. Typical andows closed achieve a 25-30 dBA exterior-to-	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	interior		SU = Significant and unavoidable Itrans 2002). Thus, using the lower end of this range, Odba L _{max} would result in interior noise levels of	
		about 3 sleep di type of interior would e one or i implem	5 dBA L _{max} , which wasturbance. If exterior construction activity noise levels do not insure residents are more of the following the more where appropriate the state of the	rould not result in a substantially increased risk for or noise levels of 60 dBA Lmax are infeasible due to and proximity to residential structure, ensuring exceed 45 dBA Leq, consistent with City standards, not disturbed. To achieve this performance standard, ag or equivalent measures shall be considered and poriate:	
			-	nclosures and techniques around stationary noise- e.g., concrete mixers, generators, compressors).	
		boun nearl mate	dary of the constru	noise curtains installed as close as possible to the ction site within the direct line of sight path of the r(s) and consist of durable, flexible composite e barrier layer bounded to sound-absorptive material	
		cond achie do no	uct noise monitorin ved the necessary r ot exceed exterior n	specialist to develop a noise monitoring plan and g to ensure that noise reduction measures are eductions such that levels at the receiving land uses oise levels of 60 dBA L _{max} for construction activity oise-sensitive hours.	
Impact 3.10-2: Generate Substantial Increase in Long-Term (Traffic) Noise Levels Population growth and development associated with implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase traffic within and outside the 2035 Master Plan Area. However, project-generated traffic volumes would not be at levels high enough to cause substantial increases in noise (i.e., 3 dB or more). This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigat	on is required.		LTS
Impact 3.10-3: Generate Substantial Long-Term Increase in Stationary Noise The new buildings and facilities constructed as part of the 2035 Master Plan may	S	_	Measure 3.10-3a: In Noise Impacts of S	nplement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce Spanos Stadium	SU
include new stationary noise sources and equipment (e.g., mechanical equipment), and increased noise levels associated with athletic and special events. Depending on location and design, equipment location, intervening shielding, and noise-reduction features incorporated, noise levels associated with new stationary noise		To minimiz following n Prior to	e noise levels gene neasures shall be im final design, a noise	rated by the Spanos Stadium expansion, the applemented: e assessment shall be conducted by a qualified	
sources (Spanos Stadium, parking facilities, HVAC systems) could result in			-	e specialist to evaluate potential increases in noise roposed expansion of Spanos Stadium. Noise-	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Le	ss than significant PS = Potentiall	significant S = Signif	cant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	_
exceedances of exterior noise limits at existing sensitive lar would be significant.	d uses. This impact	existing operational land uses, including measures may inclu	noise le Mustan e, but a	e incorporated to reduce significant increases in evels (i.e., 3 dBA, or greater) at nearby noise-sensitive g Village Apartments, to the extent feasible. Such are not limited to, the incorporation of structural rs, and revised placement for amplified sound	
		~		plement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce ne Proposed Parking Structures	
		To minimize noise level following measures sha	_	ated by the proposed parking structures, the plemented:	
		acoustical engineer levels associated wit structure. Noise-red extent feasible signidad, or greater) at rhousing. Such meas structures as far awanoise barriers between	r noise the proction no cant incearby no res ma ras posen park	e assessment shall be conducted by a qualified e specialist to evaluate potential increases in noise roposed expansion of any proposed parking measures shall be incorporated to reduce to the creases in existing operational noise levels (i.e., 3 noise-sensitive land uses, including campus student by include, but are not limited to, locating parking ssible from noise-sensitive land uses, constructing cing structures and noise-sensitive land uses, or using features to provide acoustic shielding for noise-	
		_		plement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce uilding Mechanical Equipment	
			gener	ated by building mechanical equipment, the	
		building rooftops or sensitive land uses. I	shielde uilding	its for proposed structures shall be located on d from direct line-of-sight of adjacent noise- parapets shall be constructed, when necessary, to n direct line-of-site of air conditioning units.	
		Plan, Cal Poly shall r equipment (e.g., HV to reduce average-h sensitive land uses t	view ar C syste ourly ex 50 L _{eq}	dividual projects proposed as part of the 2035 Master and ensure that external building mechanical ems) incorporate noise-reduction features sufficient exterior operational noise levels at nearby noiseand 70 dba L _{max} , or less during the daytime (i.e., 7:00 eq and 60 dBA L _{max} , or less during the nighttime (i.e.,	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.), within outdoor activity areas. Noise-reduction measures to be incorporated may include, but are not limited to, the selection of alternative or lower noise-generating equipment, relocation of equipment, and use of equipment enclosures.	
Impact 3.10-4: Generate Substantial Temporary (Construction) Vibration Levels If pile driving is required during project construction, it could expose existing nearby sensitive receptors and structures to levels of ground vibration that could result in structural damage and/or human disturbance. This impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.10-4a: Implement Measures to Reduce Ground Vibration For any future construction activity that would involve pile driving and be located within 300 feet of an existing sensitive land use or occupied building, the following measures shall be implemented: To the extent feasible, earthmoving and ground-impacting operations shall be	LTS
		phased so as not to occur simultaneously in areas close to sensitive receptors (i.e., within 300 feet). The total vibration level produced could be significantly less when each vibration source is operated at separate times.	
		▶ Where there is flexibility in the location of use of heavy-duty construction equipment, or impact equipment, the equipment shall be operated as far away from vibration-sensitive sites as reasonably feasible.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.10-4b: Develop and Implement a Vibration Control Plan	
		To assess and, when needed, reduce vibration and noise impacts from construction activities, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		▶ A vibration control plan shall be developed prior to initiating any pile-driving activities. Applicable elements of the plan shall be implemented before, during, and after pile-driving activity. The plan will include measures sufficient to reduce vibration at sensitive receptors to levels below applicable thresholds. Items that will be addressed in the plan include, but are not limited to, the following:	
		Identification of the maximum allowable vibration levels at nearby buildings may consider Caltrans's recommended standards with respect to the prevention of architectural building damage of 0.25 in/sec PPV for historic and some old buildings and for buildings that are occupied at the time of pile driving, FTA's maximum-acceptable-vibration standard with respect to human response, 80 VdB. However, based on site-specific parameters (e.g., building age, structural integrity), and construction specifics (e.g., time of day when vibration activities occur, pile frequency), these standards may be adjusted, as long as sensitive receptors and structures are protected.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		struct vibrat Ident grour preve peop produ nearb and s grour buildi Vibra neare excee Poly. cease to be	ural damage to bui ion. fication of minimural-vibration-produc nting damage to no le. Factors to be con ucing activity, local si by structures. Initial ite-specific analysis and vibration specialist ings or structures we tion levels from pile st sensitive land use ided. Recorded data If it is found at any in that location, an	shall be conducted to identify any pre-existing ldings that may be affected by project-generated in setback requirements for different types of cing activities (e.g., pile driving) for the purpose of earby structures and preventing adverse effects on insidered include the nature of the vibrationsoil conditions, and the fragility/resiliency of the setback requirements can be reduced if a projectic conducted by a qualified geotechnical engineer or set that indicates that no structural damage to ould occur. It driving shall be monitored and documented at the eto document that applicable thresholds are not a shall be submitted on a twice-weekly basis to Caltime that thresholds are exceeded, pile driving shall and methods shall be implemented to reduce vibration sholds, or an alternative pile installation method shall	
Population and Housing					
Impact 3.11-1: Directly or Indirectly Induce Substantial Unplanned Population Growth and Housing Demand The projected increase in student enrollment and availability of on-campus housing for new and existing students, under the 2035 Master Plan, would increase the oncampus population up to a planned cap in response to CSU systemwide and campus enrollment growth directives and corresponding funding, the effects of which are evaluated throughout this EIR (refer to Sections 3.1 through 3.10, Sections 3.12 through 3.14, and Chapters 4 and 5). The 2035 Master Plan would provide substantially more student beds than are necessary to accommodate the planned increase in student enrollment. For these reasons, the enrollment increase would not directly or indirectly induce substantial unplanned population growth on campus beyond what is projected by the 2035 Master Plan, or result in a shortage of housing to accommodate this increase. This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Public Services and Recreation				
Impact 3.12-1: Result in Substantial Adverse Physical Construction-Related Impacts Associated with the Provision or the Need for New or Physically Altered Fire Facilities, to Maintain Acceptable Service Ratios Implementation of the project would result in an increase in on-campus facilities and population. New facilities would be constructed within the main campus in compliance with fire and emergency safety requirements and would not result in an expansion of service area. Nor would the increase in population result in an increase in service calls beyond the capacity of existing fire protection services and facilities. SLOFD would continue to provide fire protection services to campus through various agreements. This includes Cal Poly's agreement to receive enhanced fire protection services from SLOFD is in effect through 2023 and Cal Poly is committed to diligently pursue the extension of the agreement. Therefore, existing fire facilities would be adequate and impacts would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.		LTS
Impact 3.12-2: Result in Substantial Adverse Physical Construction-Related Impacts Associated with the Provision or the Need for New or Physically Altered Police Facilities, to Maintain Acceptable Service Ratios Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would result in an increase in campus population requiring additional on-campus police services. The UPD would require additional staff to maintain adequate police response and service, resulting in the construction of a new police facility, the effects of which are evaluated throughout this EIR. No additional facilities would be required to serve the project. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.		LTS
Impact 3.12-3: Result in Substantial Adverse Physical Construction-Related Impacts Associated with the Provision or the Need for New or Physically Altered Schools, to Maintain Acceptable Service Ratios Master Plan implementation would increase the campus residential population through the introduction of faculty and staff workforce housing and the creation of new employment opportunities that could induce new residents to relocate to the area, both of which could generate students and increase school attendance within SLCUSD. However, the increase in demand would be modes and is not, in and of itself, expected to result in the need for new or expanded school facilities. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.		No mitigation is required.		LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.12-4: Result in Substantial Deterioration of Neighborhood and Regional Parks, or Require Construction or Expansion of Recreational Facilities The project would result in increased enrollment and campus population growth and would, therefore, increase demand for park and recreational services. Improvements, expansion, and construction of recreational facilities would be included under the project and would adequately serve the campus population. Additionally, the 2035 Master Plan Guidelines would address the deterioration of on-campus facilities and address increased demand for off-campus facilities by providing new recreational facilities. This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.12-5: Result in Substantial Adverse Physical Construction-Related Impacts Associated with the Provision or the Need for New or Physically Altered Library Facilities, to Maintain Acceptable Service Ratios The increase in campus population that is expected to occur under the 2035 Master Plan could result in an increased demand for public libraries. However, this increase in demand is covered as part of the 2035 Master Plan through the expansion of Kennedy Library and is not expected to result in the need for new or expanded public facilities beyond this facility. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Transportation			T
Impact 3.13-1: Result in Vehicle Miles Traveled That Exceed Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled Targets With implementation of the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly, as a whole, would exceed the countywide VMT per service population target of 19.22 (15 percent below existing regional VMT per service population). Although implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would reduce VMT per capita compared to existing conditions due to the location of all new and a greater proportion of total student enrollment in on-campus housing, this impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation 3.13-1: Develop and Implement a Transportation Demand Management Plan Using the CSU TDM Manual (Nelson Nygaard 2012) as a guide, Cal Poly shall develop and implement a TDM plan to reduce daily trips and VMT generated by campus employees, residents, and students by a minimum of 5.04 VMT per service population. TDM measures best suited for college towns generally include measures intended to reduce driving on campus such as subsidized transit passes, improved transit and shuttles, parking management, encouraging bicycle and pedestrian travel, and locating student housing on-campus. TDM policies that could reduce vehicle trip generation and VMT include, but are not limited to, the following: Expand and/or maximize the efficiency of the local and regional public transit	LTS
		service. This includes coordination and fair-share contributions towards additional SLO Transit and SLORTA transit routes, as well as potential expansion of facilities (e.g., the Government Center transfer point).	
		► Support active transportation projects on and near campus through infrastructure improvements to enhance safety and efficiency of these travel modes. This would include additional on-campus shuttle service or separated facilities for active	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Le	ess than significant PS = Potentially sign	nificant S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			and transit. In addition, campus would expand cate students about transportation options.	
	 	expanded programs/incentiv	anpool incentive programs. This could include les for both faculty/staff and students, including trip nome program, and rideshare.	
	 	• .	is for employees. This could include offering online where faculty/staff could work and students would	
	m. fo. str	anagement plan. The parking cus on reducing academic and	ly shall develop and implement a parking management plan shall implement policies that d residential parking demand. Parking management nicle trip generation and VMT include, but are not	
	 	Restrict parking spaces by stu on-campus parking for fresh	udent class – Reduce the availability of or eliminate man and/or sophomores.	
		parking permits, implement t	ermits – Increase the cost of on-campus resident ciered parking pricing based on the distance to or employ a tiered pricing from limited days (1-day,	
			– Establish designated parking locations by ge the academic parking demand.	
		transportation, such as transp	arking district(s) – To account for emerging forms of portation network companies (e.g., Uber and Lyft) erated, develop a parking district or districts that -off on campus.	
	of co	students, residents, and emplo mmute-shed (e.g., intra-count	ent plan, to better understand the commute patterns byees Cal Poly shall study the distribution of VMT by y trips, inter-county trips, on-campus trips) to help arking management policy responses.	
	of red	the TDM Plan and its strategie duction, Cal Poly shall increase	pasis, Cal Poly shall monitor and evaluate the efficacy s. If necessary and in order to achieve the target VMT the level of implementation and/or scope of TDM 5.04 or greater VMT standard is met.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	ignificance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.13-2: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing Circulation and Transit Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase demand for transit, which may require investments in additional transit service and/or facilities to maintain the level and quality of service necessary to retain and expand ridership. Failure to maintain quality service could lead to losses of ridership and increases in travel by other modes (e.g., automobiles) that could result in environmental effects such as increased emissions. This impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.13-2: Monitor Transit Service Performance and Support Transit Improvements Currently, SLO Transit regularly monitors transit service performance and adjusts service levels, as feasible, according to established service standards. Cal Poly shall work with SLO Transit staff to identify and support implementation of transit service and/or facility improvements (e.g., through fair share contribution[s] based on University-related ridership) necessary to adhere to applicable, established service standards (e.g., fewer than 125 percent of seated capacity) identified in the SLO Transit Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP) and, in turn, maintain a high-quality customer experience so as not to deter existing and potential ridership. Potential transit improvements could include modifying existing transit routes or adding new routes to serve areas of the campus underserved by transit, adding service capacity (through increased headways and/or larger vehicles) to prevent chronic overcrowding, improving terminal facilities to accommodate additional passengers and transit vehicles, and improving coordination between transit providers. In the event that SLO Transit updates its SRTP during implementation of the 2035 Master Plan, transit improvements shall result in service performance that meets the performance targets established in the latest SLO Transit SRTP. Transit facility and roadway improvements shall be designed and constructed in accordance with industry best practices and applicable standards. Improvements shall be implemented or constructed in a manner that would not physically disrupt existing transit service or facilities (e.g., additional bus service that exceeds available bus stop or transit terminal capacity) or otherwise adversely affect transit operations.	LTS
Impact 3.13-3: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing Bicycle Facilities Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would not interfere with implementation of planned bicycle facilities in the City and County of San Luis Obispo. It would increase bicycle travel on campus, which could generate bicycle volumes that physically disrupt the use of existing facilities. Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase automobile, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian trips to, from, and within campus, which would increase the competition for physical space between the modes; thus, increasing the risk of collisions. This impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.13-3: Monitor Bicycle-Related Collisions to Implement Countermeasures Minimizing Potential Conflicts with Bicycle Facilities Following adoption of the 2035 Master Plan and every two years thereafter during implementation of the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly shall record on-campus bicycle volumes and collisions involving bicyclists and establish a bicycle collision rate. The rate should be sensitive to context (e.g., Academic Core subarea versus new student housing along the edge of current campus development) and facility type (e.g., intersection versus segment). Cal Poly shall determine the on-campus bicycle collision rate as part of its biennial mitigation monitoring program. In instances where the rate increases from the prior observation period, Cal Poly shall develop and implement countermeasures designed to reduce the rate and primary collision factors. Cal Poly	LTS

Impacts		Significance before Mitigation		N	ditigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact	LTS = Less than significant	PS = Potentially s	significant S = Signif	icant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
			mix of travel patterns an as designed. Potential co	d behavio	untermeasures for locations where the change in the or is determined to be incompatible with the facility asures include the following:	
					d facilities for each mode in shared operating h- versus low-speed travel modes).	
			► Restrict select mode another to minimize		n areas where one mode is prioritized over potential.	
			► Increase the number crowding on connec		e parking facilities and distribute them to minimize le facilities.	
			► Enforce 'rules of the University policies.	road' per	the California Vehicle Code and applicable	
			► Educate existing and to ride.	l prospect	ive bicyclists to give people the skills and abilities	
			 Control class schedu traffic. 	les and p	assing periods to minimize effects of peak bicycle	
			► Expand core area res	strictions	on service vehicles.	
			students and staff activit existing and new acader athletic fields) in the Aca activity generators. Bicycl conflicts between bicycli in accordance with appli coordinate with the City facilities at their intersect	ies, included incomic and residemic Coccle facility sts and ot cable CSU regarding tion point	tivity would be concentrated near focal points for ling new on-campus housing developments, accreational facilities (e.g., classrooms, lecture halls, are subarea, and along bicycle facilities connecting and roadway improvements that intend to minimize ther travel modes shall be designed and constructed J and California standards. In addition, Cal Poly shall go the connection points and sizing of on-campus is with City facilities to ensure the safe transition of us facilities and vice versa.	
			identifies the expected l necessary to accommod modifications to the exi modes should be based	locations date grow sting tran d on, but i	odal Transportation Management Plan that and types of bicycle improvements that may be ith resulting from the 2035 Master Plan. Potential sportation network for active transportation not limited to, the following objectives:	
			► desired level of traffi	c stress o	r user experience, and	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	ore Mitigation Measures		Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant P	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		or spee The plan sl prioritization facilities (e. changing contain op	d differentials). nould include an important sequencing of g., new student residentions during important strategies and	elion between the modes (to address either volume oblementation program that identifies the of improvements as they relate to specific on-campus dences). The plan should be flexible to respond to plementation of the 2035 Master Plan and should improvements that can be applied to specific 5 Master Plan's implementation proceeds.	
Impact 3.13-4: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing Pedestrian Facilities Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase pedestrian travel on and off campus, which could generate pedestrian volumes that physically disrupt the use of existing facilities. Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase automobile, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian trips to, from, and within campus, which would increase the competition for physical space between the modes, which increases the risk of collisions. This impact would be significant.	S	Following a implement pedestrian collision ra versus new facility type campus pe program. I Cal Poly sh rate and procounterme behavior is	easures Minimizing F adoption of the 2035 ation of the 2035 Ma volumes and collision te. The rate should be student housing all e (e.g., intersection values destrian collision rate in instances where the all develop and important rimary collision factor asures for locations	nitor Pedestrian-Related Collisions to Implement Potential Conflicts with Pedestrian Facilities Master Plan and every two years thereafter during aster Plan, Cal Poly shall record on-campus ons involving pedestrians and establish a pedestrian are sensitive to context (e.g., Academic Core subareating the edge of current campus development) and dersus segment). Cal Poly shall determine the one as part of its biennial mitigation monitoring are rate increases from the prior observation period, dement countermeasures designed to reduce the rs. Cal Poly shall also identify and develop where the change in the mix of travel patterns and incompatible with the facility as designed. Potential billowing:	LTS
		environ ► Restrict another ► Improve Anticipated for student existing an athletic fiel connecting	ments (particularly haselect modes in cert to minimize collision and/or expand exist increases in pedest and staff activities, donew academic and ds) in the Academic activity generators.	ed facilities for each mode in shared operating igh- versus low-speed travel modes). Tain areas where one mode is prioritized over n potential. Sting pedestrian facilities. Trian activity would be concentrated near focal points including new on-campus housing developments, if recreational facilities (e.g., classrooms, lecture halls, Core subarea, and along pedestrian facilities Bicycle facility and roadway improvements that tween pedestrians and other travel modes shall be	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
		standards. I connection City facilities and Cal Poly colidentifies the benecessal Potential mitransportation objectives: I desired the need or speed The plan shiprioritization facilities (e.g. changing contain options).	n addition, Cal Polypoints and sizing of sto ensure the safed vice versa. Uld prepare a Multie expected location to the confications to the on modes should be bedestrian level of a for physical separal differentials). Ould include an important and sequencing and sequencing in and sequencing in and strategies and innal strategies and innal strategies and sizing in innal strategies and sequencing in innal sequencing in innal strategies and sequencing in innal strategies and sequencing in innal strategies and sequencing in innal sequencing in innal sequencing in innal sequencing in innal sequencing in innal sequencing in innal sequencing innal sequencing in innal sequencing	scoordance with applicable CSU and California y shall coordinate with the City regarding the of on-campus facilities at their intersection points with the transition of pedestrians between City and campus amodal Transportation Management Plan that may be growth resulting from the 2035 Master Plan. existing transportation network for active the based on, but not limited to, the following service or user experience, and ration between the modes (to address either volume plementation program that identifies the of improvements as they relate to specific on-campusidences). The plan should be flexible to respond to applementation of the 2035 Master Plan and should dimprovements that can be applied to specific Plan's implementation proceeds.	
Utilities and Service Systems					
Impact 3.14-1: Require or Result in the Relocation or Construction of New or Expanded Water Infrastructure Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase the volume of water conveyed through the existing City connections. Modeling indicates that there is adequate conveyance capacity to accommodate anticipated development associated with the 2035 Master Plan under average day demand, peak daily demand, and peak hourly flow. New campus development would require connections to water supply pipelines. Because the campus already contains substantial pipelines and water delivery infrastructure, construction of additional infrastructure to connect new academic buildings, student housing, and other development to the existing system is expected to be minor, consisting of relatively short pipeline connections to the existing delivery pipeline. Thus, the impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
Impact 3.14-2: Require or Result in the Relocation or Construction of New or Expanded Electricity, Natural Gas, or Telecommunications Facilities Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan could require new electrical infrastructure, natural gas, and telecommunication infrastructure to support new facilities. The construction impacts anticipated to result from implementation of the 2035 Master Plan, including the construction or undergrounding of energy transmission and/or distribution lines, are located within the 2035 Master Plan's development footprint, and comprehensively analyzed in this EIR. Thus, the potential impacts resulting from the extension of utility infrastructure to serve new/redeveloped land uses within the campus are considered to be evaluated within the scope of this EIR's analysis, and additional significant impacts would not occur. Thus, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS
Impact 3.14-3: Have Insufficient Water Supplies Available to Serve the Project and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development during Normal, Dry and Multiple Dry Years Development of the 2035 Master Plan would result in increased population levels and development of new buildings, which would increase demand for water supply. Campus water demand would also be reduced through conservation measures, transfer of water supply service from Cal Poly to the City, and development of the WRF. Under the 2035 Master Plan, adequate water supplies would be available to meet future demands if the first phase of the WRF is operational in 2022 and the second phase is operational in 2028. Without the availability of reclaimed water from the WRF, there would not be adequate supplies beginning in 2025. Because the design, timing, and other details of the WRF are not yet established, it cannot be determined with certainty that water supplies would be available to meet increased demand from implementation of the 2035 Master Plan. Thus, the impact on water supply would be significant.	S	Meet the C If the initial Master Plar Cal Poly sha time as the use, or unle adequate w Cal Poly co from the Ci treatment c time as the purchased, supplies cu	phase of the WRF is a projects are constructed not initiate operation. WRF's treatment capacters and Poly can demonstrate applies are availed arrange for the puty (within the limits of capacity) that could be first phase of the WR these supplies shall be prently used for agricults.	ate Operation of the WRF to Ensure That It Can inted with Campus Growth and operational by 2022 or if other near-term 2035 acted before operation of the first phase of the WRF, on of any new facilities or developments until such acity and recycled water supplies are available for enstrate that, notwithstanding delay in WRF operation, alable to serve the new development. Alternatively, archase of temporary non-potable water supplies if Cal Poly's existing agreement with the City related to be used to offset the net increase in demand until such is operational. If nonpotable water supplies are the dedicated to agricultural needs and potable water sultural purposes shall be diverted for treatment and offset any increase in potable water demand.	LTS
Impact 3.14-4: Result in Inadequate Wastewater Treatment Capacity Under the 2035 Master Plan, Cal Poly development and operation of proposed buildings and increased campus population levels would increase wastewater flows. Several conservation actions would reduce wastewater generation, such as replacing toilets, urinals, faucets, and showerheads with low-flow alternatives. Cal Poly plans to construct an on-campus WRF in two phases, each of which would have a treatment capacity of 190 afy (169,621 gpd), for a total capacity of 380 afy (339,242 gpd). Phases 1 and 2 are expected to be operational in 2022 and 2028,	PS	Meet the C Implement WRF is not constructed initiate ope is available	Offset Demand Associal Mitigation Measure operational by 2022 displayed before operation of the operation of any new factor use, or unless Ca	iate Operation of the WRF to Ensure That It Can iated with Campus Growth 3.14-4a described above. If the initial phase of the or if other near-term 2035 Master Plan projects are if the first phase of the WRF, Cal Poly shall not cilities or developments until such time as the WRF I Poly can demonstrate that, notwithstanding delay stewater capacity is available to serve the new	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation			Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
NI = No impact LTS = Less than significant PS	S = Potentially	significant	S = Significant	SU = Significant and unavoidable	
respectively. While general timing of WRF construction and operation are planned, specific timing and other details are yet unknown. Planned water conservation actions would not be sufficient in and of themselves to reduce wastewater generation such that capacity of the City's wastewater conveyance system could accommodate 2035 Master Plan development. Because the timing of adequate wastewater capacity is unknown and development of new campus buildings and facilities could exceed available wastewater treatment capacity, the impact would be significant.		conservation Mitigation I Wastewater Cal Poly, as that would developme such that no levels will o shall include implement to reduce projects, part of the standard upg Design and City and in and treatment facilities that Cal Poly condemonstrative ar levels of the standard treatment of the standard t	n or other flow red Measure 3.14-4b: In Flows part of its Utility Measure wastewater at of new facilities to net increase in wastewater from Cal Polye, but are not limited and infilted and infilted and information of on campus and wastewater of an annual pipe all water conservational water conservational water conservational pipe all water conservational water conservational water and water conservational water conservationa	aster Plan, shall include capital improvement projects flows and implement such plans prior to the that have the potential to increase wastewater flows above 2018/2019-academic-year to the city's infrastructure. Capital improvements ad to, the following: ration (I/I) reduction projects, including the wastewater transmission pipes and systems in order 2019 academic year levels or less. Note, the I/I ter transmission pipe replacement, are addressed as ster Plan development program which includes up to line infrastructure replacement. On measures, such as additional water use restrictions attures for on-campus facilities. It is even the City's existing wastewater transmission only shall not initiate operation of any new on-campus astewater flows as part of the 2035 Master Plan until projects to reduce PWWF and Cal Poly can WWF to the City compared to 2018/2019-academic-astewater transmission and treatment capacity	
Impact 3.14-5: Generate Solid Waste in Excess of State or Local Standards or in Excess of the Capacity of Local Infrastructure or Otherwise Impair the Attainment of Solid Waste Reduction Goals or Requirements Implementation of the 2035 Master Plan would increase solid waste generation at Cal Poly. However, adequate landfill capacity is available at local and regional landfills to accommodate additional solid waste generated by the project through the year 2035 (and beyond). Compliance with the Cal Poly Zero Waste Policy would continue to reduce landfill contributions, consistent with CIWMA, AB 341, SB 1374, AB 1826, and SB 1383. This impact would therefore be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigati	on is required.		LTS

This page intentionally left blank.