CAL POLY

STUDENT SUCCESS FEE ALLOCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Wednesday, May 9, 2012
2:00 – 3:00 p.m.
Conference Room 01-409

MINUTES

Members Present: Kiyana Tabrizi
Larry Kelley
Kaitlin Harr
Samuel Frame
Brianna Mulligan
Ashley Brooks
Victor Brancart

Members Absent: Dan Marver

Invited Guest: Katie Morrow

Call to Order
Committee Co-Chair Kiyana Tabrizi called the meeting to order and welcomed the members and guest.

Approval of 4/26/12 Minutes
Co-Chair Kelley noted that the 4/26/12 SSFAAC minutes inadvertently listed Samuel Frame in “Members Present” and requested the minutes be revised to reflect that Samuel Frame was absent. Co-Chair Tabrizi asked the members if there were additional corrections to the minutes. With the one change identified, a motion was made to adopt the minutes.

Review SSFAAC Survey Results
Mr. Brancart reviewed the results of the Student Success Fee Allocation Advisory Committee (SSFAAC) survey, indicating that the survey showed good representation from all colleges with class standing being equal. The results were heavily in favor of increased classes (78%).
Co-Chair Tabrizi assigned the Committee the task of reviewing the survey priorities in order to forward recommendations to the Provost and Vice Presidents for consideration for possible allocation of the student success fee.

**Develop Broad Recommendations for Possible Allocation of Student Success Fee**

Upon reviewing the survey results indicating the top priority as increased classes, the Committee discussed ideas associated with this recommendation (i.e., predictive registration, additional professors based on registration results, offering classes to students based on need/timing, additional class sections, supplemental workshops, advising students of appropriate classes).

Ms. Brooks inquired as to when students will see more classes being offered. Ms. Ikeda responded that this process will determine the outcome with the current budget being sensitive to the November trigger and what the next step will be.

Ms. Brooks requested clarification of the proposal format to the Provost and Vice Presidents, to include percentages or ideas only. Co-Chair Kelley suggested the Committee define “buckets,” not percentages. Ms. Moeinazad mentioned that the broad ideas will trickle down to other lines which the Provost and Vice Presidents can comment. Ms. Fernflores added that there is a strong call for transparency and all input needs to count.

Ms. Mulligan suggested that Student Support be considered as a recommendation by the Committee. Although some students may not admit the need for counseling, the need is present; showing support will increase the percentage of this group.

Co-Chair Tabrizi summarized the foregoing discussion by suggesting that the primary recommendation for consideration of the Provost and Vice Presidents is increased classes/labs (scheduled and open use) with a focus on learn by doing and progress to degree. As a secondary recommendation, the Committee would recommend Student Support (including health services, counseling services, advising services and predictive registration).

**Next Steps**

Co-Chair Tabrizi indicated that she would present a memo to the Provost and Vice Presidents on behalf of the Committee setting forth their primary and secondary recommendations for possible allocation of the student success fee.

In addition, a message will be sent to all students to thank them for their participation in the survey, presenting the survey results with information regarding the next step of the process.

**Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kiyana Tabrizi
Chair