



CAL POLY

Civil Rights & Compliance

iTeam Cozen Meeting

October 31st, 2023

1:00pm to 2:15pm

01-409

Present: Maren Hufton, Jen Haft, Joy Pedersen, Matt Lazier, George Hughes, Samuel Andrews, Kara Samaniego, Elizabeth Adan, Rachel Fernflores (via Zoom)

Absent: Al Liddicoat, Debi Hill

Meeting commenced at 1:06pm

1. Cal Poly's Cozen Website
 - a. Handout with website content was distributed
 - i. Website Title: Cozen O'Connor Assessment
 - ii. Links to Cozen Report for Chancellor's Office and for Cal Poly
 - iii. Names and titles of iTeam members
 - iv. Meeting notes
 - v. Timeline:
 1. What we have done
 2. Next Steps
 3. FAQs
 - vi. Resources and Links: (Maxient, CRCO (Civil Rights & Compliance Office), EAP (Employee Assistance Program), Safer, etc.)
 - vii. Information/clarification on the implementation plans for the Chancellor's Office and all 23 CSU campuses might be helpful
 - viii. Include an executive summary/cheat sheet that highlights the five recommendations for Cal Poly: something quick and easy to read that could be a reference point for future communications
 1. The impetus and history of the Cozen study could be included in this summary.
 2. Action item: who will create this summary/cheat sheet?
 - b. This website and the email announcing it will be released early next week (November 6th)
2. Steps in Development of Implementation Plan
 - a. Second handout/chart distributed with five recommendations and opportunities

- b. Cal Poly's Communications Plan was submitted to CO (Chancellor's Office) on Friday October 27. It was a very robust plan (per the CO) but is still subject to change.
 - i. One suggestion from the CO: to have more of a social media presence
- c. We will need to create committee subgroups to reach our implementation deadlines
- d. Best practice would be to have one place for all reports that would then be routed to the appropriate office; this is exactly what Cozen recommends. Once Maxient is up and running, it will be easier to create that reporting platform.
- e. Budget considerations
 - i. Keep budget in mind while working on the implementation plan
 - ii. Some things, like additional staffing at CRCO, CRCO's rebranding campaign, website restructuring, will require a budget; other goals will not.
 - iii. More staff in other offices is possible if those positions have a touchback to the Cozen recommendations.
 - iv. The CO's contribution to this budget is uncertain, given that the CO has its own Cozen projects to complete
 - v. Per ASI President Andrews, ASI is projected to have student fee funds that will be open to grant requests for one-time funding; iTeam could apply for these grants to pay for student-focused goals. Sam will let team know the deadline for these grant proposals.

3. Implementation Plan

- a. Meet with your groups and constituents and obtain their feedback on the implementation plan (iPlan).
- b. ITeam will review the completed iPlan the first week of December.
- c. iTeam Implementation needs Presidential approval by Dec. 15.
- d. iTeam has five weeks to outline five recommendations.
- e. Per Cozen and the CO, Maren/CRCO is responsible for everything we put forward,
- f. The shared iPlan Excel template is on OneDrive. The recommendations are listed and color-coded. Every recommendation comes from the Cozen report, and each one has been vetted and assigned.
- g. We need to show how we will address each recommendation: what we are currently doing, what we can accomplish now, what we can do in Year One and Year Two, what we want to do when we have funds, etc.
- h. The urgency of the timeline is coming from the state legislature.
- i. One recommendation for each goal is acceptable. ITeam doesn't need to address every action item; instead, survey the iPlan and decide how we will go forward.
- j. Some items might need to say, "Pending guidance from Chancellor's Office"
- k. Let us make sure our goals are achievable with realistic budgets and timelines.

- l. Include budget information in recommendations; Identify recurring costs versus one-time costs.
 - m. iTeam Subgroups:
 - i. Infrastructure: Jen Haft (lead), Samuel Andrews
 - ii. Internal Records: Maren Hufton
 - iii. Communications: Joy Pedersen (lead), Matt Lazier, Kara Samaniego, Elizabeth Adan, Samuel Andrews
 - iv. Prevention: Kara Samaniego (lead), George Hughes, Matt Lazier, Debi Hill
 - v. Other Conduct of Concern: Al Liddicoat (lead), Elizabeth Adan, Rachel Fernflores, Joy Pederson, George Hughes
4. Next meeting will be Tuesday, November 14. Jen will send Outlook invitations.